COURT OF APPEAL

ON APPEAL FROM THE PROVINCIAL COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, FROM THE JUDGMENT OF THE HONOURABLE JUDGE N. PHILLIPS, PRONOUNCED ON THE 19TH DAY OF AUGUST 2020, AND FROM THE SENTENCE PRONOUNCED ON THE 19TH DAY OF AUGUST 2020.

REGINA

RESPONDENT

٧.

PATRICK HENRY FOX

APPELLANT

TRANSCRIPT

Ministry of Justice, Solicitors for the Crown (Respondent) Criminal Justice Branch, Criminal Appeals 6th Floor, 865 Hornby Street Vancouver, B.C. V6Z 2G3 Phone: (604) 660-1126

David Layton, Q.C.

Patrick Fox, Appellant Appearing on his own behalf

244069-6-B Vancouver Registry

In the Provincial Court of British Columbia (BEFORE THE HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUDGE N. PHILLIPS)

Vancouver, B.C. August 19, 2020

R	F	Gl	N	IΑ
\mathbf{r}	ᆮ	u	ш	М

٧.

PATRICK HENRY FOX

PROCEEDINGS AT TRIAL AND SENTENCE

Crown Counsel: C. Johnson

Appearing on his own behalf: Patrick Fox

INDEX

AUGUST 19,	2020	1	
PROCEEDIN	GS AT TRIAL		
JENNIFER F	ONTANA - for Crown	16	
cross-e	nation in chief by Cnsl C. Johnson:examination by the Accused:	29	
Submissions	for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson:	46	
Submissions	by the Accused:	48	
Reply for Cro	wn by Cnsl C. Johnson:	50	
PROCEEDIN	GS AT SENTENCE		
Submissions on Sentence for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson:			
Submissions on Sentence by the Accused:			
Reply on Sen	tence for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson:	54	
Submissions	on Sentence by the Accused, continuing:	54	
Reply on Sen	tence for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson, continuing:	54	
Submissions	on Sentence by the Accused, continuing:	55	
	EXHIBITS		
EXHIBITS AT	TRIAL		
EXHIBIT 1:	Handwritten letter from Patrick Fox to Detective Constable Fontana dated June 6, 2019, 4 pages	24	
EXHIBIT 2:	Copy of probation order re Patrick Fox dated November 10, 2017	33	
EXHIBITS AT	SENTENCE		
EXHIBIT 1:	JUSTIN conviction list re Patrick Henry Fox	51	
	RULINGS		
_	OR JUDGMENT]		
[REASONS F	OR SENTENCE]	56	

```
1
                                Vancouver, B.C.
2
                                August 19, 2020
3
4
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Good morning, Your Honour. Chris
5
         Johnson. I'm appearing for the Provincial Crown.
6
         Calling the matter of Patrick Henry Fox.
7
    THE COURT: Thank you. Just one moment.
8
              Sir, I take it you are Mr. Fox?
9
    THE ACCUSED: Yes, that's correct.
10
    THE COURT: Thank you. And I understand, Mr. Fox, you
11
         do not have a lawyer; is that correct?
12
    THE ACCUSED: That's correct.
13
    THE COURT:
               Thank you. And I'm going to go over some
14
         information with you in just a moment, but I'll
15
         hear briefly from the prosecutor first.
16
    THE ACCUSED: Sure.
17
    THE COURT:
               Thank you.
18
    THE ACCUSED: Before he begins --
19
    THE COURT: Yes.
20
    THE ACCUSED: -- would either the court or Mr. Johnson
21
         have a pen I can borrow?
22
    THE COURT: That was one of the things I was going to
23
         cover off, Mr. Fox, so thank you.
24
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I did have paper, if he would like
25
         that, and I could probably --
    THE COURT: Mr. Registrar, I think, has got a pen
26
27
         there.
                 Thank you.
28
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Mr. Registrar has a pen?
29
    THE ACCUSED: I have paper. I just need a pen.
30
    THE COURT: You've got paper? We can get you a pen.
31
         Thank you.
32
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                     Would you like --
33
    THE ACCUSED: No. Thank you.
34
    THE COURT: Let me just confirm, Mr. Johnson, that the
35
         Crown is ready to proceed. This matter is
36
         scheduled for trial?
37
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes, the Crown is ready to proceed.
38
         The Crown is calling one witness and Mr. Fox has
39
         been provided with disclosure.
40
    THE COURT: Good. Thank you.
41
              Mr. Fox, I'm going to go over some
42
         information with you. You can remain seated.
43
         Some of this may be information that you've heard
44
         in advance, some of it may not, but just bear with
45
         me because I think it's important that you have a
         sense of what's going to happen, then, with the
46
47
         trial this morning.
```

1 2

 First of all, let me just tell you I know nothing about this case. All that I've got is a one-page document called the information that just tells me what you're charged with. I have no background knowledge whatsoever about the case or about you. Just so you're clear on that. It's the evidence that I'm going to hear in the course of today's trial, that's the basis upon which I'll make my decision whether there's a finding of not guilty or guilty.

THE ACCUSED: Oh.

THE COURT: Not any background knowledge or anything outside of the courtroom, just what we're going to hear today.

The prosecutor, as you've heard, is Mr. Johnson. He's sitting beside you. It is the responsibility of the prosecutor to prove the essential elements of the offences, the two offences with which you're charged, beyond a reasonable doubt. The clerk is here to assist me opening the court, swearing witnesses, dealing with the documents and marking exhibits. A sheriff is also present to ensure that everyone in the courtroom is safe.

There's added layers. Everybody's aware that we're dealing with COVID-19 protocols in the courtroom, so there may be things that we have to do a little bit more slowly, a little bit differently just to make sure that those things are attended to and if you need any assistance in that regard, you need hand wipes, gloves, a mask, anything like that, you shouldn't hesitate to let us know and particularly as we're dealing with documents. There may be some electronic. There may be paper documents. We'll just have to deal with it a little bit more mindfully than we would outside of the pandemic era.

When you're speaking to the court, you can call me Your Honour. You should stand when you're speaking while court is in session and if you forget, it's not a big deal, but that's generally the practice, Mr. Fox. You should address your comments generally to the court and not to the witness or to the other party.

And if you want to get my attention at any point in time if you want to say something, usually I'm looking fairly carefully, so if you

just stand up or nod or put your hand up, that's probably all you'll need to do to catch my attention. If that doesn't work because I'm perhaps typing something important at that point in time, just perhaps make the indication again and I'll -- I'm sure I'll see you and try to respond right away.

In terms of the evidence, the prosecutor has just indicated that there will be one witness for the Crown. What I expect will happen shortly is that the prosecutor may tell me just a little bit by way of an opening about the case. That's not evidence. It's just the evidence from the witnesses on which I'll make my decision. And then Mr. Johnson, I'm assuming, will have some questions for their one witness.

At the end of that witness testifying when Mr. Johnson's asking them questions, you'll be given a chance then to ask questions in cross-examination and that's why it's important to have the paper and a pen for a number of reasons, but one is to assist you in thinking about questions for cross-examination.

When you're listening to the witness testify, you might want to make some notes. Lawyers often, if they're writing handwritten notes, will draw a line down the centre of the page, jot a few things down about what the witness is saying on one side and the things on the other side that you might want to follow up.

Just by way of a hypothetical example, if a witness says, you know, the day -- say this is a car accident case. The day of the car accident was February 28th and it was snowing heavily, but you remember that it wasn't snowing at all. In fact, it was a sunny, dry day. You'll want to make just a note, "Witness says it was snowing". Go back and ask some questions about this. Suggest it wasn't snowing and how could the person possibly remember over the passage of time.

So, little things just to prompt you so when I ask you if you have any questions for cross-examination, it makes it a little bit easier because you've got a bit of a note to yourself. If that doesn't work and you need some time just to think about it for a second or longer in terms of the questions that you want to ask the witness,

 I'll give you that opportunity, but it's sometimes a bit helpful also to have a bit of a note to that effect. So, keep that in mind.

Notes are also helpful because at the end of the case you'll be given a chance, as will the prosecutor, to make closing submissions and sometimes those notes are really helpful to refer to in terms of what the evidence that I've heard was, things that you want to highlight, and I'll come back to that before we get to that stage a bit more.

The microphones in the courtroom for the most part, Mr. Fox, do not amplify, they just record. We've got a digital recording system. So, from time to time I may be asking somebody to speak up a bit louder, and if you can't hear somebody, don't hesitate to say, "I'm not able to hear the person", because it's critical that everybody be able to hear.

THE ACCUSED: Okay.

THE COURT: Similarly, if a person's speaking a little bit too fast because we're trying to take notes, then please don't hesitate -- if you're finding it too fast, probably everybody else is as well, so don't hesitate to say something. And I may just ask a person, including yourself perhaps, to slow down. It's not at all to be critical. It's so that I can make sure I'm making a note of what's going on.

My notes are really just to assist me. They're not the recording device. There's that separate recording device and I may need to consult it, I may not, but I do try to take some computer notes of what's going on in terms of the witness testimony.

Just in terms of court sitting hours, Mr. Fox, we -- the morning sessions goes to 12:30 roughly. Then we'll take a lunch break and start up again at 2 o'clock and then carry on for the afternoon. We finish up for the day usually about 4:30.

Let me just say, Mr. Fox, you do not need to decide now whether you will testify or whether you will call other witnesses on your behalf to testify or whether you want to do both, and you probably shouldn't tell me at this point in time. It's not necessary for you to do that and there

1 may be a strategic reason not to. 2 If you did intend to call other witnesses, 3 you would need to have them available today unless 4 something had gone awry, despite your efforts they 5 weren't here, and then you can tell me what's 6 happened and we can try to sort it out. Let me 7 just ask you, Mr. Fox, without you having to say 8 right now whether you intend to call evidence on 9 your own behalf, did you expect to have other 10 witnesses come and testify about the event on your 11 behalf today or not? 12 THE ACCUSED: I do not. 13 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. So, I won't say anything 14 more about that because that doesn't sound like 15 that's going to be an issue. Mr. Fox, I'm 16 assuming at an earlier stage in the process you 17 were probably advised that you did have the right 18 to have a lawyer represent you? 19 THE ACCUSED: Yes. 20 THE COURT: You're content, are you, today to proceed 21 without a lawyer assisting you; is that correct? 22 Yes. THE ACCUSED: 23 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. If over the course of 24 today's trial something comes up that you perhaps 25 were not anticipating that you would like to speak to a lawyer, it may be possible to speak to an 26 27 out-of-custody or in-custody duty counsel lawyer 28 in the building today who's usually available. 29 Not always, but you can keep that in the back of 30 your mind. 31 Mr. Johnson mentioned a few moments ago that 32 he has provided, or the Crown has provided its 33 disclosure of the case against you. I take it you 34 You've had a chance to look that over, got that. 35 have you? 36 THE ACCUSED: I have, yes. 37 THE COURT: Do you have it with you today should you 38 need to refer to it? 39 THE ACCUSED: It was provided to me in electronic 40 format on a laptop --41 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 42 THE ACCUSED: -- and I'm not able to bring the laptop 43 from the jail to the court. Now, in the other 44 matter that I was representing myself on, the 45 Crown would usually bring another laptop to the 46 court that had --47 THE COURT: Okay.

5

47

```
THE ACCUSED: -- the same disclosure material on it. I
1
2
         was going to ask --
3
    THE COURT: Okav.
4
    THE ACCUSED: -- Mr. Johnson about that, because I may
5
         need to refer to it while --
6
    THE COURT: Okay.
    THE ACCUSED: -- I cross-examine the witness.
7
    THE COURT: Thank you. And I don't know, Mr. Johnson,
8
9
         whether you have paper that might be of assistance
10
         or --
11
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I -- I'm sure I could arrange for
12
         that.
13
    THE COURT:
                Okay.
14
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I hadn't -- it hadn't occurred to me
15
         about the laptop issue, but why don't -- if we
16
         proceed and then if Mr. Fox wants anything, I will
17
         do my best to provide it.
18
    THE COURT: Good. Okay, thank you. We can probably
19
         find a workaround, I think then, Mr. Fox, and I'll
20
         just invite you right now, as that comes up, let
21
         me know --
22
    THE ACCUSED: Okay.
    THE COURT: -- and we'll take the steps necessary to
23
24
         sort that out. Mr. Fox, just let me tell you a
25
         little bit more about my role. In terms of the
26
         process, as I said, I know nothing about this case
27
         except the two counts that you're charged with and
28
         only in the barest form. So, my job is really
29
         that of a referee. I'm here to make sure the
30
         process is fair, that there's a fair trial, that
31
         the rules are followed.
32
              Part of that responsibility on my part is to
33
         make sure that you have a fair trial so that the
34
         process is fair. I can't enter the fray and
35
         become your lawyer, obviously. That's not
36
         appropriate, but I want to apply the legal
37
         principles in a fair manner.
38
              I don't have any issue -- from to time if you
39
         have questions about the process, I'd rather you
40
         just ask them and we'll do our best to sort them
41
               If the question is one that I can't answer
42
         because it's asking me as a judge to give you
43
         legal advice, I'll let you know, but I'd rather
44
         you ask the question.
45
              There's no inappropriate question.
         simply be able to say I can't answer it, but I'm
46
```

going to do my best. So, if things come up, don't

1 2

wait. Get my attention and we'll try to sort it out. It's always better to deal with it as soon as it arises than to let things kind of boil over until it becomes a problem.

