244069-8-B Vancouver Registry

In the Provincial Court of British Columbia

(BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE J.F. GALATI)

Vancouver, B.C. August 18, 2021

REGINA

٧.

PATRICK HENRY FOX

PROCEEDINGS AT JUDICIAL INTERIM RELEASE HEARING

COPY

244069-8-B Vancouver Registry

In the Provincial Court of British Columbia

(BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUDGE J.F. GALATI)

Vancouver, B.C. August 18, 2021

REGINA

٧.

PATRICK HENRY FOX

PROCEEDINGS AT JUDICIAL INTERIM RELEASE HEARING

Crown Counsel: C.S. Johnson, Q.C.

Defence Counsel: S.C.M. Daniells, Q.C., Duty Counsel

INDEX

| SUBMISSIONS FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON: | 5 7 0 |
|---|-------------|
| REPLY FOR ACCUSED BY CNSL S. DANIELLS:1 | 2 |
| | |
| EXHIBITS | |
| Nil | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| RULINGS | |
| [REASONS FOR JUDGMENT RE JUDICIAL INTERIM RELEASE HEARING]1 | 2 |

```
1
                                 Vancouver, B.C.
2
                                 August 18, 2021
 3
4
               (VIDEOCONFERENCE COMMENCES)
5
               (CROWN COUNSEL C. JOHNSON, DEFENCE COUNSEL
6
              S. DANIELLS AND THE ACCUSED AT REMOTE
7
              LOCATIONS)
8
9
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Good morning, Your Honour.
10
         Chris Johnson, J-o-h-n-s-o-n. I'm Crown on one
11
         matter that should be on your list. It's the
12
         matter of Fox, Patrick Fox.
13
    THE CLERK: Number 43.
14
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: He's in custody.
15
    THE COURT: Number what?
16
    THE CLERK: Forty-three, Your Honour.
17
    THE COURT: Is there counsel on that one, Mr. Johnson?
18
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: No, Your Honour. It's going to be
19
         duty counsel. Susan Daniells. I've spoken to
20
         Mr. Fox.
21
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: The Crown is showing cause on that
22
         matter, Your Honour. It just -- it's a breach
23
         from yesterday. There's quite a long history. I
24
         think it will probably take -- if we really are
25
         concise we could do it in 20 minutes.
26
              The problem I have is that I'm assigned to
27
         the file and I'm not available after 11 o'clock
28
         this morning. So if we could do it now, that
29
         would be terrific, but I just don't know if we
30
         can.
31
    THE COURT: Okay. Where is Mr. Fox? North Fraser?
32
    THE SHERIFF: Downstairs, Your Honour.
33
    THE COURT: Oh.
34
    THE SHERIFF: Do you want me to call for him?
35
    THE COURT: Yeah. You're ready to go?
36
    THE SHERIFF: Yes.
37
    THE COURT: By all means, call for him. That's an
38
         interesting condition on the Fox matter. Is it on
39
         the pick list? All right.
              You're Mr. Fox?
40
41
    THE ACCUSED: That is correct.
42
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Chris Johnson, Your Honour, for the
43
         record. J-o-h-n-s-o-n. I'm appearing for the
44
         provincial Crown on this matter.
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: Susan Daniells as duty counsel, Your Honour. Mr. Fox has agreed that I can make some
45
46
47
         submissions. He may wish to make some himself.
```

He's seeking his release.

2 3

SUBMISSIONS FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:

CNSL C. JOHNSON: Your Honour, Mr. Fox is before the court on Information Number 244069-B-8, and in that regard it's an allegation of a breach of Judge Rideout's probation order which was issued April of this year. At that time Mr. Fox was convicted of breaching his [indiscernible/remote audio] and was sentenced to six months imprisonment, which was actually a 16-month sentence given time served.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. -- Mr. Johnson, you're breaking up here, so I'm only hearing every second word. CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you.

THE COURT: So the probation order was imposed April 12th for what offence?

