32532-1-W Vancouver Registry

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia (BEFORE THE HONOURABLE MADAM JUSTICE LAMB)

Vancouver, B.C. December 23, 2021

REGINA

٧.

PATRICK HENRY FOX

PROCEEDINGS AT JUDICIAL INTERIM RELEASE HEARING

32532-1-W Vancouver Registry

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia (BEFORE THE HONOURABLE MADAM JUSTICE LAMB)

Vancouver, B.C. December 23, 2021

REGINA

٧.

PATRICK HENRY FOX

PROCEEDINGS AT JUDICIAL INTERIM RELEASE HEARING

Crown Counsel appearing by teleconference:

C. Johnson

Appearing on his own behalf:

P. Fox

INDEX

SUBMISSIONS FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:	2
SUBMISSIONS by ACCUSED:	8
REPLY FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:	

EXHIBITS

Nil

RULINGS

[REASONS FOR JUDGMENT RE JUDICIAL INTERIM RELEASE HEARING] 16

Proceedings

```
Vancouver, B.C.
2
3
4
                                 December 23, 2021
               (VIDEOCONFERENCE COMMENCES)
5
6
               (JUDGE LAMB IN REMOTE COURTROOM)
               (CNSL C. JOHNSON IN REMOTE LOCATION)
7
8
    THE CLERK: Calling the matter of Her Majesty the Queen
9
         and Patrick Henry Fox, Justice.
10
    THE COURT: Thank you.
11
               I understand Crown is attending by phone
12
          today?
13
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes, that's correct, Madam Justice.
14
          It's Chris Johnson, J-o-h-n-s-o-n. I appear on
15
         behalf of the Provincial Crown and my pronouns are
16
         he/him, as are Mr. Fox's.
17
    THE COURT: Thank you.
18
              Mr. Fox, is that you sitting here in the
19
          courtroom?
    THE ACCUSED: That is I, yes.
20
21
               Where would the court prefer that I sit?
22
         Normally, I would sit at a table with -- across
23
         from Mr. Johnson, but since he's not here I'm sure
24
         where you would like me.
25
    THE COURT: Yes. May I have some assistance from the
         sheriff, please.
26
27
    THE SHERIFF:
                   Yeah. Usually, Fox sits there, I assume,
28
         My Lady.
29
    THE ACCUSED: Right.
30
    THE COURT: This is the first time Mr. Fox has appeared
31
          in front of me, so --
32
    THE CLERK:
                If I may, Justice --
33
    THE COURT:
                Yes.
34
    THE CLERK: -- we're completely in your hands. We can
35
         accommodate anything the court needs.
36
    THE COURT: All right. Then if Mr. Fox prefers to sit
37
          at -- at the -- at counsel table, I'm content to
38
         proceed on that basis.
39
    THE CLERK:
                Thank you.
40
    THE COURT:
                Have a seat.
41
    THE ACCUSED: Um --
42
    THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Fox?
43
    THE ACCUSED: Next I need to ask, does somebody have a
44
         pen I can borrow? I'm not allowed to bring a pen
45
         from the jail.
46
    THE COURT: Mr. Registrar, do we have pen that Mr. Fox
47
         can use?
```

```
THE CLERK: Yes, let me just check.
2
              Can I pass it to Mr. Sheriff?
3
    THE COURT: Mr. Sheriff --
4
    THE SHERIFF: Yes, yes.
5
    THE COURT: -- can you assist, please. Thank you.
6
    THE ACCUSED: Thank you.
7
    THE CLERK:
                Yeah, thank you.
8
    THE COURT:
                Thanks very much.
9
    THE ACCUSED:
                  Thank you.
10
    THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Fox, before we begin?
    THE ACCUSED: No. Thank you, Your Honour. I'm good.
11
12
    THE COURT: All right.
13
              Mr. Johnson, whenever you're ready.
14
15
    SUBMISSIONS FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:
16
17
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                       Thank you, Madam Justice. Mr. Fox is
18
         before you on s. 525 bail review. The Crown says
19
         that there hasn't been a change of circumstances
20
         and that the delay hasn't been unreasonable, and
21
         that Mr. Fox should still be detained on the
22
         secondary ground.
23
              He stands charged in Provincial court with
24
         breaching a probation order. There is an order of
25
         his detention which, I believe, your -- you have a
26
         transcript of -- from August 18th of 2021.
27
         that time, Mr. Fox was ordered detained on the
28
         secondary ground by His Honour Judge Galati.
29
              You've -- I just want to confirm that you do
30
         have that transcript?
31
    THE COURT: I do have the transcript, yes. And if
32
         you -- if you want to address the court at the
33
         court, if the court has, instead of you, that's
34
         fine.
35
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                      Thank you, Madam Justice.
36
    THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Johnson.
37
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: The transcript of the proceedings has
38
         also been provided to Mr. Fox and --
39
    THE COURT: Sorry, I'll just stop you there because Mr.
40
         Fox has his hand up.
41
              Mr. Fox?
42
    THE ACCUSED:
                  I did receive an envelope from the Crown
43