I do have an obligation to control the flow of the case and if at some point I think, well, a question is inappropriate, I'll let you know, but that's unlikely in my experience.

I made mention already that sometimes it's hard to hear witnesses, sometimes people speak too fast. Sometimes it's simply a question of the way something was worded being difficult to understand. If that's the case, it's appropriate for me as the judge to say, "Can you rephrase the question?" or either you or Mr. Johnson just are not clear about the question or didn't think it was worded to the point where the witness could really understand it, not perhaps intentionally, although that's possible, but just because the wording is a bit awkward. If you let me know, we'll try to sort that out.

Nobody's trying to use trickery here. It's important for me to get the evidence and make sure that the witness understands the question as it gets -- as it gets asked. When you're cross-examining the witness, Mr. Fox, there may be things that I think I'll want to ask the witness about and I'll keep a mental note of that. You may ask them in cross-examination. If you finish your cross-examination and there is an area that I think should be explored, in fairness to you and to the process I may ask that. It's not a criticism of you. As a judge I need to perhaps do that. It may or may not occur.

THE ACCUSED: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: Mr. Registrar, can I just confirm that not guilty pleas have been recorded on both Counts 1 and 2 on the information?

THE CLERK: Your Honour, I don't have an indication on the record --

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

THE CLERK: -- [indiscernible/overlapping speakers].

THE COURT: Mr. Fox, with that in mind I'm just going to in a formal sense read you both counts on the information and just confirm after I've done that that you understand them and just ask you how you plead. So, Count 1 on this information is an

```
allegation that between March 7th and March 21st,
1
2
         2019, at Vancouver, B.C., while bound by a
3
         probation order made by the Honourable Madam
4
         Justice Holmes in the Supreme Court of British
5
         Columbia on November 10th, 2017, did without
6
         reasonable excuse fail to comply with such order
7
         by making publicly available the website,
8
         www.desicapuano.com, contrary to s. 733.1 of the
9
         Criminal Code. Do you understand that charge, Mr.
10
         Fox?
11
    THE ACCUSED: I do.
12
    THE COURT: And with respect to that charge, how do you
13
         plead, guilty or not guilty?
14
    THE ACCUSED: Not quilty.
15
    THE COURT: Thank you. And with respect to Count 2,
16
         same process to follow, Mr. Fox. A very similar
17
         allegation. Between the same days, March 7th and
18
         21st, 2019, in Vancouver, B.C. the same order of
19
         Madam Justice Holmes, of the Supreme Court from
20
         November 10th, 2017, another allegation of breach
21
         of probation by failing to -- excuse me, without
22
         reasonable excuse failing to comply with such an
23
         order by accessing the internet or any computer or
24
         cellular network. Again, Mr. Fox, do you
25
         understand that charge?
26
    THE ACCUSED: I do.
27
    THE COURT: And with respect to that count, how do you
28
         plead, guilty or not guilty?
29
    THE ACCUSED: Not quilty.
30
    THE COURT:
                Thank you. So, not quilty pleas formally
31
         recorded. Mr. Johnson, I see the Crown's
32
         proceeding by indictment on this matter as well.
33
         Is that your --
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                     Yes.
34
35
    THE COURT:
                -- understanding? Thank you.
36
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: But it is an absolute jurisdiction --
37
    THE COURT: Right.
                        Thank you.
38
              So, Mr. Fox, just going back to the two
39
         charges in front of the court, then, you can only
40
         be convicted of a charge if the Crown proves each
41
         essential element of the charge against you beyond
42
         a reasonable doubt. You're entitled to the
43
         presumption of innocence. The essential elements
44
         of the offences are set out in that information.
45
         For example: your identity; the jurisdiction,
         Vancouver, British Columbia; the existence of that
46
47
         probation order; proof that you were bound by it;
```

that there was a breach of it and no reasonable excuse for the breach.

We'll go over that in a bit more detail later on, but the two counts are very similar in terms of those aspects that the Crown has to prove in the case against you.

The phrase "reasonable doubt" has sparked a tremendous amount of legal analysis, but let me just say right now it does not require proof to an absolute certainty or beyond any doubt, nor is it an imaginary or frivolous doubt, but it does involve a significant level of proof far beyond the balance of probabilities which is often sometimes — or often referred to as more likely than not which we apply in civil cases.

And that burden on the Crown is not to be based upon sympathy or prejudice. Rather, it's to be based on reason and common sense. It's logically derived from the evidence I'm going to hear in this trial or the absence of evidence. And as I said earlier, I'll make my decision on the basis of the evidence I hear in this case and that is primarily the witnesses as they testify and any documents or exhibits that are introduced and admitted in court.

If you do call evidence later on and you wish to submit a document or something in addition to your testimony, you can bring that up at the time and we'll deal with it and go through the process. You'll see, perhaps, the prosecutor doing that earlier.

Mr. Fox, a couple more things about cross-examination. You've already heard the prosecutor say he's going to call one witness and you'll be given a chance to ask that witness things in cross-examination. It's important to bear in mind that the cross-examination has to be relevant. It shouldn't be argumentative, but it can certainly be probing in terms of the issues that are necessary for me to decide.

If you are contemplating testifying in your defence later on in the trial and you're intending to say something about your defence that the witness may not have spoken about when the prosecutor asked the witness questions or when you cross-examine the witness questions, you'll want to think about whether you need to give that

witness a chance to comment.

For example, and I'll try to be a bit more specific here, if -- and to go back to this car accident example, so it's not related to this dispute at all. If you're absolutely certain that it was sunny that day and the witness said it was snowing and it's important to the case -- say, for example, the issue might be whether the roads were slippery at the time or there was good visibility.

And you're going to call, perhaps, just to give you a real hypothetical, somebody from Environment Canada who will have records to say it wasn't snowing that day, it was in fact a warm day on February 28th and sunny. You should give the witness in cross-examination a chance to comment on that if it's critical to your case.

For example, you can say to the witness, "You told the court in your direct evidence that it was snowing that day. I suggest to you that it was in fact a sunny day". And the witness may say, "I disagree". You might want to go at it a bit more and say, "And I'm going to produce evidence to show that it was in fact a remarkable early spring day in Vancouver".

Give the person one more time a chance to respond, because when you testify and say something different, that will allow me to consider your evidence in a much more kind of whole way if the person was given a chance to respond. There isn't a need necessarily to do that on minor matters, but if it's something that really is critical to your evidence if you later call evidence, you should keep that in mind otherwise the prosecutor may say, "The witness wasn't given a chance to comment on that. You should take what Mr. Fox said perhaps with -- give it less weight". So, keep that in mind.

THE ACCUSED: Okay.

THE COURT: Just bear with me again for a moment.

In terms of cross-examination, that example I just gave you really goes to the substance of the witness's testimony, but there may be things, Mr. Fox, that are not so much about the substance of the person's testimony. Say, for example, a witness is a little bit kind of casual or flippant about their evidence. You could perhaps not touch that in your cross-examination and simply ask me

in your closing argument to -- just to say to me, "Well, Your Honour shouldn't perhaps give that witness much weight because they were not prepared, they were pretty casual, didn't take the process seriously".

So, there may be other things that don't necessarily go to the substance of the testimony that you will want to keep in mind in your closing arguments and as you're cross-examining a witness. It may be that the witness testifies about something and you think, well, how can he possibly remember that? It happened, you know, 18 months ago or 24 months ago. You might want to ask the witness in cross-examination about that. "Did you make any notes? Have you refreshed your memory from notes? How can you possibly recall that?"

Keep in mind you might get an answer you don't like, so you may not want to ask the question. You know whether you've got a witness statement from somebody, so there may be some basis upon which you want to go down that path, but it's not just the substance necessarily of a witness's testimony.

It may be about their ability to recall, how accurate they are, and how truthful they are, and those can be very different things. A witness can be trying very hard to be truthful with the court, but actually have a very poor memory of something. So, not necessarily trying to mislead the court, but their basis for being able to say what they're saying today may be based upon, you know, passage of time, failing memory, or they simply didn't record anything at the time in terms of helping them prepare for court and being confident in their testimony.

THE ACCUSED: Okay.

THE COURT: And a witness may also have a bias. It might be that a person is involved, say for example, in a corporate dispute or, say for example, that car accident case might be a better one to stay with. Perhaps it's an ICBC adjuster. They might have an interest in the outcome of the case financially. Now, they may still be telling the truth, but you might want to explore whether that is causing them to slant their evidence a bit. And you can make that argument in your closing as well, too.

And just to go back to the closing, then. At the end of the Crown's case after their single witness has testified and you've been given a chance to cross-examine that person, Mr. Fox, I'm then going to ask you whether you want to call evidence and it's only at that point in time that you have to then make a decision.

Think about when you're coming to that decision whether the Crown has met the burden on them of proving the case beyond a reasonable doubt. If you think that they have not done something to prove each element of the offence against you -- say, for example, nobody told the court where this incident occurred and that sometimes happens. People forget to say it happened in Kamloops or it happened in Kelowna or whatever.

You may ask the court to come to a verdict -- a directed verdict without having to choose to call evidence. That's probably unlikely, but it is something to keep in mind. There are some technical things that flow from that depending on the type of verdict that you're asking me to draw and I can come back to that later if it arises as to whether or not you can still testify once you've made that decision. Sometimes it precludes you from deciding to testify later on, but as I said, we can come back to that.

And just one last thing there, Mr. Fox, before we start hearing evidence. As I've said, both sides will be given an opportunity to make closing arguments at the end. You can't tell me anything new in your closing argument, nor can Mr. Johnson. It has to be based upon the evidence that I've heard in the course of the hearing.

So, if somebody starts to add something, then I'll simply say, "You can't tell me that. There's no evidence. You have to stick to the evidence in terms" -- and that can be from you, it can be from other witnesses, it can be just based upon the Crown's case.

THE ACCUSED: Right.

THE COURT: And I have to make a separate verdict, just so it's really clear here, on each of the counts.

There may be other things, some other legal issues that come up, Mr. Fox, over the course of the trial in which case I'll do my best just to

pause, explain the legal issue behind them, perhaps I'll need to make a ruling on the admissibility of evidence and the like, and then we'll carry on.

One -- sorry, one point on that is oftentimes there will be evidence in front of the court that is hearsay. What that means is that a witness is telling me something that either they've been told by another person or something that's happened out of the courtroom. So, they're relying on something that's kind of second-hand or third party. Generally speaking, that's not admissible. It has to be the witness's own personal knowledge about the event.

There are some exceptions to that. There may be a case here where a witness will testify about something that is second-hand knowledge or happened out of the courtroom, but they're just doing it to help me understand the flow of the story, not to prove the truthfulness of that particular part of their testimony.

So, it's admissible for that purpose, just to follow the story, the narrative as we call it, but I'm not going to rely on it as to whether it's truthful or not in terms of assessing and coming up with my verdict on each of the counts. I will do my best to catch that if somebody's saying something they shouldn't that's inadmissible hearsay and either you or Mr. Johnson may say, "Well, I don't want you to rely on it for its truthfulness. I'm leading it so it can help understand the story", in which case it's probably admissible for that limited purpose, and there are some exceptions to that in terms of paperwork. We can get into that if it -- if and when it arises.

Mr. Fox, that's a lot to absorb I appreciate at the outset. Do you have any questions about what I've covered with you in the last 15 minutes or so?

THE ACCUSED: No, I don't. Thank you. I'm quite clear on it.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions separate from that?

44 THE ACCUSED: That I do.

45 THE COURT: Okay.

THE ACCUSED: Usually there's a jug of water out here.
I see there isn't now.