CNSL C. JOHNSON: Breach of probation. And at that time Mr. Fox was sentenced to 16 months in jail, but he had quite a bit of time served, so he received an effective sentence of six months and was released from that sentence on August the 12th of this year. And so after his release he was monitored by the police. He went and lived at Belkin House, which is a residence in Vancouver. He -- the police were interested in knowing whether he would cooperate with his -- comply with his order because he'd failed to do so on a number of previous occasions.

They checked -- he had set up a website some time ago called www.desicapuano.com. And Desi Capuano is Mr. Fox's former spouse. So Mr. Fox has been before the court for many years now with respect to operating this website which relates to his former spouse.

In any event, the police checked the website and they found that, lo and behold, Mr. Fox had not removed the website within 48 hours as he was required to do so, and so he was arrested yesterday, and I approved this charge yesterday evening. So he's before the court on that matter.

He does presently have -- I should say that he's appealed the conviction of Judge Rideout and the court recently -- that is the Court of Appeal recently issued a decision. And I'm going to review two paragraphs of that decision for Your

Submissions for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

Honour's reference because it gives the court a flavour of Mr. Fox and his situation.

So the decision is R. v. Fox, 2021 BCCA 308.

And paragraph 4 the court of appeal state:

Since 2014, the appellant has engaged in a relentless campaign of harassment directed at his former spouse. Among other things, the appellant created a website in the name of his former spouse. The website contains a large amount of private information about the appellant's former spouse and others with whom she is associated. The purpose of the website appears to be to denigrate, humiliate and intimidate her, to interfere with her personal relationships, and to impair her economic prospects and emotional security.

Then in paragraph 16 of that decision the court says:

Det/Cst. Dent testified that on September 16, 2020, he accessed one of the website domain names referred to in the probation order imposed by Phillips P.C.J. Its home page contained an entry dated August 19, 2020, at 1:53 p.m., which was written as a firstperson letter to the Attorney General of British Columbia. As I understand it, the appellant contends that this letter was written the day before the probation order came into effect. The entry refers to the proceedings before Phillips P.C.J. and emphasizes "how ineffectual and impotent the Canadian justice system is because they can't even make a little pissant nobody like myself take down a website." The entry further asserts that "they can lock me up for the rest of my life, but I will never take down the website."