         earlier, however, it's missing the reasons for
44
         judgment. I have the transcript from the bail
45
         hearing, but not the reason for judgment.
46
    THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, can you assist?
47
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I do not know. I do know that both
```

```
the reasons for judgment and the transcript, I'm
2
         told, were provided to Mr. Fox last week at North
3
         Fraser Pretrial. But just to be sure, I dropped
         by the courthouse this morning and provided Mr.
5
         Fox with a copy of the transcript and other
6
         documents. The reasons for judgment are three
7
         paragraphs long.
8
    THE COURT: I was going to -- I was going to say, Mr.
9
         Fox, if you want to have a look, the reasons are
10
         three paragraphs with a cover page. Do you want
11
         to have a look just right now?
12
               Just hold for a moment, Mr. Johnson, please.
13
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                       Thank you.
14
    THE ACCUSED: This is the transcript, the JUSTIN
15
          conviction list, this is a reasons for judgment
16
          from the Court of Appeal, it's -- it's a different
         matter, and oral reasons for judgment from 2019, a
17
18
         different matter -- oh, again, in one of the
19
          appeals.
20
    THE COURT: Have a look at the first package, sir.
21
          The -- the -- the reasons have the same cover
22
          sheet.
23
    THE ACCUSED: Yeah. This is just the transcript.
24
          already read this downstairs.
25
    THE COURT: All right.
26
              Mr. Registrar, can we stand down for a moment
27
         to get a printout for Mr. Fox of the reasons for
28
          judgment?
29
    THE CLERK: Yes, Madam Justice.
30
    THE COURT: Do you have a copy of those, Mr. Registrar?
31
    THE CLERK: I -- I don't have anything, no.
32
    THE COURT: All right. Maybe we -- you and I can go
33
          out in the hallway. I can send you copy by email
34
          or one of us will get it printed.
35
    THE CLERK:
                Sure.
36
    THE COURT: All right.
37
               So Mr. Sheriff, we'll stand down for -- to
38
          get a copy of the reasons.
39
              Mr. Johnson, we're just going to stand down
40
         to make sure Mr. Fox has a copy of the reasons.
41
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you.
42
    THE CLERK: Order in court. Court is stood down.
43
44
               (TELECONFERENCE PAUSED)
45
46
               (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
47
               (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)
```

```
1
               (TELECONFERENCE RESUMES)
2
3
                Mr. Sheriff, can I get you to pass this
    THE CLERK:
4
         along?
5
    THE SHERIFF: Yeah.
6
    THE CLERK: Thank you. Thank you.
7
    THE COURT: We're on the record, Mr. Registrar?
8
    THE CLERK:
                Yes.
9
    THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, just so you know, Mr. Fox has
10
         now been provided with a copy of the reasons of
11
         Judge Galati.
12
13
    SUBMISSIONS FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON, CONTINUING:
14
15
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                       Thank you, Madam Justice. Much
16
         appreciated.
17
               Mr. Fox is before the Provincial court on a
18
          file which is an allegation of a breach of
19
         probation. He was convicted and sentenced to 16
20
         months in jail for previous breaches in April of
21
         this year. And when he was convicted by
22
         Judge Rideout he was put on a probation, and a
23
         condition of that probation is that he remove a
24
         website which relates to Mr. Fox's former spouse,
25
         Ms. Desiree Capuano. Madam Justice, have you had
26
         the opportunity to read the transcript of -- of
27
         the bail hearing?
28
    THE COURT:
                I have.
29
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                       Thank you. Then I won't repeat most
30
          of what I said then, but in any event, I do wish
31
          to draw the court's attention to what's been said
32
         about Mr. Fox and his activities by the Court of
         Appeal. That would be at page 3 of the transcript
33
34
         of the bail hearing in Provincial court.
35
    THE COURT: Yes, just give me a moment. Just give me a
36
         moment, please.
37
               Yes.
38
                       Where I referenced a decision of our
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
39
          Court of Appeal in R. v. Fox, that's 2021 BCCA
40
          308, and paragraph 4 of that decision states:
41
42
               Since 2014, the appellant has engaged in a
43
               relentless campaign of harassment directed at
44
               his former spouse. Among other things, the
45
               appellant created a website in the name of
46
               his former spouse. The website contains a
47
               large amount of private information about the
```