14

Proceedings

```
THE COURT: And that's because of the pandemic and we
1
2
         can probably get a bottle of water provided.
3
    THE ACCUSED: That would be great if we could do that.
4
         It's just my mouth is a little dry.
5
    THE COURT: Yes. It is dry in here because we turned
6
         up the circulation, I think. I don't know, Mr.
7
         Registrar, if that is something we could get
8
         addressed?
9
    THE CLERK:
                I'll message my supervisor --
10
    THE COURT:
                Thank you.
11
    THE CLERK: -- [indiscernible/overlapping speakers].
12
    THE ACCUSED: Thank you.
13
    THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Fox?
14
    THE ACCUSED: No.
15
    THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, anything else from your
16
         perspective, then, before we start the evidence
17
         for the Crown?
18
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Perhaps two bottles of water.
19
    THE COURT:
                Two bottles of water? Thank you.
20
              And let me just ask, Mr. Johnson, in terms of
21
         the document disclosure, did you want to try to
22
         sort that out now before we get started if we
23
         stood down for a moment, or would it be better --
24
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I think it would be better if -- Mr.
25
         Fox, who I've dealt with on a number of occasions,
26
         is a very bright fellow and I think there's no
27
         dispute about that. I think he likely knows the
28
         evidence quite well. But again, having said that,
29
         if there is anything that he decides that he wants
30
         a paper copy of, I think then I could make that
31
         inquiry.
32
    THE COURT:
                Good.
                       So -- and, Mr. Fox, we will take a
33
         morning break at some point as well and we can
34
         stand down also for that sharing of paperwork to
35
         occur. So, let me know or let Mr. Johnson know if
36
         things are coming up and you need to access that
37
         paperwork, and particularly at the morning break
38
         perhaps the sheriff can just -- when I stand down,
39
         he'll just give you a moment just to convey to
40
         Mr. Johnson if there are items that you'd like to
41
         see and he can perhaps make arrangements over the
42
         break to make sure you got those.
43
                  Sure.
    THE ACCUSED:
44
    THE COURT: And if you need a bit of time to review
45
         them, we'll make sure that happens as well.
46
         you.
47
    THE ACCUSED: Thank you.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

```
THE COURT: Case for the Crown, then. Thank you.
CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                  Thank you, Your Honour.
                                           So, the
     first order of business is that there was a -- Mr.
     Fox appeared in Supreme Court on other matters,
     was convicted of some of those matters by
     Associate Chief Justice Holmes, and was sentenced
     on November 10th of 2017 to some jail and three
     years of probation.
          So, the first thing I'm required to do is
     obviously prove that Mr. Fox is on probation.
     believe that he admits that, but I'm not a hundred
     percent certain on that. And so, what I've done
     is I've filed with Mr. Clerk the record of
    proceedings from Supreme Court that would indicate
     that, and particularly page 6 of that document.
    And I do also have a copy of the reasons for
     sentencing of Madam Justice Holmes should that be
     necessary, and I do have a copy for Mr. Fox if he
     wants. I suspect he probably has that.
THE ACCUSED: I have that here.
THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
THE ACCUSED: Will I need to refer to it in these
     proceedings, though? Will you be referring to it?
CNSL C. JOHNSON: I won't be referring to it except --
     perhaps you could assist here. What I'm -- in the
     process of establishing that you were, in fact on
     probation --
THE ACCUSED:
             Mm-hmm.
CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- at the time and I think about
     three or four appearances ago you indicated that
     you agree with that, that you were on probation.
     I'm required to prove that. So, if you admit it,
     then I don't need these reasons.
THE ACCUSED: I can admit that I was on probation at
     the time specified in the charges in March of
     2019.
THE COURT:
           Thank you.
CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you. Given that, then, Your
     Honour, I will not file the reasons, but you do
     have before you the record of proceedings.
THE COURT:
           Yes.
                  Thank you.
CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                 And that having taken place, then,
     I'm prepared to call the only witness that the
     Crown is calling, which is Detective Constable
     Jennifer Fontana.
THE COURT: Thank you.
CNSL C. JOHNSON: I could just step out and --
```

15

```
1
    THE CLERK:
                Sure.
    THE COURT: Certainly.
3
    THE CLERK:
                Thank you.
                Thank you.
4
    THE COURT:
5
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I gather the paging system isn't
6
         working.
7
    THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
8
9
                                 JENNIFER FONTANA
10
                                 a witness called for the
11
                                 Crown, affirmed.
12
13
    THE CLERK: Please state your name for the record and
14
         spell your last name and your badge number.
15
               It's Jennifer Fontana, F-o-n-t-a-n-a, and my
         Yes.
16
         badge number is 2671.
17
    THE COURT:
                Thank you.
18
19
    EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:
20
21
    Q
         You are detective constable; is that correct?
22
    Α
         Yes, Your Honour.
23
         And you've been with the Vancouver Police
24
         Department for the past 12 years?
25
   Α
         Yes.
26
         And I gather that you're currently in the Domestic
27
         Violence and Criminal Harassment Unit of the
28
         Vancouver Police Department?
29
         Yes, Your Honour.
    Α
30
         And how long have you been in that unit?
    Q
         I've been in that unit for the past two and a half
31
   Α
32
         years, Your Honour.
33
         Now, Detective Constable Fontana, do you know a
34
         person named Patrick Henry Fox?
35
    Α
         I do.
36
         And could you tell the court, please, how it is
37
         that you came to know Patrick Henry Fox?
38
         I became aware of Mr. Fox when I was assigned a
39
         criminal harassment investigation in March of
40
         2019.
41
         And so, that's when you first heard of Mr. Fox.
42
         Did you ever meet him personally?
43
   Α
         I did, yes. I interviewed him June 24th, 2019.
44
         And so, would it be fair to say that you've known
45
         Mr. Fox, then, for -- since June of 2019?
46
    Α
         Yes, Your Honour.
47
         And you would be able to identify Mr. Fox, would
```

47

Α

1 you? 2 Α I would, Your Honour. 3 Okav. Could you look around the courtroom and 4 indicate to Her Honour whether Mr. Fox is present? 5 Α He is, Your Honour. 6 Thank you. Could you please point him out? 7 Yeah, he's sitting in the red shirt there, Your Α 8 Honour. 9 THE COURT: The indication noted for the record. 10 CNSL C. JOHNSON: 11 Now, you indicated that you were assigned a 12 criminal harassment investigation in this matter; 13 is that correct? 14 Α Correct. 15 And was that in relation to a specific website? 16 Α It was. It was the www.desicapuano.com, Your 17 18 Q And is that d-e-s-i-c-a-p-u-a-n-o? 19 Α Correct. 20 Q And are you aware if there is a person by the name 21 of Desirée Capuano? 22 Α Yes, Your Honour, that is the ex-spouse of Mr. 23 Fox. 24 And have you met or spoken to Ms. Capuano? Q 25 I've never met her in person, but I have spoken 26 with her on the phone. 27 Q Now, you are, I gather, aware that Mr. Fox was 28 sentenced in 2017 and as a result of that is on 29 probation out of a Supreme Court order? 30 Yes, Your Honour. Α 31 And you're aware that there are conditions of that 32 order that bring you here to court today? 33 Yes. Α 34 And are you able to tell Her Honour what those 35 conditions are? 36 Yes. They are making public the website 37 www.desicapuano.com and also accessing the 38 internet. 39 Q Now, you indicated that you were assigned to an 40 investigation in March of 2019. Were you the 41 person responsible for investigating whether 42 Mr. Fox committed breaches of this probation 43 order? 44 I was, Your Honour. 45 And could you tell the court what you did first in 46 that regard with respect to your investigation?

The first step I took was to see if there

47

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) in chief by Cnsl C. Johnson

```
1
         actually was a website by the name of
         www.desicapuano.com that was made public, and I
3
         went onto our internet at the police station and I
4
         was able to, through a Google search, find it
5
         quite easily.
6
         All right. And you were able to access that
7
         website?
8
    Α
         I was, Your Honour.
9
         And are you able to tell us the date on which you
    Q
10
         did that?
11
    Α
         March 18th, 2019, Your Honour.
12
         And have you subsequently followed up on that to
13
         see whether that website is still in existence?
14
         I have, Your Honour. I did it quite frequently
15
         throughout the investigation and I also went on
16
         there this morning before I came here to see if it
17
         was still active, and it was.
18
         And when you say active, it would be in your
19
         experience active and available for the public to
20
         view?
21
    Α
         Correct, Your Honour.
22
    Q
         Now, with respect to Mr. Fox's sentence in 2017,
23
         you're aware that some of it involved jail and
24
         some of it involved probation?
25
    Α
         Correct, Your Honour.
26
         And you're aware that at some point in time he was
    Q
27
         released from jail and then was on probation?
28
    Α
         Correct.
29
         By the time you dealt with Mr. Fox in June of
    Q
30
         2019, where was he located?
31
    Α
         He was at the North Fraser Pretrial Centre, Your
32
         Honour.
33
         And that was with respect to other matters that
34
         are not before the court; is that correct?
35
    Α
         Correct.
36
         Now, with respect to the website
37
         www.desicapuano.com, you've viewed it, have you?
38
    Α
         I have, Your Honour.
39
         And are you able to just very briefly tell us the
    Q
40
         gist of that website?
41
               There's quite a bit of information on there.
42
         Most of it is very -- is about Ms. Capuano.
43
         states her address, her phone number, her email,
44
         and depicts her in --
45
    THE COURT:
                Sorry, just one moment.
46
         Yes. My apologies.
```

THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.

47

```
It depicts her in a very negative light. There's
1
    Α
2
         just very negative postings about her and her
3
         personal life, including the men that she dates,
4
         arrest reports of those men, her own arrest
5
         reports.
                   There's pictures of her home, the
6
         inside, the outside, and there's also -- all of
7
         the information or documents from the trial in
8
         2017 have all been added on there, including audio
9
         recordings of interviews, audio recordings of the
10
         trial itself, certain testimonies, as well as all
11
         the police reports, notes of police officers.
12
         It's quite an overwhelming amount of information
13
         that's on there, Your Honour.
14
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
15
         To your knowledge has that website ever been shut
16
         down during the period of time that you've been
17
         involved with this matter?
18
         It was, Your Honour. I was able to go through the
19
         hosting provider GoDaddy and I was able to have
20
         the website shut down for 90 days. Unfortunately,
21
         that's the longest period of time they will shut
22
         it down without a judicial authorization and they
23
         will not accept a Canadian judicial authorization.
24
         They require an American one. So, I have not been
25
         able to obtain one of those yet.
26
         Now, Detective Constable Fontana, did you ever
27
         receive mail from Mr. Fox?
28
         I did, Your Honour.
    Α
29
         And in particular, did you receive a letter from
30
         Mr. Fox that was dated June the 6th of --
31
    Α
         Yes, I did.
32
         -- 2019?
    Q
33
         Yes, I did.
    Α
34
         And that is a four-page handwritten letter?
    Q
35
    Α
         Correct.
36
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And I can provide Mr. Fox with a copy
37
         of it.
38
    THE ACCUSED:
                  Thank you.
39
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
40
         That letter you've brought to court, have you?
    Q
41
    Α
         I did, Your Honour.
42
         And it's dated June the 6th of 2019 and it's
43
         addressed to you; is that correct?
44
         It is, Your Honour.
45
         And it has a subject heading which says,
46
         "Investigation into Patrick Fox, Desicapuano.com
```

website"; is that correct?