Mr. Fox was arrested by the police yesterday morning and at that time he indicated a couple of things. One of which was that he had, he maintained, emailed the website and [indiscernible/background noise] -THE ACCUSED: I'm sorry, I didn't get that.

```
CNSL C. JOHNSON: That he had emailed the website
2
         operators and asked them to remove it.
3
    THE ACCUSED: Okay.
4
    THE COURT: Sorry. That's what he told the police?
5
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: That's what he told the police.
6
              When they arrested Mr. Fox, he was in
7
         possession of both a cellphone and a laptop
8
         computer. And they asked him to show them the
9
         email that he maintains that he sent, and he
10
         refused to do so. So the inference that I take
11
         from that is that he didn't in fact do that, but
12
         of course inferences are for Your Honour, not me.
13
              Mr. Fox has a history with a review -- if
14
         Your Honour can just give me one -- I'm referring
15
         to documents myself. Sorry, Your Honour.
16
         one moment.
17
              Your Honour, perhaps so that I don't waste
18
         the court time, while I'm -- what I -- the only
19
         thing I want to add to my submissions here is
20
         Mr. Fox's history. And so I don't know if
21
         Ms. Daniells wants to proceed while I'm looking
22
         for it, but I know I have it, so I'm in Your
23
         Honour's hands as to what we should do.
                                                  I'm just
24
         searching for it at the moment.
25
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: Well, why don't I proceed, if
26
         Mr. Fox indeed does wish to continue on today.
27
              So, Mr. Fox, when we spoke earlier you
28
         thought you might or might not wish to proceed.
29
         Do you want to do that today or do you want a few
30
         days to prepare.
31
    THE ACCUSED: At this point there's nothing that I have
32
         to challenge Mr. Johnson on because so far he
33
         hasn't gone on with any outrageous lies about me,
34
         so we can proceed. But I do want to point out
35
         that I --
36
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: All right. Mr. --
37
    THE ACCUSED: Sorry?
38
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: Mr. Fox, why don't -- why don't I
39
         start and then you can fill in when I've finished
40
         what I have to say. How does that sound?
41
    THE ACCUSED: No. Because here's the thing: I'm in a
42
         closet. I have no paper, no pen. I can't take
43
         any notes to keep track of who's saying anything
44
         that I want to respond to. So the best I can tell
45
         you is please go ahead and hopefully will do a
46
         good job here. Who knows.
47
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: All right.
```

```
THE COURT: Well --
1
2
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: So, Your Honour --
3
    THE COURT: Just -- yeah. I just want to be clear
4
         what's happening here. Either this bail hearing
5
         is going to go ahead and conclude or you can
6
         withdraw your application for bail now. It's
7
         unlikely that I'm going to agree to adjourn this
8
         once we're deeply into it. Just so that you're
9
         clear on that, Mr. Fox.
10
    THE ACCUSED: Okay. I'm clear.
11
    THE COURT: Okay. So if you want to be better prepared
         in terms of having access to pen and paper and
12
13
         making notes, et cetera, you may want to withdraw
14
         your application today and start over.
15
    THE ACCUSED: No, let's proceed.
16
    THE COURT:
                Okay.
17
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: Yes, Your Honour.
18
19
    SUBMISSIONS FOR ACCUSED BY CNSL S. DANIELLS:
20
21
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: Mr. Fox tells me he's 47 years old,
22
         born in Florida. He's been in Canada since 2013.
23
         I understand from him that he is --[indiscernible/
24
         remote audio]. Now, I'm getting quite a bit
25
         [indiscernible/remote audio].
26
    THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Daniells, same thing.
27
         you're fading in and out too.
28
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: Yes. I could hear what sounded like
29
         a waterfall briefly, but I think -- I think I'm
30
         back in.
31
    THE COURT: Yes.
32
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: So Mr. Fox has indicated he's 47
33
         years old, born in Florida. He has been in B.C.
34
         since 2013. He's certainly prepared to stay here
35
         until these matters are concluded and he has been,
36
         as indicated, staying at Belkin House.
37
              I understand from him that he has a degree
38
         from UCLA in computer science and he is -- has in
39
         the past worked as a software [indiscernible/
40
         remote audio]. He tells me that he has, since
41
         released, contacted the people who run the site
42
         that this material is on and has indeed requested
43
         that it be taken down. When he spoke to the
44
         police he advises me they asked -- or rather,
45
         required he show them his entire email
46
         transactions, which he was not prepared to do.
47
         But when I spoke to him this morning he told me if
```

Submissions for Accused by Cnsl S. Daniells

```
1
         he could get access to a computer, he would be in
2
         a position to print the email that he sent
3
         requiring the site be taken down. Although he
4
         created it, [indiscernible/remote audio] to take
5
         it down. That is up to the administrator
6
         following his direction and [indiscernible/remote
7
         audiol --
    THE COURT: Sorry, Ms. Daniells, this isn't working for
8
9
         me. I...
10
                      Sorry, Your Honour.
    CNSL S. DANIELLS:
11
    THE COURT: I can only hear part of what you're saying.
12
         I think I got that the police wanted access to all
13
         of his emails and he's willing to produce the one
14
         email.
15
    CNSL S. DANIELLS:
                       That's correct.
16
    THE COURT: Okay.
17
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: Anything you wanted to add to that,
18
         Mr. Fox?
19
    THE ACCUSED: After you said the part about the emails
20
         you were then explaining about -- that while I did
21
         originally create the site and make the site
22
         public, before the probation began I transferred
23
         ownership of the site to a third party so that I
24
         couldn't be compelled to take it down because of
25
         the probation conditions. Since I've been on
26
         probation, I don't have ownership and control of
27
         the website and the Crown has failed to produce
28
         any evidence whatsoever that I had any involvement
29
         in the website since then. Of course that hasn't
30
         stopped me from being convicted and found guilty
31
         of these things.
32
    THE COURT:
                So, sorry, just so that I'm clear.
33
         transferred the website to a third person?
34
    THE ACCUSED: In the United States, yes. Somebody who
35
         could not be coerced or pressured by the Canadian
36
         authorities because they're not in Canada.
37
    THE COURT: Okay. So the purpose of that was so that
38
         you wouldn't have to take it down.
39
    THE ACCUSED: Well, right. If I don't own it, then I
40
         can't be compelled to take it down.
41
    THE COURT: Okay. Was that before the sentencing
42
         judge?
43
    THE ACCUSED: That was before the probation began in
44
         December 2018.
45
    THE COURT: But who was the sentencing judge?
46
         Judge Rideout, I think.
47
    THE ACCUSED: Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. That information
```