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

Submissions for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

appellant's former spouse and others with whom she is associated. The purpose of the website appears to be to denigrate, humiliate and intimidate her, to interfere with her personal relationships, and to impair her economic prospects and emotional security. And then in the same decision at paragraph 16, the court in referring to the evidence indicated that: Det/Cst. Dent testified that on

September 16, 2020, he accessed one of the website domain names referred to in the probation order imposed by Phillips P.C.J. Its home page contained an entry dated August 19, 2020, at 1:53 p.m., which was written as a first-person letter to the Attorney General of British Columbia. As I understand it, the appellant contends that this letter was written the day before the probation order came into effect. The entry refers to the proceedings before Phillips P.C.J. and emphasizes "how ineffectual and impotent the Canadian justice system is [because] [t]hey can't even make a little pissant nobody like myself take down a website." The entry further asserts that "[t]hey can lock me up for the rest of my life, but I will never take down the website".

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

THE COURT: Mr. Johnson -- Mr. Johnson, your -- the audio is -- is pretty good, but it's not perfect. So just so you know, it's flickering a little bit. CNSL C. JOHNSON: Oh, thank you. THE CLERK: Madam Justice, would it be better if I try -- maybe I can just call him on the phone and that way we can see it might be a little bit better. I -- I just -- it will take just a minute for me to call him back.

THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, that might be preferable. Right now, we're accessing you through the -- the MS Teams, I believe, or the equivalent. And so Mr. Registrar, do you want to just let Mr. Johnson know what he should do at this point?

THE CLERK: Sure.

Mr. Johnson, we're just going to hang up on

```
you and I'll call you back, okay?
2
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you. I'll hang up.
3
    THE CLERK:
                Yeah, thank you.
4
5
               (VIDEOCONFERENCE CONCLUDES)
6
7
    THE COURT: Thank you.
8
9
               (TELECONFERENCE COMMENCES)
10
               (CNSL C. JOHNSON IN REMOTE LOCATION)
11
12
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                       Hello, Chris Johnson.
13
    THE CLERK: Mr. Johnson, can you hear us, okay?
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes, I can. Thank you.
14
15
                 Okay.
    THE CLERK:
16
    THE COURT:
                 It's much better, Mr. Johnson, go ahead.
17
18
    SUBMISSIONS FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON, CONTINUING:
19
20
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Then I just want to advise the court
21
         with respect to the outstanding matter from
22
         August. Mr. Fox was detained, shortly thereafter
23
         a trial date was scheduled, that trial date was in
24
         November of this year. And on the day of trial
25
         Mr. Fox indicated that he required some further
26
         materials, and at least in part because of that,
27
         the trial was adjourned and it's been adjourned to
28
         January the 10th, at 9:30 at the Main Street
29
         courthouse.
30
               And so that, really, is in a couple of weeks,
31
         or three, I suppose, at the most. But in any
32
         event, I wanted to bring it to the court's
33
         attention that that trial date is fast
34
         approaching.
35
               And then, the last thing I do want to refer
36
         the court to and Mr. Fox, is a JUSTIN conviction
         list which was filed with the materials. I
37
38
         believe that -- that Madam Justice, you should
39
         have a copy of that and I'm certain that Mr. Fox,
40
         because I dropped it off for him this morning.
41
    THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Fox is nodding. So I believe he
42
          does have that document.
                                    And I have it in front
43
          of me right now, Mr. Johnson.
44
                       Thank you.
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
45
               And I will say that all of the convictions
46
          listed therein relate to the very first entry
47
         which is a disposition date of November 10th of
```

Submissions for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

2017 when Mr. Fox received a three-year jail sentence with respect to charges of criminal harassment and possessing a firearm where not allowed, and in addition to that he received a probation order for three years. He's still on -- bound by that probation order.