```
1
         Yes, Your Honour.
    THE ACCUSED:
                 Thank you.
3
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
4
         And your belief is that Mr. Fox authored that
5
         letter --
6
    THE ACCUSED: Oh, thank you.
7
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
8
         Authored that letter and had it mailed to you from
9
         the jail in Port Coquitlam; is that correct?
10
         Yes, Your Honour.
    Α
11
         With respect to that letter, I'm going to ask you
    Q
12
         another question which is did you ever go to see
13
         Mr. Fox to speak to him?
14
         I did, yes, June -- I believe it was June 24th,
15
         2019.
16
    Q
         And again, that was at the jail in Port Coquitlam;
17
         is that right?
18
    Α
         Correct.
19
         But one other question before I move on to that.
20
         When you accessed the website,
21
         www.desicapuano.com, you indicated that you did
22
         that from the police station; is that correct?
23
    Α
         Correct.
24
         And that was the police station -- the Vancouver
    Q
25
         police station?
26
         Correct, yes. The station located at 3585
    Α
27
         Graveley Street in Vancouver.
28
         That's in the city of Vancouver, province of
29
         British Columbia?
30
         It is.
    Α
31
         Now, sorry, going back to meeting with Mr. Fox,
32
         you indicated that you did so on June the 24th of
33
         2019?
34
    Α
         Yes.
35
         And on that occasion did you take a letter, the
36
         letter that you have with you, dated June the 6th?
37
         I did, Your Honour.
    Α
38
    Q
         And you did speak to Mr. Fox?
39
    Α
         Yes, I did.
40
         And did you advise him --
41
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And, Your Honour, I don't know
42
         whether Mr. Fox takes issue. I'm not leading a
43
         statement from him, but I am leading some
44
         conversation, and so I can go through the
45
         formalities of that.
46
    THE COURT: Thank you. I think perhaps we should and
47
         let me just take a moment just to explain this a
```

bit further to Mr. Fox. Give me one moment.

CNSL C. JOHNSON: I should say, Your Honour, if it helps, what I would seek to adduce from the witness is that she met with Mr. Fox, he admitted that he authored the letter and, furthermore, admitted that he authored the website www.desicapuano.com.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Fox, when the prosecution seeks to lead statements in any form that are made by the person who is charged and in front of the court, you in this instance, typically speaking a judge will declare a trial within a trial, you may have heard the phrase voir dire, so that the court can assess the admissibility of the statement.

And there are a number of things that the court looks at, but primarily to determine whether the statement was voluntary and whether it complies with certain *Charter* rights that a person is entitled to.

If the Crown wants to use some of the statements that you made to Constable Fontana when she met with you back on June 24th, 2019, I have to assess their admissibility on that basis. The Crown has to prove those things beyond a reasonable doubt. And just to be clear here, voluntary in the sense means that the police did not make any threats, suggesting things would go worse if you did not make a statement, or promise that things would go better if you did make a statement.

In addition, the Crown must prove that you knew what you were saying when you made the statements, so your mind was aware of what was going on, essentially, and as I said, without any of your *Charter* rights being breached.

Now, you may not take issue with this. It may be best that we declare a voir dire. Mr. Johnson can ask the officer those questions. If you have some questions that you would like to ask in that mini trial of the officer, you can about the issues of voluntariness and any *Charter* issues that might arise.

For example, you might want to ask whether you were told that you could speak with a lawyer or have the availability to speak with somebody from Legal Aid. You could be asking questions or

1 2

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) in chief by Cnsl C. Johnson

you could ask questions about whether there was some hope that things would go better that was held out to you if you spoke with the officer, or some threat made to you if you didn't speak to the officer.

If, however, you don't take issue with any of those things, if you agree there were no threats, promises or inducements made and that there was no disregard or violation of your *Charter* rights, it may not be necessary to hold a voir dire. Lawyers often say on behalf of their client, "It's not necessary to hold a voir dire, I don't take any issue with the fact that it was my client who spoke with the officer, that there was no issue with respect to the voluntariness of their conversation in the statement taken, and no issue with respect to any *Charter* rights being breached".

But because you don't have a lawyer, it might be prudent, Mr. Fox, for me to declare that mini trial and we can briefly hear the officer's testimony. I don't know if you have any thoughts on what you would like to see happen at this point in time, but go ahead if you do.

THE ACCUSED: Well, based on what Mr. Johnson had said, that he's seeking from this -- I would have no issue with those --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE ACCUSED: -- with those issues. Sorry, I don't want to use the word "issue" twice in that sentence. I would have no issue with the information that he's seeking.

THE COURT: Okay. With that in mind, then, I'd be satisfied we don't need to enter into a voir dire having explained that process to Mr. Fox --

THE ACCUSED: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- and his comment to the court just now. So, go ahead now, Mr. Johnson. You can carry on. CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you, Your Honour.

Q Detective Constable Fontana, when you met with Mr. Fox on June the 24th of 2019, did you advise him that he had a right to speak to counsel before he

talked to you?

- 44 A I did, Your Honour. 45 Q And did he have a response to that?
- 46 A He declined, Your Honour.
- 47 Q And did he indicate whether he wished to talk to

23

46

47

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) in chief by Cnsl C. Johnson

1 you? 2 Α He did. He stated that he would be willing to 3 speak with me. 4 And did you advise him that he wasn't required to Q 5 speak to you? 6 Α I did, Your Honour. 7 And did you have a discussion with him about the Q 8 conversation being recorded? 9 I did, Your Honour. He actually asked me if our Α 10 conversation would be recorded, and I advised him 11 that it would, and he was pleased by that. 12 And just very briefly, did you promise him 13 anything in return for talking to you? 14 I did not, Your Honour. Α 15 Did you offer him any favours? Q 16 Α No, I did not. 17 Q Did you threaten him in any way? 18 Not at all, Your Honour. Α 19 How long did you talk to Mr. Fox for on this Q 20 occasion? 21 Α I believe it was about 20 or 25 minutes, Your 22 Honour. 23 And did you produce the letter that I've asked you 24 about to Mr. Fox? 25 I did. Α 26 And you've brought a copy of that letter with you? 27 Yes, Your Honour. 28 CNSL C. JOHNSON: Your Honour, I'm seeking to file that 29 letter as Exhibit 1 on this trial and I've 30 provided Mr. Fox with a copy of it. 31 THE COURT: Mr. Fox, again just because there are legal 32 steps here to be followed, the court has to be 33 satisfied that anytime an exhibit, paperwork or 34 otherwise is asked to be marked as an exhibit 35 number, because then it becomes something I can 36 consider in reaching my verdict on each one of the 37 counts, I've got to be satisfied that the document 38 in this case is legally admissible. 39 So, the Crown has to prove here -- there are 40 a number of things, that this witness has some 41 personal knowledge of it because she didn't author 42 it, that's clear, who authored it, how she knows 43 that, that it's relevant to the case at hand. 44 You're entitled to object to its admissibility now 45 and tell me why you think it's not admissible and

If I rule against you, then I'll mark it as

then I'll rule on that.

I have, Your Honour.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

Α

an exhibit. If you agree it should be an exhibit, that's fine, we don't need to go through this process, but you're entitled to tell me that you don't agree and why and I'll sort out the legal issue. If I do, even after the legal issue is argued, mark it as an exhibit, that doesn't end the matter because in the closing argument it is still open to both sides to ask the court to use that letter for certain purposes. And Mr. Johnson may say it's important in terms of proving the Crown's case against you and here's why, and perhaps draw to my attention certain parts of that letter. You may say, "Well, that interpretation isn't clear on the letter". Even though it perhaps has been marked as an exhibit and it's been ruled to be admissible, you may say it doesn't really help the Crown and here's why because it's -- you know, maybe it's ambivalent or equivocal, all those things. So, what we talk about is threshold admissibility and ultimate reliability at the end of the case. So, those are -- those are different things. One doesn't stop you at the end of the day from arguing what I should make of the letter is essentially what I'm saying. With that in mind, Mr. Fox, again the next question is do you have any issue with the court marking that letter as Exhibit 1 in this trial, as an admissible piece of evidence? THE ACCUSED: I do not. THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Exhibit 1. CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you. Do you want it out of the plastic or in the plastic? THE CLERK: You can keep it in the plastic. Okav. Would Your Honour like to see it? THE COURT: After you've marked it is fine. Thank you. EXHIBIT 1: Handwritten letter from Patrick Fox to Detective Constable Fontana dated June 6, 2019, 4 pages CNSL C. JOHNSON: With respect to the letter that's now been marked as Exhibit 1, you've read that letter?

And did that letter contain any subject matter

- when you read it that related to the charges that 1 are here before the court today? 2 3
 - It did, Your Honour. Α
- 4 And when you reviewed that letter, did it -- what 5 could you tell us about what it said regarding the 6 website www.desicapuano.com?
- 7 Α Your Honour, in the letter Mr. Fox states that he 8 is the creator of the website and that he demands 9 to be charged with criminal harassment because if 10 he was charged with running the website in 2017 11 and it was deemed criminal harassment, that it 12 should also be deemed criminal harassment in 2020.
- 13 And you, I gather -- I think you've already Q 14 indicated this, but you showed that letter to Mr. 15 Fox when you visited him on June the 24th?
- 16 Α Yes, Your Honour.
- 17 And did he indicate anything to you about whether 18 he was the author of that letter?
- 19 He actually asked me when I got there if I had Α 20 received his letter and I told him I had and I 21 gave him the letter that I had received and 22 allowed him to review it before speaking with me, 23 and he reviewed it and said -- while he was 24 reading it commented, "Oh, yes", and then handed 25 the letter back to me.
- 26 And with respect to your discussion with Mr. Fox Q 27 generally on June the 24th, 2019, did he indicate 28 to you whether he was, in fact, operating the 29 website www.desicapuano.com?
- 30 Yes, Your Honour. I asked him if he was running Α 31 it and had created it, and he stated that he had.
- 32 And did he provide you with any explanation as to 33 why he had not shut the website down?
- 34 Sorry, I don't understand. Α
- 35 Q Mr. Fox, you indicated, was in jail when you spoke 36 to him.
- 37 Α Correct.
- 38 Q And you indicated that previous to being in jail 39 he'd been out of jail?
- 40 Α Correct.
- 41 Q And did you ask him or engage in any discussion 42 with him as to why, when he was out of jail, he 43 did not shut the website down as required?
- 44 Α I didn't speak with him about that, Your Honour.
- 45 I gather that -- from what you indicated that when 46 you did speak to Mr. Fox on June the 24th of 2019, 47 he indicated that he -- or perhaps I'll ask this

```
in a more open question. Did he indicate to you
1
2
         whether he intended to shut that website down?
 3
         Your Honour, it is my belief that he -- Mr. Fox
4
         has no intention of shutting the website down and
5
         I was told that if he were to be released again,
6
         he will make sure it continues to operate.
7
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you.
                                  Those are all the
         questions I have of this witness, Your Honour.
8
9
         Actually, perhaps I could just ask one last
10
         question just to cover something off.
11
         In your experience, in order to access the website
12
         or to operate the website one has to go onto the
13
         internet in order to do that; is that correct?
14
         I'm no technology expert, but I do believe that
15
         that would be a requirement. To have it up and
16
         running on the internet would be to access the
17
         internet and the maintenance of the website I
18
         believe is also done on the internet.
19
         And so, to add materials, for example, to a
20
         website such as some of the court documents that
21
         you've referred to, one would have to access the
22
         internet?
23
         I believe so, Your Honour.
24
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you.
25
    THE COURT: Thank you. Just give me one moment,
26
         please.
27
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Sorry, Your Honour, I'm just
28
         inquiring with Mr. Fox if there's anything else
29
         that he needs --
30
    THE COURT: Yes.
31
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- [indiscernible/overlapping
32
         speakers].
33
    THE COURT: And I actually intended to do the same
34
         thing, so go ahead, Mr. Johnson. Thank you.
35
    THE ACCUSED: I was actually going to ask if it's
36
         getting close to the time for the morning break,
37
         perhaps we should do that --
38
    THE COURT: It is.
39
    THE ACCUSED: -- before I begin and maybe I can have a
40
         couple of minutes to speak with Mr. Johnson.
41
    THE COURT: I think that makes sense.
42
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes.
43
    THE COURT: So, let's just take a slightly longer
44
         break, then. So, if there's any paperwork that
45
         needs to be printed off and passed along, you can
46
         have a few minutes to review it. Let's reconvene,
47
         then, at quarter after 11. Thank you.
```

27

Proceedings

```
1
    THE CLERK: Order in court. All rise.
2
3
              (WITNESS STOOD DOWN)
4
5
              (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR MORNING RECESS)
6
              (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)
7
8
    THE ACCUSED: They took the pen from me downstairs.
9
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Oh, did they? Okay.
10
    THE ACCUSED: They would not give it back to me.
11
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Okay. Wonderful. That's fine.
12
    THE COURT:
                We'll get you another pen if we can.
13
    THE ACCUSED: Thank you.
14
    THE CLERK: And I forewarned them that you'd be coming
15
         down with it.
16
    THE COURT: Mr. Registrar, thank you.
17
    THE CLERK: But I did ask them to make sure that --
18
    THE ACCUSED:
                  Wonderful. Thanks very much.
19
    THE COURT: Thank you.
20
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Your Honour, I can say that over the
21
         break I spoke to Mr. Fox. He had a concern which
22
         he raised to me a couple of months ago about some
23
         evidence that he wants to adduce. I'm not sure
24
         the relevance of it and I make no comment on that
25
         at this point, but he indicated that he would like
26
         it to be before the court that on -- Mr. Fox, was
27
         it June 22nd?
28
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
29
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes.
30
    THE ACCUSED: June 2020.
31
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: That on June 22nd of 2020 he posted a
32
         post on his Facebook timeline -- just one second.
33
    THE COURT:
                Yes.
                     Thank you.
34
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                     What I did was I -- he told me his
35
         Facebook name. I went onto his Facebook timeline.
36
         He can confirm that that is his. But on June the
37
         22nd he posted on his Facebook timeline the
38
         comment which is, "Still here, mother fuckers!"
39
         And there's an attachment which is
40
         www.desicapuano.com which I didn't review the
41
         attachment, but I believe Mr. Fox would like me to
42
         admit that it's the website and I'm willing to do
43
                Is that correct, Mr. Fox?
         that.
44
    THE ACCUSED: Essentially, yes, but the critical part
45
         of that that I would like the Crown to admit is
         that that was done while I was in custody.
46
47
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes, Mr. Fox was in custody on June
```