44

45

46

47

Submissions for Accused by Cnsl S. Daniells

was presented to the sentencing judge in both cases, Phillips and Rideout. 3 It should also be noted in the reasons for 4 judgment of both of those convictions, both of 5 those judges acknowledged that the Crown did not 6 prove that I have any involvement or ownership of 7 the website, but regardless. 8 CNSL C. JOHNSON: Well, I'm going to disagree with the 9 term "involvement," Your Honour, but we can 10 address that later. 11 THE COURT: Okay. So -- just so that I can understand 12 here, Mr. Fox. So it's your position with respect to the charge that you're facing right now that 13 14 you can't comply with it even though you are also 15 saying that you have tried to comply with it. 16 THE ACCUSED: That is correct. And I can say that I 17 can certainly continue to try to contact the 18 current administrators of the site and ask them to 19 take it down until my probation is done or until I 20 leave Canada or something, but I can't compel them 21 or force them to do anything against their will. 22 Hey, Mr. Wolfe. 23 Oh, also I think it's critically important 24 that I believe the Crown's interest in this 25 website is not protecting my ex-wife, Ms. Capuano; 26 it's because there's a lot of proof of corruption 27 that's been going on in my cases on the part of 28 the Crown. I believe that's what they're really 29 interested in. 30 CNSL C. JOHNSON: Your Honour, I do have the history 31 that I can put before the court now, if Your 32 Honour is --33 THE COURT: Sure. 34 CNSL C. JOHNSON: Is this an appropriate time for that? 35 I don't -- I've sent a copy of it to Ms. Daniells 36 by phone. But it is important for Your Honour to 37 be aware of this. 38 THE COURT: Yes. 39 40 SUBMISSIONS FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON, CONTINUING: 41 42 CNSL C. JOHNSON: So in -- on January 11th of 2015