Subsequently, he was convicted on June the 12th of 2020 with respect to new allegations of breaching that probation order.

And then, more recently, on August the 19th of 2020 he was convicted of another breach of that probation order. That specific breach was in relation to the website which Mr. Fox refuses to remove. And at that point in time, he was sentenced to six months in jail and a further term of probation, a condition of which was to remove the website.

Then most recently on April the 12th of 2021 Mr. Fox was again convicted of breach of that probation order and he was sentenced to a 16-month jail term. And that, in my submission, should indicate to the court the seriousness of these repeated offences. And again, Mr. Fox was sentenced to probation.

And the -- the gist of all of these probation orders are that Mr. Fox is required to remove a website. He has made it clear that he will never do that. He has told the police whether true or false that he transferred the website to some other person, but he is unable to make any -- provide any evidence that that's the case or that he has no control over it. A clear inference from his various statements are that he is able to comply with the probation order, but refuses to do so.

So given all of those circumstances, the Crown does say that there have been no material change in circumstances, and furthermore, that if he's released, Mr. Fox is almost assuredly going to commit further offences by at the very least breaching his probation order.

Those are my submissions.

THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, one question in terms of the adjournment at the trial in November of this year. You said that Mr. Fox had requested further materials. Is disclosure now complete?

CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes.

Submissions for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson Submissions by Accused

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Johnson.

CNSL C. JOHNSON: And I -- I should add on that point, that Mr. Fox recently advised the court, that is the Provincial court, that he had no further need for disclosure because he in effect got the disclosure out of the jail. And I will say that two hard drives provided to Mr. Fox disappeared in the prison last month and that the contents had been published on the internet. And so that was the use that Mr. Fox -- he indicated that's why he wanted the disclosure and that he'd made use of it in that way. But I can say that all of disclosure that he's requested has been provided to him.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Johnson.

Mr. Fox.

17 SUBMISSIONS BY ACCUSED:

THE ACCUSED: First I would like to say with respect to the issue of disclosure, the Crown's position is that they have provided disclosure to the jail. I have not received that disclosure. There's some scheme that they have with the jail right now because they don't want me to have the disclosure in my physical possession. So they provide it to the jail and then I'm supposed to go to the law library and access it there.

Apparently, though, the jail has no knowledge of that whatsoever. I've submitted formal requests here to the jail to get access to the disclosure material. As yet, I haven't had that, but that's as of December 14th. Prior to that, I used to have the disclosure in my possession in my cell.

THE COURT: Sorry. Just so I'm clear, sir.

THE ACCUSED: Sure.

THE COURT: Before December 14th, you did have the disclosure in your possession?

THE ACCUSED: Right. Prior to December 14th, the Crown would provide the disclosure to me on a hard drive.

THE COURT: I see. And what you're saying is that you no longer have those hard drives?

THE ACCUSED: I no longer have those hard drives. I hadn't have them for quite some time now. And the -- the Crown, apparently, has provided another copy of the disclosure and the -- the updated

Submissions by Accused

```
disclosure, but they've provided it to the jail
2
         and the jail has not given me access to it or
3
         confirmed that they have received it or anything.
         So I personally have not had access to it.
5
         Whether or not the jail does, I don't know.
6
    THE COURT: All right. Mr. -- Mr. Johnson just told me
7
         that the last -- one of the recent times you were
8
          in Provincial court, it became clear that -- or
9
         that you advised the court that you had no need
10
          for disclosure and that you had already had it.
11
          Is that accurate?
12
    THE ACCUSED: That is correct. The disclosure related
13
          to the current case, I don't believe that I
14
          require it at this point because I have done all
15
         the preparation that I would require for the
16
         upcoming trial.
17
                 Thank you.
    THE COURT:
18
    THE ACCUSED: However, at the trial, I'm going to
19
          require it during the cross-examination. But
20
          that's -- that's another matter.
21
    THE COURT: I expect Mr. Johnson can make arrangements
22
          for that.
23
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes. And just so that Mr. Fox is
24
          aware, again, and I believe he was present when an
25
          order was made in Provincial court, the disclosure
26
          is available to him at anytime Monday to Friday
27
         between 8:30 and [inaudible/audio cuts out]. And
28
         all he needs to do is request access to the
29
          library.
30
                         I understand that that is what Mr.
    THE ACCUSED: Okay.
31
          Johnson may have been told, but reality is a very
32
          different thing. Now, right here in my hand, I'm
33
         holding a special request form. This is formal
34
         request that I've submitted at the jail to get
35
         access to the disclosure material. It is dated
         the 15th, signed by the staff on the 15th. We are
36
37
         now on the 23rd, I still have not had access to
38
          that material.
39
               Reality and what Mr. Johnson might have been
40
         told are not necessarily the same thing.
41
    THE COURT: Right. Mr. Fox, you just told me that you
42
          don't need the disclosure now. You'll need it on
43
          the day of trial and -- and I anticipate that Mr.
44
          Johnson will ensure that that will happen on the
         day of trial.
45
46
    THE ACCUSED: Wonderful.
47
               Now, the Crown, Mr. Johnson has stated that
```