```
the 22nd of 2020.
1
    THE COURT: That addresses the admission that you're
3
         seeking, Mr. Fox; is that correct?
4
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
5
    THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
6
    THE ACCUSED: And it may become relevant during the
7
         cross-examination, but it might not.
8
    THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Mr. Johnson, just again
9
         for the record, you're now concluded your evidence
10
         in chief of Officer Fontana?
11
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes, I have. Thank you.
12
    THE COURT: So, cross-examination, then, Mr. Fox, of
13
         the officer. Go ahead when you're ready.
14
    THE ACCUSED: Okay. I apologize, I don't have a copy
15
         of the probation order with me.
16
    THE COURT: I think we can probably make that available
17
         to you. I don't know, Mr. Johnson, if you have an
18
         extra copy or if we would have it in the court
19
         file if it's been filed --
20
    DECTECTIVE FONTANA: I may have one.
21
    THE COURT: -- or perhaps the officer can assist.
22
    THE ACCUSED: The important part would be for the
23
         witness to have access to that --
24
    THE COURT: Oh, okay. So --
25
    THE ACCUSED: -- because I may want to ask her --
    THE COURT: -- if you've got that, Constable Fontana?
26
27
    DECTECTIVE FONTANA: I don't believe I have it, Your
28
         Honour.
29
    THE COURT: We'll let's just take a moment. I'm sure
30
         we can find a copy somewhere.
31
    DECTECTIVE FONTANA: I apologize, Your Honour. I do
32
         not have one.
33
    THE COURT:
               That's fine. Thank you.
34
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I do have a copy.
35
    THE COURT: You've got a copy? So, I think --
36
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I only have the one copy, but I'm
37
         happy to -- if Mr. Fox requires it, I'm happy to
38
         lend it to him.
39
    THE COURT: Thank you. And I think, Mr. Fox, what
40
         you're asking is that that be made available to
41
         the officer because you've got some questions
42
         about it?
43
    THE ACCUSED: I may have some questions.
44
    THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Johnson's got it there
45
         should you need to use it, either you or for the
46
         officer or both of you. We should be able to
47
         accommodate that. Thank you.
```

47

1 THE ACCUSED: Okay. Thank you. 2 3 JENNIFER FONTANA 4 a witness called for the 5 Crown, recalled. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE ACCUSED: 8 9 Good morning, Detective Fontana. Good morning, Mr. Fox. 10 Α 11 Q You had testified that you became aware of the 12 website through a Google search on March 18th, 13 2019; is that correct? 14 Yes, Your Honour. I became -- I was told of the 15 website when the police investigation started, and 16 on the 18th I confirmed that the website was still 17 up and running. 18 In this letter that went as Exhibit 1, did 19 I state in there when I created or published the 20 website? 21 I don't recall the very specifics of the letter, 22 Your Honour. I haven't read it recently. 23 THE COURT: And you can direct her specifically to that 24 point if there's something in there you'd like her 25 to have in front of her. 26 THE ACCUSED: 27 What I'm looking for here is an acknowledgment that 28 in fact it's not stated anywhere in here when the 29 website was created or published. So, what I was 30 looking for was if the answer would've been no, that it's not. But if you'd like, you could --31 32 I did hand over my only copy that I brought today, 33 Your Honour. 34 THE COURT: Yes. So, let's hand the Exhibit 1 back to 35 the officer. And then, Mr. Fox, let's just give 36 the detective a chance to read that over and she 37 can then specifically address the question. 38 Your Honour, there is no specific date listed in 39 the letter. However, Mr. Fox does reference the 40 trial from 2017 which would put it more presently 41 than the previous trial and the previous website 42 which he was convicted of had a different name 43 than this current website and he references the 44 new website name in this letter, which leads me to 45 believe he's referencing the new website. 46 THE ACCUSED:

Okay. When you came to North Fraser and spoke

29

- with me, I believe it was June 24th, 2019? 1 2 Α Correct, Your Honour. 3 0 Did I at that time state to you when I published 4 or created the current version of the website? 5 Α Not to my recollection, Your Honour. 6 Q Okay. Do you have any first-hand knowledge at all 7 of when the website became publicly accessible, 8 when it was published? 9 I believe there were -- it was early March. Α 10 want to say March 12th or 13th, but I can't -- in 11 March of 2019, Your Honour. 12 So, would that be a yes? Sorry, the question was 13 do you have any first-hand knowledge of when the 14 website -- I wasn't asking when you believed the 15 website became available or became public, but 16 rather whether you have any first-hand knowledge 17 of exactly when it did. 18 And when you say first-hand knowledge, do you mean 19 from you specifically? 20 Q From me or from the hosting provider. I believe 21 it's GoDaddy is the hosting provider? 22 Correct, GoDaddy is the hosting provider. 23 -- I don't have anything with me and I don't want 24 to -- the investigation has gone on for such a 25 long period of time, Your Honour, I'm not -- I 26 don't want to say that I don't have it because I 27 just have so much information, but I can't say 28 with certainty that I do have that. 29 Do you know whether the website was made public 30 before or after December 30th, 2018? 31 THE COURT: Sir, can you repeat your question? I just 32 missed it. 33 THE ACCUSED: Sure. Sure. 34 Do you know whether the website was made public -in other words, that it was published as opposed 35 36 to when it was created because that's a whole 37 other issue. Whether it was made public before or 38 after December 30th, 2018? 39 I believe it was made public in March. Α 40 Q And what are you basing that belief on?
- 41 Α The information was sent out to multiple news 42 outlets, Your Honour, as well as the previous 43 Crown counsel.
- 44 So, is it reasonable to say that you became aware 45 of it in March?
- 46 Correct, Your Honour. Α
- 47 Okay. But as for when it actually became publicly

- accessible -- because that's what I'm on trial for, is when it became publicly accessible, not when you became aware of it.
- 4 A Well, Your Honour, I am not a computer expert and I do not -- I'm not able to tell you when a website was made publicly available. I'm not sure how to look that information up.
- 8 Q So, is it reasonable to say, then, that you really have no idea whether the website was published before or after December 30th, 2018?
- 11 A I didn't specifically look for that information,
 12 Your Honour.
- 13 Q Let me ask it another way. Do you have any first-14 hand -- no. Do you know whether the website was 15 made publicly accessible before or after December 16 30th, 2018?
- 17 A I don't know how to provide that information -- I don't know how to locate that information, Your Honour.
- 20 Q Can I accept that as a no, you don't know whether or not it was publicly accessible on or before that date?
- 23 A I could look it up. At this time I can't say yes or no, Your Honour.
- 25 Q Well, I mean, either you know or you don't know.
 26 Either you know that the website was accessible on
 27 a given day or you don't know whether it was
 28 accessible.
- 29 A I don't know. I can't say it was and I can't say it wasn't.
- 31 Q Right, right. Thank you. That's what I was 32 looking for, is whether you know or you don't 33 know. Do you know when the probation order took 34 effect? When did it start?
- 35 A May I look at the --
- 36 O Sure.
- 37 A -- probation order, please?
- 38 THE COURT: Yes.
- 39 CNSL C. JOHNSON: I've provided the witness with a copy of the order that I have.
- 41 THE COURT: Thank you.
- I believe if I'm reading this correctly, the probation order would have been November 10th, 2017.
- 45 THE ACCUSED:
- 46 Q Well, that's when I was sentenced.
- 47 A There's a new variation date of February 6th,

```
2019. I'm not sure, Your Honour ...
    THE COURT: Sorry, what was that last date?
3
         It says varied on February 6th, 2019, Your Honour.
4
    THE COURT: Thank you.
5
    THE ACCUSED: Perhaps I can turn to the court and Mr.
6
         Johnson for some quidance. In the Criminal Code
7
         it states that a probation -- a period of
8
         probation will commence once the person is
9
         released from the current incarceration. Can we
10
         agree that that would be the case here?
11
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes --
    THE COURT: Okay.
12
13
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- and I believe it says -- oh, no, I
14
         have that in a different document --
15
    THE COURT: Okay.
16
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- but I agree with Mr. Fox that on
17
         November the 10th of 2017 he was sentenced to a
18
         period of incarceration. There was a substantial
19
         amount of time served which was deducted --
20
    THE COURT:
               Okay.
21
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- but there was still time to be
22
         served followed by this three-year probation
23
         order. So, this probation order would not have
24
         taken effect until that incarceration was
25
         completed.
26
    THE COURT: Good. And I think the law is clear on that
27
         as well.
28
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Right. But I would say that there's
29
         an inference from the front of the order, which I
30
         will file with the court now, that Mr. Fox was not
31
         in jail on February the 6th of 2019, because the
32
         probation order was varied on that date.
33
    THE ACCUSED: That's correct.
34
    THE COURT: Okay, good. Thank you.
35
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And so, now that the witness has
36
         looked at this document, we'll file it as Exhibit
37
         2?
38
    THE ACCUSED: Sure.
39
                            So, no issue with that document
    THE COURT: Thank you.
         now being marked? The witness looked at it.
40
41
    THE ACCUSED: Correct.
42
    THE COURT: Thank you.
43
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Did you want me to -- sorry, I'm just
44
         addressing Mr. Fox for a moment --
45
    THE COURT: Yes.
46
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- but I could look at the reasons
47
         for judgment and I'd be able to say when the
```

```
1
                           probation order started if that helps.
             THE ACCUSED: Oh, no, no, I know exactly when it
  3
                           started. It would've started once I was released
  4
                           from Fraser at the end of the sentence, and that's
  5
                           where I was going with this line of questioning.
  6
             THE COURT: Okay, thank you. So, let's mark the
  7
                           probation order Exhibit Number 2.
  8
  9
                                          EXHIBIT 2: Copy of probation order re
10
                                          Patrick Fox dated November 10, 2017
11
12
            THE ACCUSED: And I am going to be referring shortly to
13
                           the probation order again --
14
            THE COURT: Good.
15
            THE ACCUSED: -- because there's --
16
            THE COURT: So, we'll have it back in front of the
17
                           detective.
18
                           Thank you.
            Α
19
            THE ACCUSED: But before we go down that road, so --
20
                           sorry, I'm just trying to think of how I can
21
                           phrase this as a question to the witness.
22
                           Do you know when it was that my period of
23
                           incarceration on that charge ended? In other
24
                           words, when that probation order would have
25
                           commenced? Are you aware of that or --
                           I believe, if I remember correctly, it was % \left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =-\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =-\frac{1}
26
            Α
27
                           December 30th --
28
                           Right.
            Q
29
            Α
                           -- 2019.
30
                           Okay. And so, was I -- would I have been bound by
            Q
31
                           the conditions in that probation order prior to
32
                           December 30th, 2018, since it had not actually
33
                           started vet?
34
                           That I can't say for sure, Your Honour.
            Α
35
                           Okay. On direct you had stated -- you had made
36
                           reference just vaguely to the amount of
37
                           information that you had seen that's on the
38
                           website. And you had testified, I believe, that
39
                           most of it pertains to my ex-wife, Desirée
40
                           Capuano; is that correct?
41
                           Correct, Your Honour.
            Α
42
                           And did you find any information on the website
43
                           that is untrue?
44
                           Well, Your Honour, I haven't gone through every
45
                           bit of it and I don't know Ms. Capuano personally,
46
                           so I can't attest to her character.
47
                           So, that sounds to me like you're saying no, you
            Q
```

34

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) cross-exam by Patrick Fox

```
1
         didn't find any information that you knew to be
2
3
         I never said any of the information on the website
4
         was untrue.
5
    Q
         Right.
6
    Α
         I said it was --
7
         That's why I worded --
    Q
8
    Α
         -- unkind and did not show her in a very positive
9
         light.
10
    Q
         Sure.
11
         I never said it was -- there was anything that was
12
         untrue. I can't -- I cannot say whether it is or
13
         is not, Your Honour.
14
         Sure, sure. So, I'd like to turn your attention
15
         to the probation order again to the two conditions
16
         in particular that would be relevant to these
17
         charges. I'm not sure which conditions those are
18
         off the top of my head.
19
         I believe one of them would be Condition 12, Your
    Α
20
         Honour. Would you like me to read it out loud?
21
    Q
         Sure.
22
         Okay. And please correct me, Mr. Fox, or Crown if
23
         I'm interpreting the condition incorrectly and it
24
         should be a different number. Condition Number 12
25
         [as read in]:
26
27
              You must not disseminate, distribute, publish
28
              or make publicly available in any manner
29
              whatsoever, directly or indirectly,
30
              information, statements, comments, videos or
31
              photographs which refer to or depict by name
32
              or description Desirée Capuano, James
33
              Pendleton, [name omitted], or any of their
34
              friends, relatives, employers or co-workers.
35
36
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I should just rise for a moment to
37
         say, Your Honour, that I don't disagree with how
38
         the witness read that, but the name [name omitted]
39
         is subject to a publication ban --
40
    THE COURT: Okay.
41
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- in the Supreme Court. And so, in
42
         the order or in other documents she's known as S.
43
    THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
44
         My apologies.
45
    THE COURT: No, that's fine.
46
         And I believe the other condition would be
47
         Condition Number 14:
```

35

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) cross-exam by Patrick Fox