Mr. Fox was charged with a series of offences.
And he can correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe it was seven offences. He was then convicted in Supreme Court on November the 10th of 2017 and was convicted of criminal harassment and possession of

```
a firearm. And then the sentence that he received
1
2
         at that time was a three-year jail sentence with
 3
         respect to the criminal harassment. He was given
4
         some credit for time served and ended up with an
5
         actual sentence of 17 months at that point in
6
         time.
7
              And then the court put him on a three-year
8
         probation order which included dealing with this
9
         particular website. He also received a 17-month
10
         [indiscernible/remote audio] with respect to the
11
         firearms offence. And that's an allegation
12
         [indiscernible/remote audio] that he was in
13
         possession of a firearm while attempting to enter
14
         [indiscernible/remote audio]. And Mr. Fox may be
15
         able to clear that up --
16
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
17
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- [indiscernible/overlapping
18
         speakers | that.
19
    THE ACCUSED: Yes. There was a huge number of
20
         inaccuracies in everything you just said. Are you
21
         finished, or should I proceed now?
22
    THE COURT: Well --
23
    THE ACCUSED: I'm glad you find this funny,
24
         Mr. Johnson.
25
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I will say, Your Honour, that in
26
         addition to that, then subsequently because of not
27
         complying with the order to remove the website, on
28
         June the 12th of 2020 Mr. Fox was convicted of two
29
         counts of breach of the probation order. And that
30
         was with -- that was Judge Phillips that he had
31
         appeared before. And at that point in time he was
32
         credited with eight months time served and
33
         received a jail sentence of one day, and so it was
34
         effectively a six month sentence reached. And he
35
         was also put in probation for 18 [indiscernible/
36
         remote audio] at that point in time.
37
    THE COURT: Sorry, put on probation for 18 months?
38
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes.
39
    THE COURT:
                Okay. And these two breaches, what were
40
         they? Failing to deal with his website?
41
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes.
42
    THE ACCUSED: No, that's not correct. Those were
43
         before Judge St. Pierre and those were being
44
         within a hundred metres of the U.S. border and
45
         leaving B.C. without permission.
46
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Actually, I stand corrected. Mr. Fox
47
         is correct, Your Honour.
```

```
THE ACCUSED: I'm always correct, Mr. Johnson.
1
2
    THE COURT: Okay.
 3
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I may not agree with that portion,
4
         but yes, that is correct. Then, Your Honour, on
         August the 19th of 2020 Mr. Fox was convicted of
5
6
         another breach of the probation order and that was
7
         Judge Phillips, I believe.
8
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
9
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And Mr. Fox was -- and I was the
10
         Crown at that point in time. That's when I first
11
         became involved in Mr. Fox's matters. And the
12
         sentence that he received was a six-month jail
13
         sentence. Because he'd been in custody for a
14
         period of time, he was given credit for four
15
         months. And he was then sentenced to a further
16
         probation order of six months. Then, Your
17
         Honour --
18
    THE COURT: Just let me catch up. So the first two
19
         breaches were not Judge Phillips; they were
20
         Judge...
21
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                     St. Pierre.
22
    THE COURT: St. Pierre.
23
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes.
24
    THE COURT: Okay.
25
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Those were the -- the breaches were
26
         dealt with on June 12th [indiscernible/remote
27
         audio].
28
    THE COURT: Okay.
29
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And then August the 19th of 2020 it
30
         was one breach [indiscernible/remote audio] before
31
         Judge Phillips. And the sentence that was imposed
32
         was the one that I've just described.
33
               And what was the breach?
    THE COURT:
34
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I'm just trying to recall.
35
         don't -- I've got -- Mr. Fox? I'm sure he's well
36
         aware and I'm going to rely on his description.
37
    THE ACCUSED: Failing or refusing to take down the
38
         website.
39
    THE COURT: Sorry, failing to take down the website?
40
    THE ACCUSED: Correct. That was the first time that I
41
         was charged with breaching that.
42
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And then, Your Honour, he was again
43
         required to take down the website and again did
44
         not do so and again came before the court. This
45
         was on April the 12th of this year. This is Judge
46
         Rideout. And Mr. Fox had a trial and was
47
         convicted.
```

Submissions for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

He was then sentenced to a term of 16 months of jail. Because of time served, the effective jail sentence at that point was six [indiscernible/remote audio]. And he was then also sentenced to a further term of probation and that probation again required him to remove the website, not to simply send an email or say he sent it. Actually remove the website within 48 hours of your release from custody.

Again -- Mr. Fox is now before the court on -- this would be the fifth breach allegation and the third one directly relating to this website and his failure to remove it. The website, Your Honour, I did once review it and it contains as I've already described [indiscernible/remote audio] the Court Appeal. It's not just information about his ex-wife, but he's got [indiscernible/remote audio] allegations and quotes about various lawyers, et cetera. But that's -- the gist of what the Crown is concerned about here is this unrelenting campaign against his spouse which is now in its seventh year.

And I would suggest too, Your Honour, that Mr. Fox on the secondary ground is entirely likely to continue reoffending. And I'll just say that the police report that I viewed does not indicate that they wanted to look [indiscernible/remote audio] email chain. It indicates that they wanted to see the email that Mr. Fox referenced.

THE ACCUSED: May I address a few points that were grossly incorrect in Mr. Johnson's statements?

SUBMISSIONS FOR ACCUSED BY PATRICK FOX:

THE ACCUSED: Thank you. First of all, with respect to the firearms charge, that wasn't possession of a firearm. It was possession of a firearm in a place not authorized. I had a firearms licence and I was fully legally authorized to own and possess those firearms. What happened was when I was moving back to Los Angeles I took my firearms with me to Los Angeles. The allegation was that I brought the guns to a shipping facility so I can ship them there, but my ACL didn't authorize me to bring them there. So it was an administrative offence; it wasn't anything related to possessing firearms I wasn't allowed to have.