Submissions by Accused

he believes that there has been no change in the circumstances since the previous bail hearing, but I disagree with that.

At the time of my arrest when I was interviewed by the VPD, I informed them that I had sent an email to the administrator of the website requesting that they take down the website until my probation is finished.

At the time, the VPD wanted me to give them full access to my entire email account so that they can verify that and I refused because there's a lot of stuff. I mean, there's over ten years of emails in there and I wasn't going to just give them complete access to everything.

On October 12th, which was the day that the trial was supposed to commence, Mr. Johnson had stated that if I provide him or the Crown or VPD a copy of that email that he would not prosecute this charge because that would show that I had made some attempt or some effort to get the website taken down. And then on November 23rd, which was the second time that the trial was supposed to proceed, he again said that if I provide or give them access to that email that he would not prosecute the charge.

And my position both times has been that since I'm in custody I don't have access to the internet so I cannot access that email myself.

And again, I'm not going to give the VPD or the B.C. Prosecution Service full access to my laptop or full access to my email account.

Now, I had pointed out to Mr. Johnson on October 12th, and again on November 23rd, that the Crown's position has been that I have ownership and control of the website. And so if I had sent an email to the website based on the Crown's position, that would mean that I'm just sending an email to myself and so it would be irrelevant to the fact that I sent such an email. His response was, "Not necessarily."

So the fact that the Crown is now willing to stay or withdraw the charges if I provide them proof that I sent this email to the administrator of the website, shows that the Crown now acknowledges that I don't have ownership or control of the website and that some other party must be in control of the website. The problem

Submissions by Accused

though, is that if I don't have ownership or control of the website, I can't be compelled to take the website down. And so I'm not sure how the Crown intends to address that issue, but...

Now, it also seems very significant to me that the Crown -- the Crown is supposedly taking the position that the reason for these probation conditions and the reason they want the website taken down is because it is harming my ex-wife, Desiree Capuano. But it's significant -- well, it's -- okay. It may be significant that the website is hosted with a hosting provider in Arizona, in the U.S., which is the state that my ex-wife lives in. She has taken no steps at all over the past five years, certainly not over the past three years, since I've been on the probation order, to do anything about the website. It seems that it's the Crown and the Victim Services Department are the ones that are really pushing very hard to get this website taken down, not my ex-wife.

It's my belief that the reason the Crown wants the website taken down is because there is material on there that I believe, irrefutably proves that the Crown has been engaging in outrageous misconduct and corruption in my cases. And that's really, I believe, the Crown's underlying concern here. And I believe that that is also why the Crown is making such a big deal about the publishing of disclosure of material. And I'm not saying that I had anything to do with the publishing of the disclosure material, but that is their allegation.

Now, Mr. Johnson did bring up an article that was posted on the website. It was a letter -- or appearing or purporting to be a letter written by me to David Eby [phonetic] where he quoted some stuff from there. That has been on the website for over a year. To this date the Crown has failed or refused to provide any proof or any evidence at all that I had anything at all to do with that particular article. It was posted to the website on August 19th, which is a day that I was in court in person. So that entire day I was in the courtroom or in the holding cells at the courthouse, or being transported back to the jail. It would have been physically impossible for me to

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Submissions by Accused

have anything to do with composition or the publishing of that particular article.