```
1
              You must not use the internet or any computer
2
              or cellular network except as required to
3
              fulfill Condition 13 for the purpose of
4
              employment or sending personal emails.
5
6
         Your Honour.
7
    THE COURT: And sorry, what was the number of that last
8
         one?
9
         That was Condition 14, Your Honour.
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I'm just -- I'm asking to look at
10
11
         that --
12
    THE COURT: Yes.
                      Thank you.
13
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- exhibit if I might, Your Honour.
14
         And it's Mr. Fox's cross-examination. There is
15
         one portion that also applies.
16
    THE COURT: Okay.
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I don't know if I should draw that to
17
18
         his attention now or not.
19
    THE COURT: Perhaps you can just mention it to Mr. Fox
20
         and then he can deal with it as he sees fit.
21
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Sure. Condition 13 is the condition
22
         that indicates you are to, within 24 hours of your
23
         release, etc.
24
    THE ACCUSED: Yes, I'm familiar with that.
25
    THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
26
    THE ACCUSED:
27
         So, in those two conditions that you just read, do
28
         you agree that the restrictions on conduct that
29
         are stated therein apply specifically to me?
30
         Yes.
    Α
31
         Okay. Do you agree that those restrictions on
32
         conduct do not apply to any other person in the
33
         world alive right now or ever, just me? Does it
34
         state in there directly or indirectly or does it
35
         make any reference to any other person not being
36
         permitted to do that?
37
         It says you must not make publicly available, Your
   Α
38
         Honour.
39
         Right. I must not make publicly available.
    Q
40
   Α
         I would interpret that as -- that that information
41
         should not be made publicly available by -- and it
42
         says in any manner whatsoever. So, therefore,
43
         personally, Your Honour, I would interpret that to
44
         mean that this information is not to be made
45
         publicly available by any means.
46
         I'm not certain, but I do believe a probation
47
         order cannot be imposed upon people who have
```

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) cross-exam by Patrick Fox

1 absolutely nothing to do with the charges, but 2 neither here nor there. Where I'm going with this 3 is, is there anything that you see in the wording 4 of those conditions that prohibits somebody else 5 from engaging in conduct on my behalf? 6 For example, at the jail sometimes I'll need 7 to look up something on the internet, say an 8 address for a government agency, for CBSA for 9 example, and so I will ask the officer at the jail 10 if he could look up CBSA's address in Ottawa. 11 That would be accessing the internet indirectly. 12 Is there anything in the wording there that you 13 see that would prohibit me from doing something 14 like that? 15 Well, I think accessing an address and posting Α 16 personal information about someone are two very 17 different --18 Sure, but that's not my question. My question --19 -- uses of the condition. Α 20 You see, the court could have phrased it as you 21 cannot do these things directly or indirectly. 22 However, the court didn't phrase it in that way. 23 The court only imposed these conditions upon me. 24 I'm asking you, do you agree, the way I'm 25 interpreting that, is that literally how it is 26 phrased? 27 Sorry, can you repeat the question again? Α 28 Yeah, sorry, I didn't really phrase that well. 29 Are those conditions literally phrased such that 30 they apply only to me, not to other parties who 31 may be acting on my behalf? 32 Α Well, I'll go back to the -- the make publicly 33 available in any manner whatsoever --34 Q Sure. 35 Α -- because if there's been information that's been 36 given to you and only you and it's been shared 37 with someone --38 Q Mm-hmm. 39 -- then I think that you are responsible for that Α 40 information --41 Sure. Q 42 -- and what that person does with it. Α 43 What if the information in question was public 44 before I came in contact with it? What if it was 45 information that was obtained from the other 46 person's Facebook page? What if she was the one 47 that made the information public?

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) cross-exam by Patrick Fox

1 Α I can't --2 I certainly can't be responsible for what other 3 people do with information that was made public by 4 the complainant. Do you - sorry -- to make that a 5 question, do you agree with that, that I can't be 6 responsible for what third parties do with 7 information that the complainant herself made 8 public?

37

- 9 I don't know what information you're speaking to, Α 10 Your Honour.
- 11 Well, a lot of the information on the website was Q 12 information obtained from public sources and from 13 Ms. Capuano's own Facebook page. Much of the 14 information on there was not information that was 15 given exclusively to me. Anyway, we'll move on. 16 Now, earlier there was some brief discussion of 17 something that was posted on my Facebook timeline. 18 You were present when that was discussed a little 19 while ago?
- 20 Α Just now, Your Honour, yes.
- 21 Q Right. Is it your understanding that on June 22 22nd, 2020, when that was posted on my Facebook 23 page or Facebook timeline, that I was in custody? 24
 - To the best of my knowledge, yes, Your Honour. Α
- 25 So, is it reasonable to say, then, that it 26 is entirely possible that even though I was in 27 custody prior to December 30th, 2018, stuff still 28 could've been put onto the internet maybe on my 29 behalf or by other people?
- 30 Well, it's possible. Yeah. Anything's possible, Α 31 Your Honour.
- 32 All right. Sorry, I'm just double-checking my 33 notes -

34 THE COURT: Certainly.

days?

41

35 THE ACCUSED: -- to make sure I didn't miss anything. 36 Perhaps one last thing I want to ask you 37 You testified on direct that you had some 38 communication with GoDaddy and that that resulted 39 in the website being shut down or suspended for 90 40

- Correct, Your Honour. Α
- And why is it that you didn't take any further 42 43 action after that? I believe that they -- you had 44 said that they had told you that they would need a 45 U.S. court order in order to suspend it 46 permanently?
- 47 Correct, Your Honour, and I still haven't ruled Α

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) cross-exam by Patrick Fox

47

```
1
         that out.
                    These investigations take a lot of
2
         time --
3
         Really?
    Q
4
         -- and you're not my only file; and I have
    Α
5
         multiple other files on the go as well. So, it's
6
         merely an issue of resources at this time, Your
7
         Honour.
8
              Yet the Crown, not specifically Mr. Johnson,
    Q
         Oh.
9
         but the B.C. Prosecution Service in general and
10
         certain members of the justice system here seem to
11
         believe that I am subjecting Ms. Capuano to just
12
         outrageous trauma with this website, yet neither
13
         Ms. Capuano nor yourself or even the victim
14
         services people that keep hounding her to push
15
         this matter have done anything at all to get the
16
         website suspended or taken down.
17
         Well, I did get it suspended, Your Honour. I did
18
         that --
19
    Q
         Oh, sorry.
20
    Α
         -- in the interim.
21
    Q
         Yes.
22
    Α
         And like I said, yes, we deal -- the way that I
         deal with my files is those victims that are in
23
24
         imminent danger physically, those are my number
25
         one concerns. And as I go down through my files,
         Ms. Capuano's personal safety is not in danger at
26
27
         this point, therefore I have worked on other files
28
         as well, but I've definitely not ruled out going
29
         that route, Your Honour.
30
         Okay. And finally, so to confirm so that we're
    Q
31
         all completely clearly on this, it is my
32
         understanding that you're saying that you have
33
         absolutely no idea whether the website was made
34
         publicly accessible before or after the probation
35
         order took effect.
36
         I do not have that date on hand, Your Honour.
    Α
37
         Okay. Can I also assume that you have no first-
38
         hand knowledge about whether I made the website
39
         publicly accessible or somebody else did it either
40
         on my behalf or completely independent of me?
41
         Well, I believe when we met in person, Your
    Α
42
         Honour, that you stated to me that you had created
43
         the website and were maintaining the website.
44
         Created, yes, but bear in mind the website that's
45
         online right now is the same website that was
46
         created back in 2014. It's just a newer version
```

of the website, but to say that it was created,

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) cross-exam by Patrick Fox

47

```
the website was created back in 2014. That's why
1
         I keep saying --
3
    THE COURT: You have to put that in the form of a
4
         question, Mr. Fox, otherwise --
5
    THE ACCUSED: Sorry.
6
    THE COURT: -- I can't consider it.
7
    THE ACCUSED: Sorry, sorry.
8
    THE COURT: You can go down that route --
9
    THE ACCUSED: Yeah.
10
    THE COURT: -- and the officer may or may not have
11
         knowledge about it.
12
    THE ACCUSED: Right, right.
13
    THE COURT: But without that, then the question can be
14
         given no consideration.
15
    THE ACCUSED: My apologies.
16
    THE COURT: No, that's fine.
17
    THE ACCUSED:
18
         Is it your understanding that I wanted to be
19
         prosecuted for criminal harassment based on this
20
         current version of the website that's online?
21
         Yes, Your Honour.
    Α
22
         Okay. And is it your understanding that I have
23
         said or done some things to try to antagonize or
24
         convince or maybe even coerce the B.C. Prosecution
25
         Service and possibly even the VPD to pursue that
26
         prosecution for criminal harassment?
27
    Α
         I believe you brought the new website to light
28
         knowing that an investigation would follow, Your
29
         Honour.
30
         Did I state in this letter, "So, anyway, enclosing
    Q
31
         -- respectfully request you charge me with
32
         criminal harassment and ... " Etc., etc.?
33
         Correct, Your Honour. It's there in writing, yes.
    Α
34
         Okay. Is it reasonable or do you agree that it is
35
         reasonable that perhaps I'd been saying some
36
         things to the police and maybe even to the B.C.
37
         Prosecution Service and maybe even openly in court
38
         to try to provoke the justice system here to
39
         prosecute me for criminal harassment which --
40
         things which may or may not be true? For example,
41
         admitting to things that maybe I didn't do just
42
         because I'm trying to provoke you to do that.
43
         I can't answer to what your intentions may be,
   Α
44
         Your Honour.
45
         That's fine.
                       The important thing, though, is --
46
         well, the important thing is whether -- whether
```

the website was made publicly accessible before or

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) re-exam by Cnsl C. Johnson

after December 30th and we've established that you 1 have no knowledge of when that happened. 3 being the case, I don't believe I have any further 4 questions. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Just give me --6 THE ACCUSED: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: -- one moment, please. 8 THE ACCUSED: Sure. 9 THE COURT: Just bear with me for a moment, please. 10 I don't have any questions for the witness, 11 so let me just ask Mr. Johnson, on behalf of the 12 Crown, if there's any redirect. 13 CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 16 RE-EXAMINATION BY CNSL C. JOHNSON: 17 18 The first thing I would like to ask the witness is 19 in the interest of fulness. If the witness could 20 also read out Condition 13 of the probation order 21 because I do believe it has some relevance. 22 Yes. [As read in]: 23 24 Within 24 hours of your release from custody 25 you will take all necessary steps to ensure 26 that any website, social media page or other 27 publication which you have authored, created, 28 maintained or contributed to which contains 29 any information, statements, comments, 30 videos, pictures which refer to or depict by 31 name or description Desirée Capuano, James 32 Pendleton, S. Capuano, or any of their 33 friends, relatives, employers or co-workers, 34 including the website published under the 35 domain www.desireecapuano.com is no longer 36 accessible via the internet or by any other 37 means. 38 39 THE COURT: Thank you. 40 CNSL C. JOHNSON: I see Mr. Fox is on his feet. 41 THE COURT: Yes. 42 I'll let him speak first. I do have CNSL C. JOHNSON: 43 some more questions.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Thank you.

THE ACCUSED: I just wanted to point out I'm in no way charged with violating that condition, so I object to this. I don't think it's relevant.

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) re-exam by Cnsl C. Johnson

```
THE COURT: Okay. Anything -- Mr. Fox, that really is
1
2
         a matter for argument at the end.
3
    THE ACCUSED: Sure.
4
    THE COURT: But I have your point.
5
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you.
6
    THE COURT:
                Thank you. I think there's further
7
         questions in redirect.
8
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
9
         Detective Constable, you indicated in response to
10
         Mr. Fox's cross-examination that when you went to
11
         interview him in June of 2019, that he stated to
12
         you that he had created and updated the website of
13
         which you were speaking?
14
         Yes, Your Honour.
    Α
15
         And you've indicated in response to Mr. Fox's
    Q
16
         questions that you are unable to say exactly when
17
         the website was created?
18
         Correct, Your Honour. I don't know internet
19
         website creation at all.
20
    Q
         But I gather that when he said updated, you took
21
         that to be current as opposed to something else?
22
    Α
         Yes, Your Honour.
23
         And are you able to elaborate on that as to the
    Q
24
         timing of that?
25
         My understanding is that the initial website that
26
         Mr. Fox was convicted of was
27
         www.desireecapuano.com and I have reviewed that
28
         website as well and when I look at
29
         www.desicapuano.com, I can see that they are --
30
         everything on www.desicapuano.com is on the
31
         desireecapuano.com website, plus new information,
32
         including information from the trial, emails,
33
         recordings, police reports, things that would've
34
         been, I believe, given to Mr. Fox through
35
         disclosure which leads me to believe that this
36
         information would have been updated to the website
37
         after he was released from custody because he was
38
         in custody until December 30th and these -- the
39
         website -- I got notified of the website in early
40
         March and these were items that were given to him
41
         through disclosure and he was put in custody after
42
         he was convicted. I don't think -- I don't think
43
         I explained that very well.
44
    THE COURT: Just give me one moment, please.
45
         you. Anything else?
46
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: No, thank you, Your Honour.
47
    THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Fox, generally speaking,
```

41

Jennifer Fontana (for Crown) re-exam by Cnsl C. Johnson