Submissions for Accused by Patrick Fox

```
1
              The other point that I wanted to make is that
2
         Mr. Johnson wants the court to believe that
3
         they're trying to protect Ms. Capuano from this
4
         ongoing torment that's been going on for years
5
         from this website. However, they refuse to
6
         prosecute me for criminal harassment again based
7
         on this website. If they convicted me of criminal
8
         harassment for this website back in 2016 and if I
9
         put the website back online, it must still be
10
         criminal harassment. That's a much more serious
11
         offence than a probation violation. So their
12
         claim on that does not stand up to even the
13
         tiniest scrutiny. They can't say that they're
14
         trying to protect Ms. Capuano but then ignore the
15
         criminal harassment element of it.
16
              Sorry?
17
    THE COURT: No, no, go ahead. Finish up.
18
    THE ACCUSED: Sorry, that's all I can remember from
19
         what he was saying.
20
    THE COURT: Okay. And actually, just to correct you
21
         there, Mr. Fox, the Crown can do that. They can
22
         ignore whatever they want to ignore. It's up to
23
         the Crown to decide what to proceed with and what
24
         not to proceed with.
25
    THE ACCUSED: I understand they can. What I mean is
26
         it's illogical; it's not rational.
27
    THE COURT: Fair enough. Mr. Johnson, what -- what I'm
28
         not -- what -- my question really relates to the
29
         strength of the Crown's case on the charge that
30
         Mr. Fox is currently facing. How -- what is the
31
         Crown's theory of how it will prove this charge?
32
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Well, the probation order is barely
33
         [indiscernible/remote audio] that he's required to
34
         remove [indiscernible/remote audio].
35
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: I can't hear Mr. Johnson.
36
         think [indiscernible/remote audio] --
37
    THE COURT: Okay. Sorry. This really isn't working.
38
         It's worse than yesterday. Sorry, Ms. Daniells.
39
         I'm not blaming you, but I can't hear you. Every
40
         second word.
41
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Your Honour, I pointed this out in
42
         the past, but it's when the clerk moves files that
43
         it causes disruption in the transmission.
44
    THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's try not to move anything
45
         and see if that helps.
46
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you.
47
    CNSL S. DANIELLS:
                       It has already. Thank you.
```

2 3

REPLY FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:

CNSL C. JOHNSON: So, Your Honour, just on that point of, firstly, your inquiry. I say that the probation order requires him to remove that website regardless of whether he's assigned it to someone else. Mr. Fox created that website and he is, as far as the police are concerned and the evidence, it doesn't appear that someone else is operating that website.

Your Honour, the post that referenced from last year was -- the only inference from that is that it was Mr. Fox saying that on that website. The fact that he says he's sent an email isn't really evidence because he was given the opportunity to show that email and failed to do so. He's maintained before two of your fellow judges this exact same story, that is that he's assigned this website to someone else. That hasn't been accepted on the evidence. And he's again giving us the same story.

And just lastly, Your Honour, Mr. Fox has also been requesting during the period of time that I've had conduct of these matters that he be charged with criminal harassment. And I'm -- I've declined to do that because my conclusion is that Mr. Fox wants us to have to call his former spouse as a witness. So that's not the only reason. [indiscernible/remote audio] it's a clear breach [indiscernible/remote audio].

THE COURT: Okay.

REPLY FOR ACCUSED BY CNSL S. DANIELLS:

CNSL S. DANIELLS: Yes. I'll just reiterate, Your Honour, he's made it clear that he does not control the website. He's done what he can last week to direct that it be taken down.

THE COURT: Anything else?

CNSL S. DANIELLS: No, Your Honour.