And it should also be pointed out that the Crown has had -- what's it been, I quess three years or so now, to present any evidence at all that I have any ownership or control or even involvement in the website during the period of time that I have been probation. And so far they have not -- they've not provided any evidence to support that. In 2019, the VPD was investigating the first breach allegation related to the website. And Detective Jennifer Fontana was in contact with the hosting provider in Arizona. succeeded in getting the website suspended for 90 days. And presumably during that time she would have inquired about whether or not I am the owner of the website or having anything to do with the website. But even at that point when I crossexamined her at that trial, she stated that she did not have any evidence that I have anything at all to do -- or that I have any ownership or control over the website.

The Crown also mentioned that the trial is currently scheduled to start on January 10th, and that is only two and a half weeks or so away. But I would like to point out, for example, back in 2019 on the matter of 244069-5-B-C, the allegation that I breached probation by leaving British Columbia without permission, there was a similar situation there where I had a bail review two or three weeks before the trial was scheduled to start. The Crown brought up the fact that the trial was going to be starting in a couple of weeks and so another couple of weeks in custody wouldn't be that big of a deal. But then the trial started, but then ended up being adjourned repeatedly over a seven-month period. Well, I tried to obtain evidence from CBSA and the Crown over that period of time and CBSA consistently stated to the court that that evidence doesn't exist, that there's no record that I ever presented myself to them. After six months I obtained the records proving that they did exist and that I did present myself to them from IRCC. So just because the -- the trial was scheduled to start in two and a half weeks, certainly does not mean that it's not going to be adjourned again or

45

46

47

Submissions by Accused

that it might not be delayed for some other 2 reason. 3 THE COURT: Sorry, Mr. Fox, can I ask you. What's your 4 estimate in terms of length of trial? How many 5 davs? 6 THE ACCUSED: I believe we have a half day scheduled 7 for it at this point. Maybe Mr. Johnson can 8 confirm that? 9 CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes, I believe we set it for three 10 hours and we were advised that if we needed more 11 time we could likely have it. So I think it's --12 it's most likely scheduled for a day. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 THE ACCUSED: Mr. Johnson also mentioned or made 15 reference to a original probation order that was 16 issued by Justice Holmes back in 2017 on the 17 criminal harassment offence. That was imposed for 18 the statutory maximum of three years. That order 19 expires on December 28th, which is only a few days 20 away. That probation order also had a condition' 21 that prohibited me from leaving British Columbia. 22 And I can say that at this point, once I'm no 23 longer required to remain in Canada, it is my 24 intention to leave Canada as quickly as I possibly 25 And of course, if I'm released on bail, then obviously, I would have to remain here until the 26 27 trial is finished in this matter. 28 But let's see, other than that probation 29 order, there's one other probation order. I 30 believe it's the one that was imposed by Judge 31 Rideout which doesn't expire until August of next 32 year. However, since that one is, also, 33 essentially, the same conditions related to the 34 website as the Justice Holmes order, I would 35 likely seek to have that one terminated or I'd 36 like to have the time of it shortened so that once 37 the original Justice Holmes order expires, then 38 perhaps, I can get the other one removed and then 39 I can just go back to the U.S. and move on with my 40 life. 41 What I would say -- I mean, what would seem 42 reasonable to me at this point, since the Crown is 43 acknowledging that there likely is an email that

was sent by me to the administrator of the website

requesting that they take it down until my

probation is completed, and since they have

already stated that if I provide them a copy of

Submissions by Accused Reply for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

that email, that they won't prosecute this — this charge, what seems reasonable to me is that I should be released on bail with the condition that within 48 hours of my release I would provide a copy of that email to the Crown. And the reason I would say within 48 hours is because at this point, I'm homeless. I have no contacts, no — no friends or family in Canada. We have this incredibly brutal cold weather that's coming in the next few days. And my laptop and phone have been seized by the VPD so there may be some logistical issues in being able to forward the email to — to Mr. Johnson.

And those would be all of my submissions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, in reply?

REPLY FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:

CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes. Just in reply, I don't, on behalf of the Crown, say that it's likely that Mr. Fox made efforts to remove the website. In fact, I take quite the opposite. I did have a discussion, at least one, with Mr. Fox where I indicated that if he was willing to show the police the email that he allegedly sent, that I would consider the charge. I never said that if he has an email I would drop the charge, I said I would consider doing that. But the -- the clear inference is that Mr. Fox refused to provide that to the police and as a result the inference that I believe exists is the same that Judge Galati drew which is that that's unlikely.