```
you don't get a chance to ask further questions in
1
         response to the Crown's redirect. It's a chance
3
         for the Crown to go -- excuse me, to ask the
         witness questions that arose from the cross-
4
5
         examination. So, they don't get to go back
6
         either --
7
    THE ACCUSED: Right.
8
    THE COURT: -- but you're a self-represented
9
         individual. Let me just pause for a second.
10
              Without asking the question, do you have
11
         anything that you wanted to follow up on from
12
         those last few questions raised by the Crown?
13
         appreciate you've made some comments and I do
14
         think they go to closing remarks.
15
    THE ACCUSED: No.
16
    THE COURT: Okay, thank you. So, can the officer be
17
         excused, then?
18
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Yes.
19
    THE COURT: Detective Constable Fontana, thank you for
20
         attending. You're excused.
         Thank you, Your Honour.
21
22
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And, Your Honour, that is the
         evidence I'm calling on behalf of the Crown.
23
24
    THE COURT: Thank you. And I just want to make sure,
25
         then, that we've got from the officer the
26
         probation order --
27
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Oh, the probation order.
28
    THE COURT: -- and the letter back.
29
         Oh.
30
    THE COURT:
                Thank you.
31
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And the letter.
32
         Sorry about that.
33
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: That's okay.
34
    THE COURT:
               Thank you. That's fine.
35
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                        Thank you.
36
37
              (WITNESS EXCUSED)
38
39
    THE COURT: Mr. Johnson --
40
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: So, I'll just say again, Your Honour,
41
         I'm formally closing the Crown's case.
42
    THE COURT: Thank you. Just bear with me for a moment,
43
         then, please.
44
              So, Mr. Fox, just to go through the
45
         formalities. Now that the Crown has closed its
         case and finished calling all of their evidence,
46
47
         you have the following options. You may move for
```

Proceedings

1 2

a directed verdict of acquittal. This means that you'll be asking the court to dismiss some or all of the charges at this stage because you believe there is no evidence in relation to at least one of the essential elements of the offence that the Crown must prove.

Bear in mind as I indicated earlier, if you move for such a directed verdict and I rule against you, you will then be allowed to decide whether or not to call a defence. If the judge rules for you, you'll be acquitted on, you know, one or both counts depending on how that pans out.

This is the other piece of evidence -- or the other important thing -- distinction to bear in mind. You may decide not to present evidence and then argue that the Crown evidence is insufficient for a finding of guilt. If you choose not to testify and not to call any witnesses, I will decide the case based only on the evidence presented during the Crown's case. At this point you'll be convicted only if I find that every essential element of the offence has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

The disadvantage to this second approach is that if — is that it means you cannot ask to reopen your case and call evidence and tell your side of the story if I'm satisfied the Crown has met the burden on them. So, the first one you can, the second one you can't just so it's clear. If you choose not to testify or call evidence, I cannot draw an adverse inference against you from doing that because of the presumption of innocence just so it's really clear. You have the right to remain silent, of course.

You may decide to call evidence in your defence. You've indicated that won't be additional witnesses, but it can include that or include you testifying on your own behalf. As I've pointed out, you're not obligated to call evidence. The Crown bears the burden. It remains on them at all times. Nor are you obligated in any way to testify.

If you do testify, you must go into the witness box and affirm to tell the truth. You can then tell me what happened, what you'd like to tell the court about this matter, but bear in mind after that the Crown then gets a chance to cross-

Proceedings

examine you. They can question you about your criminal record if you have one. The Crown cannot bring up your criminal record if you testify.

Now, that's a bit artificial in this instance. I've got to disabuse my mind of the

now, that's a bit artificial in this instance. I've got to disabuse my mind of the fact that probation order relates to a conviction. I'm going to. I'm just going to look at the nature of the charges in front of the court and whether there was a breach of that order without looking at that background piece. It just provides that narrative.

If the Crown -- if you do testify and the Crown cross-examines you about your criminal record, Mr. Fox, you should bear in mind that the record is relevant to your credibility, not to whether you had the tendency or have the tendency to commit the type of offence that you're charged with today, the breaches of probation.

Bear in mind if you do not call evidence, you're left to argue that the Crown has not proven some or all of the elements against you beyond a reasonable doubt and you can ask in that regard that there's certain inferences to be drawn. So, you can kind of talk about it at kind of a face level, that they missed something, or that there's an inference to be drawn that should cause the court to find that there's a reasonable doubt. So, there's a subtlety to it as well.

Regardless of whether you choose to testify or not, Mr. Fox, you will be given an opportunity to make your closing submissions. So, you'll always have that opportunity. It's not foreclosed whether you testify or not. Mr. Fox, with all of that in mind, before I ask you, then, whether you wish to call evidence, do you have any questions about understanding the options available to you?

THE ACCUSED: No, no. I think I'm clear on them.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE ACCUSED: Thank you.

THE COURT: With that in mind, then, Mr. Fox, do you intend to call evidence?

THE ACCUSED: I'm leaning towards saying no at this point. Sorry, it's just I'm running everything through in my mind and --

THE COURT: Yes. Take your time.

THE ACCUSED: -- thinking if there's anything that the officer testified about that I should respond to

Proceedings

```
and --
1
2
    THE COURT: Let me ask you this, Mr. Fox. If I gave
3
         you five minutes just to mull that over, would
4
         that be of assistance to you?
5
    THE ACCUSED: I think that that would be very
6
         beneficial, yes.
    THE COURT: Okay. So, let's do that, then. Let's take
7
8
         a five-minute break --
9
    THE ACCUSED: Thank you.
10
    THE COURT: -- and then we'll carry on. Thank you.
11
    THE CLERK: Order in court. All rise.
12
13
              (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
14
              (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)
15
16
    THE COURT: Mr. Fox, having had that chance, then, to
17
         think about whether you are going to call
18
         evidence, what is your intention, then?
19
    THE ACCUSED: I have decided not to.
20
    THE COURT: Okay, thank you. So, with that in mind,
21
         I'm now going to make my decision based upon the
22
         evidence that is in front of me. The next
23
         question I have, then, is just a procedural or a
24
         logistical one, I guess, more properly. We've got
25
         10 minutes left in the morning session. We can
26
         break now so you can think about your concluding
27
         remarks to the court if you like.
28
              Often lawyers ask for a bit of time to put
29
         their thoughts together. If you'd like that time,
30
         sir, I'm satisfied we're in good shape in terms of
31
         finishing up with the hearing today, so we can do
32
         that. If you would prefer, we can get started
33
         now. I think Mr. Johnson's going to go first.
34
         So, if you would like, if Mr. Johnson's willing
35
         and prepared, he could start now and then you can
36
         hear a little bit of what he said and that will
37
         give you more time. I'm confident we will not
38
         have your closing submissions before we break for
39
         lunch if that makes sense, Mr. Johnson, as well
40
         from your perspective.
41
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I'm happy to do that, Your Honour.
42
    THE COURT:
                Okay.
43
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I can -- I think I can be very quick.
44
    THE COURT:
                Thank you. Mr. Fox, let's -- I think
45
         probably that makes the most sense --
46
    THE ACCUSED: Sure.
47
    THE COURT: -- and then we'll see where we're at when
```

Submissions for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

Mr. Johnson is done and you can decide whether you want to start your submissions and finish them or go until 2 o'clock and then we'll finish up. So, just give me one moment, please. Go ahead, then, Mr. Johnson. Thank you.

SUBMISSIONS FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:

CNSL C. JOHNSON: Your Honour, Mr. Fox faces two counts that are before you. I will say that with respect to Count 1, the Crown has called evidence which I say is direct and relevant towards the proof of that count. With respect to Count 2, I would say that the evidence is more inferential in that regard. And so, most of what I say will address Count 1 as opposed to Count 2. It doesn't mean that I'm not addressing Count 2, but there is a difference in the evidence, and so those two counts overlap.

With respect to Count 1, then, the evidence is that, firstly, Mr. Fox -- Crown says that they've proved the essential elements, his identification, the jurisdiction issue, that there is a probation order which bound him at the relevant time, and that the order forbid certain types of conduct and which the Crown says that Mr. Fox has engaged in. And he's chosen not to call evidence and so he hasn't provided the court with a reasonable excuse should the court find that he did engage in that conduct.

Specifically, the Crown agrees with Mr. Fox that the Crown hasn't proven when the website www.desicapuano.com was created and that is something that's likely known only to Mr. Fox, but I do say the Crown isn't required to prove that. What the Crown is required to do is that — is to prove that Mr. Fox was involved in that website or contributed to that website or operated that website in some way while he was on probation. And I do say that the evidence that you've heard leads overwhelmingly to the inference that is, in fact, the case.

Specifically, I'll point to a couple of areas. The letter that Mr. Fox authored which is — has been marked as Exhibit 1 in these proceedings is dated June the 6th and Mr. Fox has admitted that he did author that letter, a copy of

Submissions for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

which is before the court, and subsequently Detective Constable Fontana spoke to Mr. Fox about the letter when he again indicated that he was the author of that letter.

The letter does not say, as Mr. Fox has pointed out quite fairly, when the website was created, but the letter does say, and I'm looking at page 3 now, the bottom paragraph, as follows [as read in]:

On the other hand, how do you and the Crown explain not pursuing another criminal harassment charge to the many angry feminists in Canadian news media who adamantly refuse to accept that Capuano is simply an evil person? Particularly, since by publishing the new website I have engaged in exactly the same conduct which Justice Heather Holmes declared formed much of the basis of the guilty verdict in 2017 (at the first criminal harassment trial). I mean, if the website constituted criminal harassment at that point, then it must certainly still constitute criminal harassment now! Right?

And so, the Crown relies on that portion of the letter which clearly, I say, establishes that after being sentenced to jail and while on probation Mr. Fox published the website of which we've heard in this matter and as a result of that, I say that he's clearly guilty with respect to Count 1.

On top of that, I would add the evidence of Detective Constable Fontana in her conversation with Mr. Fox, and I just want to get the words right, where he indicated to her that he had created and updated the website. And certainly, you heard that the material on the website had been up -- or the website had been updated or materials published from the trial at which Mr. Fox was convicted, and the clear inference of that is that those materials were obtained by Mr. Fox and subsequently published on that website.

So, given his admissions both orally to the police officer and in writing in the letter, the fact that the website remains in existence and has periodically been checked, that, in my submission,

Submissions for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson Submissions by the Accused

is a clear contravention of the probation order 1 and as a result of that I say that Mr. Fox should 3 be found quilty. 4 Now, that's with respect to Count 1. 5 2, as I've indicated, is more inferential and so 6 from the conduct that I say the Crown has 7 established in Count 1, it would be clear that 8 Mr. Fox would have to access the internet in order 9 to update the website or post materials on the 10 website. And so, the Crown says that looking at 11 Count 2 in that way, the Crown has proven its 12 case. 13 And, Your Honour, those are my submissions. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Fox, noting the time, we've 15 got about two minutes left before the lunch break, 16 it's not rigid, but if you think you're going to 17 be more than a few minutes, then I suggest we 18 adjourn and hear from you at 2 o'clock. What is 19 your wish? 20 THE ACCUSED: I agree with adjourning until two. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Let's do that. We will 22 reconvene and hear Mr. Fox's closing submissions 23 at 2 o'clock. Thank you. THE CLERK: Order in court. All rise. 24 25 26 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR NOON RECESS) 27 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED) 28 29 THE CLERK: I inquired about the pen -30 CNSL C. JOHNSON: Okay. 31 THE CLERK: -- and they said they are [indiscernible/ 32 not near microphone] going to bring the pen. 33 CNSL C. JOHNSON: Wonderful. Thanks for that. Recalling the Fox matter, Your Honour. 34 35 THE COURT: Thank you. We'll give Mr. Fox a moment 36 just to get organized. Take your time, sir. 37 THE ACCUSED: Okay. 38 THE COURT: So, closing arguments by Mr. Fox, then, on 39 his own behalf.

SUBMISSIONS BY THE ACCUSED:

40 41

42 43

44

45 46

47

THE ACCUSED: Thank you. It is my position that the Crown does not only have to prove that I was on probation, but specifically that I was on probation at the time or at the moment that I engaged in the prohibited conduct, and it is my

Submissions by the Accused

1 2

submission that the Crown has failed to do that. I mean, there's no dispute that I published the website. I've been very open about that. However, there's been absolutely no evidence of when the website was published.

And I believe it is commonly accepted, and I believe that everybody here would agree, that any — if I engaged in the conduct before the probation condition took effect, then it cannot be considered a violation of that probation order. And the fact that the results of engaging in that conduct, in other words the fact that the website continued to be publicly accessible, does not actually violate the conditions that I'm accused of — or, yeah, does not violate those conditions because the conditions only prohibited me from engaging in specific conduct.

And the Crown even admits in his closing arguments that they haven't proven when the website was, as he said it, created, though I believe he also meant when it was published because that's really the important issue here.

Now, the court had heard testimony and from the Crown that there's content on the website which came into existence after the 2017 trial and that proves that the website must've been published after that point, and there's no dispute about that. However, all of the content that's on the website which the witness and the Crown referred to all came into existence and came into my possession before my release from Fraser on December 30th.

So, the fact that I had it and the fact that it ended up on the website still does not give any indication of whether the website was published before or after the probation order came into effect.

It's also my position that the Crown has failed to prove whether I personally uploaded or made any specific content that's on the website publicly available, as opposed to somebody else doing it on my behalf. Now, I've been very open and very frank with everybody that I created the website, and that I published the website, but I've never stated that I published any or uploaded any specific conduct -- or content on the website.

So, even if there is specific content on the

Submissions by the Accused Reply for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