CNSL C. JOHNSON: No, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

[REASONS FOR JUDGMENT RE JUDICIAL INTERIM RELEASE HEARING]

THE COURT: Return date?

```
1
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: How soon can he get particulars, Mr.
2
         Johnson?
3
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Well, that's [indiscernible/audio
4
         cuts out.].
5
    THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Johnson, I cannot --
6
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I'm just waiting for the clerk to
7
         finish moving the files. Thank you. Your Honour,
8
         disclosure for Mr. Fox, the Crown has a procedure
9
         where it's disclosed to him electronically on a
10
         web -- on a laptop at North Fraser. I would say
11
         that that would probably take a week. The reason
         we have this procedure is because Mr. Fox has, on
12
13
         a number of occasions, published parts of the
14
         [indiscernible/audio cuts out].
15
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: So one --
16
    THE ACCUSED (via video): Your Honour --
17
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: -- week, would that --
18
    THE ACCUSED: Sorry, Ms. Daniells, if I may. My
19
         experience with Mr. Johnson and the disclosure,
20
         particularly from this last charge a year ago,
21
         he's going to ignore all of my requests until
22
         three days before the trial. That's when he
23
         provided me disclosure the last time. So whatever
24
         he says he's going to do about the disclosure at
25
         this -- well, actually, for you it's not really
26
         going to be a concern, because I'll be
27
         representing myself at that point, but it's not --
28
         it doesn't matter what he says at this point. I
29
         mean, they'll delay giving me the disclosure as
30
         much as they can.
31
    THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Fox --
32
    THE ACCUSED: Yeah.
33
    THE COURT:
               -- pick a date that you want to come back
34
         to court.
35
    THE ACCUSED: Any -- anytime. I mean, it doesn't
36
         matter. I'll be in custody until who knows when,
37
         so -- but I would like to point out one thing --
38
    THE COURT:
               So a --
39
    THE ACCUSED: -- if I --
40
    THE COURT: -- couple weeks is fine?
41
    THE ACCUSED: Yeah, sure.
    THE COURT: Okay.
42
43
    THE ACCUSED: [Indiscernible/overlapping speakers.] --
44
    THE COURT: What does a couple weeks bring us to? Is
45
         that a Wednesday? So September 1? That will work
46
         for everyone?
47
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
```

```
CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes.
1
2
    THE COURT: And that will be -- in what court do you
3
         suggest, Mr. Johnson?
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I would --
5
    CNSL S. DANIELLS: 102, I think, Mr. Johnson.
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes, I agree --
7
    THE COURT: I think so, too.
8
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes, I agree with that.
9
    THE COURT: Okay. Now, you wanted to say something
10
         else --
11
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
    THE COURT: -- and I cut you off, so go ahead and say
12
13
         whatever it was.
14
    THE ACCUSED: Thank you. Mr. Johnson and the Crown
15
         insists that I have control over this website, and
16
         that's fine; but if I have control over the
17
         website and I'm going to be detained, I have no
18
         access to the Internet. So, by detaining me, they
19
         are preventing me from being able to take down the
20
         website. You see, logic and reason do not apply
21
         with the B.C.P.S.
22
    THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Fox, as I have indicated
23
         in my brief remarks --
24
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
25
    THE COURT: -- I have -- I can see that there may be a
26
         triable issue with respect to the charge, but that
27
         is --
28
    THE ACCUSED: Right.
29
    THE COURT: -- not the issue before me today. So --
30
    THE ACCUSED: I under --
31
    THE COURT: -- muster your arguments and make them at
32
         trial if you are going to be representing
33
         vourself.
34
    THE ACCUSED: Mm-hmm.
35
    THE COURT: In the meantime, your next appearance will
36
         be by video September 1 at 9:30 in Courtroom 102.
37
         Thank you --
38
    THE ACCUSED: Wonderful.
39
    THE COURT: Thank you very much.
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Your Honour, just before we leave, my
40
41
         office is about to undergo renovations, and so I'm
42
         going to ask Mr. Fox to address any correspondence
43
         to me to the courthouse at 222 Main Street and not
44
         my office address.
45
    THE ACCUSED: Okay.
46
    THE COURT: Okay. Thank you all.
47
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you.
```

```
CNSL S. DANIELLS: Thank you.
 1
 2
                 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO SEPTEMBER 1, 2021,
 4
5
6
7
                 AT 9:30 A.M.)
     Transcriber: A. Pinsent
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
```