THE COURT: Unlikely that he sent the email? CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And I recall from the transcript of the bail hearing before Judge Galati is that Mr. Fox suggests, as he did today, that he was asked to give the police access to all of his emails, whereas the police indicate that they requested only the significant email, if I can put it that way.

CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes. That is correct.

THE ACCUSED: Um --

THE COURT: Sorry, Mr. Fox has his hand up, again.

THE ACCUSED: I'm sorry. I don't mean to interrupt, but --

Reply for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

```
THE COURT: Go ahead.
2
    THE ACCUSED: -- it has been established since then
3
          that in fact the police were requesting access to
          the entire email account. That is in the -- the
5
          police interview which has been provided. So I'm
6
          sure Mr. Johnson is well aware of that at this
7
          point.
8
     THE COURT: Mr. Johnson?
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Well, I -- I dispute that.
9
10
          that the police indicated to Mr. Fox that they
11
          wanted to look at that email and that email only.
12
          Although, that would entail looking with Mr. Fox's
13
          assistance, I would assume, at the -- at his
14
          email, and all of his emails without examining
15
          them, just to produce the one. The police are
16
          only interested in the one email. They're not
17
          interested in anything else.
18
    THE COURT: Right. Anything else in reply, Mr.
19
          Johnson?
20
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: No, thank you, My Lady. I'm sorry,
21
          Madam Justice.
22
    THE COURT: That's all right.
          I note the time. I would propose that we stand this matter down until 3:30. Is that
23
24
25
          acceptable, Mr. Sheriff?
26
    THE SHERIFF: Yeah. Whatever is required, My Lady.
27
    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [inaudible/away from mic]
28
    THE COURT: Thank you.
               Mr. Johnson, then, we'll stand down, Mr.
29
          Registrar, until 3:30.
30
31
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And Mr. -- Mr. Registrar will call me
32
          at 3:30?
33
    THE CLERK: Yes, I will call you back for -- again,
34
          thank you.
35
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you.
36
     THE CLERK: Thank you, Johnson.
37
               Order in court. Court is stood down until
38
          3:30 p.m.
39
40
               (TELECONFERENCE STOOD DOWN)
41
42
               (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
43
               (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)
44
45
               (TELECONFERENCE RESUMES)
46
47
    THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, are you still on the line?
```

Proceedings

```
CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes, I am, Madam Justice.
2
    THE COURT: Thank you.
3
              Mr. Registrar, I will now give reasons.
4
    THE ACCUSED: Um --
5
    THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Fox?
6
    THE ACCUSED: I'm sorry, before we proceed, may I just
7
         make two very short quick notes --
8
    THE COURT: Yes.
9
    THE ACCUSED: -- that I would like to point out.
10
               The first is when I was being interviewed by
11
         the VPD following my arrest, what they were
12
          requesting from me is the passwords for my phone
13
         and my laptop, and that's the only option that
14
         they presented to me. Nothing about going through
15
         my emails in my presence or anything like that.
16
         They wanted full access to my laptop and my -- my
17
         phone and there was no other option provided. Mr.
18
         Johnson must be aware of this because he's
19
         reviewed that -- that interview.
20
               And the other point I wanted to make is Mr.
21
         Johnson has stated in court on the record that if
22
          I provide him a copy of that email that we were
23
         discussing earlier that he would likely withdraw
         the charge. And I bring this up because both of
24
25
          these points are contrary to what Mr. Johnson had
26
         stated before we stood down.
27
               That's all, thank you.
28
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I won't reply to that, Madam Justice.
    THE ACCUSED: It's all recorded. It's in the DARS.
29
30
    THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Fox.
31
               Mr. Registrar, I'll now give reasons.
32
    THE CLERK: Yes, Madam Justice.
33
34
               [REASONS FOR JUDGMENT RE JUDICIAL INTERIM
35
              RELEASE HEARING]
36
37
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                       Thank you, Madam Justice.
38
    THE COURT:
                Thank you. That concludes today's hearing.
39
    THE CLERK:
                 Order in court.
                                 Court is adjourned.
40
41
               (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)
42
43
44
45
    Transcriber: J. Vanin
46
47
```

 I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability.

J. Vanin

Court Transcriber