```
website which had come into existence after my
1
         release from Fraser, I have never admitted that I
3
         put that specific content on there. So, again, I
4
         believe that the Crown has failed to prove that.
5
              And I guess that's -- I guess that's all I
6
         would have on it. Thank you.
7
    THE COURT: Thank you.
                            Just bear with me for a moment,
8
         please.
9
              Anything further from the Crown?
10
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: I think Mr. Fox might want to say one
11
         more --
12
    THE COURT: Oh, sorry, I didn't see you standing again,
13
         Mr. Fox --
14
    THE ACCUSED: I'm short.
15
    THE COURT: -- in my peripheral vision. My apologies.
16
    THE ACCUSED: I'm sorry, there was one other point --
17
    THE COURT: Yeah. Go ahead.
18
    THE ACCUSED: -- that I wanted to make, but it wasn't
19
         written in my notes here because they won't let me
20
         have a pen downstairs. The Crown did bring up
21
         Condition 13 which required me to remove from the
22
         internet the website or any other content that was
23
         up at that time. My position on that is that I'm
24
         not charged with violating that condition, and so
25
         even if I did do that, the Crown is more than
26
         welcome to charge me with that and we can start
27
         this whole process over again. Thank you.
28
    THE COURT: Thank you. So, I take it nothing further
29
         from the Crown?
30
31
    REPLY FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:
32
33
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: No, I simply just in response to
34
         Mr. Fox, what appears to be his main point, I
         agree with him, I think I've already said this in
35
36
         any event, that the Crown isn't in a position to
37
         establish when --
38
    THE COURT:
                Right.
39
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- the website was created and/or
40
         published, but the Crown does say the part of the
41
         letter that I referred to establishes that Mr. Fox
42
         participated in publishing things on the website
43
         while on probation after being in jail.
44
    THE COURT:
                Thank you.
45
    CNSL C. JOHNSON:
                      Thank you.
46
    THE COURT: Please bear with me, then, for a few
```

47

moments, please.

Submissions on Sentence for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

1 [REASONS FOR JUDGMENT] 2 3 CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you, Your Honour. I wish to pass up to you a copy of Mr. Fox's criminal record 4 5 and I've shown that to him and he's admitted that 6 those are his convictions. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. And that's correct, is it, 8 Mr. Fox? THE ACCUSED: Yes. Yes, it is. 9 10 THE COURT: Thank you. That will be Exhibit 1 at 11 sentencing. 12 13 EXHIBIT 1 (on Sentence): JUSTIN conviction 14 list re Patrick Henry Fox 15 16 SUBMISSIONS ON SENTENCE FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON: 17 18 CNSL C. JOHNSON: And I'll say at the outset, Your 19 Honour, that the Crown seeks a sentence of six 20 months imprisonment, but I will also say that 21 Mr. Fox has done more than that in time credited 22 and I'll get to that in a moment, but you'll see from the record and from various calculations the 23 24 probation order that was -- that he's just been 25 found guilty of breaching, by my calculation is in 26 effect until December of 2021, so for another year 27 and four months or so. 28 And then more recently in June of this year 29 Mr. Fox was convicted of two breaches, which I was 30 not the Crown on those matters. I understand that 31 that involved allegations of him trying to get 32 into the United States, and on that occasion he 33 received a jail sentence and used up some of the 34 time served, but he also received 18 months 35 probation and that probation order also expires in 36 December of 2021.

With respect to this matter, then, I'm seeking a sentence of six months in jail and I will say that on the last trial date, I believe it was July the 6th that we were here, the sheriffs were unable to produce Mr. Fox who wanted to do his trial in person and -- because somebody at the correction centre had, I believe, tested positive for COVID.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

CNSL C. JOHNSON: So, on that day they were unable to transport him. He then appeared by video is my

Submissions on Sentence for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson

```
recollection and we were able to get quite an
1
2
         early trial date which is today's date. But the
3
         reason I'm raising that is because on that date on
4
         July the 6th I did all the calculations and
5
         Mr. Fox had -- putting aside the time served that
6
         was used for his June matters, he had at that
7
         point in time served just over four months with
8
         respect to this file to which, if one gives him
9
         time and a half, that would've been six months.
10
         So, he's now well over that.
    THE COURT: Okay.
11
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: So, I'm still maintaining the same
12
13
         sentencing position, but I'm also going to ask
14
         Your Honour to consider a short term of probation
15
         for six months and aside from the statutory
16
         condition, the only condition I would ask is --
17
         and I'm in Your Honour's hands on this, but it
18
         seems that Mr. Fox did not get the message that he
19
         needs to remove this website, and so I'm going to
20
         ask Your Honour to consider a condition very
21
         similar to the one that was imposed on his
22
         previous order which I believe is --
    THE COURT: Thirteen?
23
24
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- number 13. Unfortunately, I don't
25
         -- I now don't have a copy, but --
    THE COURT: Okay.
26
27
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: -- of course I can't ask that it
28
         apply to the person by the name of James Pendleton
29
         or S. because neither of those --
30
    THE COURT: Okay, yes.
31
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And so, the other thing that occurs
32
         to me is that I'd be happy or content if it said
33
         48 hours rather than 24 hours.
34
    THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
35
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And those are my submissions.
36
    THE COURT: Thank you. Just bear with me a moment,
37
         then, please.
38
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: But I wouldn't -- I'll say I'm not
39
         asking for reporting or anything of that nature.
40
    THE COURT: Good. Okay, thank you. Mr. Fox, if you'd
41
         stand, then, again, sir? With respect, then, I'm
42
         now to sentence you on Count 1 on the information.
43
         You've heard the prosecutor reference your
44
         criminal record which has been put before me at
45
         this sentencing hearing. Let me just ask you some
         questions. If you like, you can say anything you
46
47
         want about the criminal record. You don't have
```

Submissions on Sentence by the Accused

to. It's kind of a document that probably speaks for itself. You can if you want.

But if there's anything else you'd like to say to the court before I sentence you of a personal nature or about the circumstances, what you say would be an appropriate sentence, this is your opportunity to do that.

2 3

SUBMISSIONS ON SENTENCE BY THE ACCUSED:

THE ACCUSED: Okay. First, I oppose the Crown seeking six months.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE ACCUSED: It baffles me that I would be sentenced to 12 months for the first probation violation and then for a subsequent probation violation I would get half as much time.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE ACCUSED: I find that very troubling. Since I've already been convicted of violating probation once before and sentenced to 12 months, it seems to me that I should be sentenced to at least 12 months this time, shouldn't I? I mean, clearly I'm not learning my lesson.

I'm not saying this to be sarcastic or to make light of the situation. I'm just trying to keep things consistent. I guess we could argue that on the first probation violation there was two counts and now there's only one count and maybe that's why it's six months, but regardless.

And with respect to the probation condition about taking down the website, with all due respect to everybody who is here there is absolutely no way the website is going to come down on my release from custody, and I don't expect to be released from custody before the end of December 2021, end of the probation.

But on my release from custody, I intend to return to the United States, and so I don't see how any probation conditions imposed here at this time are going to make any difference at that point. So, regardless of what the decision is on that, the website's not going to come down. It's not going to go away. If I need to transfer ownership of the website to another party so that I technically don't own it at the time, so be it, but that's all.

Reply on Sentence for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson Submissions on Sentence by the Accused

```
1
    THE COURT: Okay.
    THE ACCUSED: And I know I just shot myself in the foot
3
         and said all the wrong stuff and made everybody
4
         upset, but ...
5
    THE COURT: Thank you. Just bear with me a moment,
6
         then, please.
7
    THE ACCUSED: Sure.
8
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Your Honour, would it be of any
9
         assistance for you to have the reasons for
10
         sentence from Madam Justice Holmes?
11
    THE COURT: It may be that I should read those before I
12
         pronounce my sentence.
13
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: They're not too lengthy, but --
14
    THE COURT: Thank you. Just give me one moment, please.
15
16
    REPLY ON SENTENCE FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON:
17
18
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: And just in response to Mr. Fox's
19
         comments, every offence and every offender are, of
20
         course, unique and I was assigned this file and I
21
         made the determination as to what sentence I would
22
         seek and the other file was conducted by other
23
         individuals and the facts were different. So --
24
    THE COURT: Thank you. Was there something else you
25
         wanted to add, Mr. Fox? Go ahead if you do.
26
27
    SUBMISSIONS ON SENTENCE BY THE ACCUSED, CONTINUING:
28
29
    THE ACCUSED: Yes, I just wanted to point out that with
30
         the previous probation conditions that I was
31
         accused of violating, there was nobody that was
32
         supposedly being harmed by that violation whereas
33
         in this case it could be argued that Count 1
34
         [indiscernible] --
35
    THE COURT: Okay.
36
    THE ACCUSED: -- being harmed by the website being
37
         online, so I think that this is a much more
38
         serious one than the previous one.
39
              There was another thing that Mr. Johnson just
40
         -- I can't remember now, that I wanted to respond
41
         to.
42
```

REPLY ON SENTENCE FOR CROWN BY CNSL C. JOHNSON, CONTINUING:

43

44

45 46

47

CNSL C. JOHNSON: Sorry, Your Honour, just -- I hate to keep doing this. I'll endeavour that this will be

Reply on Sentence for Crown by Cnsl C. Johnson Submissions on Sentence by Accused

```
the last occasion, but Mr. Fox did say that he
         anticipates being in custody until December.
3
         not guite sure why that is because he -- if Your
4
         Honour accepts my sentencing submission, he would
5
         be released today.
6
    THE COURT: Oh, okay. Thank you for clarifying that.
7
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Because there's no other
8
         outstanding --
9
    THE ACCUSED: No, no. I'm being held on another --
10
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: There was an allegation in Port
11
         Coquitlam.
12
    THE ACCUSED: There still is.
13
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: The Crown has indicated to me some
14
         weeks ago that they were staying that.
15
    THE ACCUSED: Yeah, but that hasn't happened yet.
16
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Oh, that may not --
17
    THE COURT: Oh, okay. Thank you for that information,
18
         both Mr. Johnson and Mr. Fox. Just give me one
19
         moment, then, please.
20
    THE ACCUSED: Yes, I go back on -- oh, last week we had
21
         a status conference. They kept trying to talk me
22
         into applying for bail and I said no, I'm just
         going to stay here until December and that's how
23
24
         we left it there. As far as I know it's going to
25
         continue in December, but --
26
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Sorry, Your Honour, there is just a
27
         -- there is a charge in Port Coquitlam. I've been
28
         advised by the Crown that they will not be
29
         proceeding on that. That I gather from Mr. Fox
30
         hasn't happened yet, so he may still be in custody
31
         for some period of time.
32
    THE ACCUSED: Yeah.
                         The reason that I think I'm still
33
         going to be in custody from --
34
    THE COURT: What I'm going to do, then, is just take
35
         five minutes --
36
    THE ACCUSED: Sure.
37
    THE COURT: -- to read Justice Holmes' decision. I'm
38
         just going to stand down. Mr. Registrar, I'll
39
         just be outside here. Just come and get me in
40
         five minutes. Thank you. Sorry, Mr. Fox?
41
42
    SUBMISSIONS ON SENTENCE BY THE ACCUSED, CONTINUING:
43
44
    THE ACCUSED: I do remember what I wanted to mention.
45
         With respect to Justice Holmes' reasons for
46
         sentencing there --
47
    THE COURT: Yes.
```

Proceedings

```
1
    THE ACCUSED: -- bear in mind the transcripts and the
2
         recordings of all of those sentencing proceedings
3
         have also been published and they clearly prove
4
         that what she's saying in there does not
5
         correspond to what was said in the court and the
6
         evidence that was presented.
7
    THE COURT: Okay. And I think the difficulty, Mr. Fox,
8
         with that is this is not an appeal to my
9
         understanding, so that's the decision I rely upon,
10
         yes. If you dispute the evidence, the route is an
11
         appeal at that point. Thank you.
12
13
               (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
14
               (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)
15
    THE COURT: Thank you.
16
17
18
               [REASONS FOR SENTENCE]
19
20
    CNSL C. JOHNSON: Thank you, Your Honour.
21
    THE COURT: Mr. Registrar can assist if there's any
22
         further work we can do. Thank you.
23
24
               (PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)
25
26
27
28
    Transcriber: S. Houde
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
```

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability.

S. Houde

Court Transcriber