Petrick For 1451 King seever Ave Port Cogneit Som, BC V3C 152

August 30, 2019

Attn: Bernie Wolfe Crown Counsel 222 Main Street Vancouver, 30 VGA 258

Re: R. V. Fox; Court File #: 244069-5-BC

Deer Mr. Wolfe!

It has now been over two weeks since the trial has been adjointed on August 15, 2019.

On August 15, 2019, il stated il intended to peersue various avenues for the peerson of obtaining phy sical levelonce to support the test imany il intend to provide in this matter. And, il have since commenced those pressents. Il have been dilipent to heap verifiable records of that which il will provide the covert an Odober 8, 2019.

You also stated, on August 15, 2019, that you would

peersue certain, specific revenues, including:

- Representing the RCMI follow up with CBSA reasonability whether or not CBSA has any records relating to my interaction with them on March 15, 2019;
- Requesting somebody from CBSA testily repareding my interaction with them on March 17, 2019. In this respect it coveld be wheat if it could be one of the officers it actually interacted with;
- You stated that your covered return the désclosiere material to me becourse, as you peet it, your "can't levere me in the clark".

May your please inform me of the statues of these matters?
Thank your.

Sincorely,

Patrick Tox

Patrick For 1451 Kinpseerry Ave Port Cornetlan, BC V3C 152

August 31, 2019

Attu: Bærnie Wolfe Crown Counsel 222 Main St Vancouver, BC

V6A 258

Re: P. v. Fox; Court File #: 244068-5-BC; Disclosure leptop

Mr. Wolfe:

On August 30, 2019, a few hovers after il had mailed the prieor letter, dated August 30, 2019, to your il had received the disclosure laptop. Therefore, your may disregard that particular inquire of that letter.

However, please note, the laptop il received an August 30, 2019, is configured not only with a hord drive possievored (which il already have), but also with a B105 were possievored which is required in order to boot the laptop. This additional possievored has

not been previoled. Therefore, if an unable to boot the laptop. Therefore, if an unable to use the laptop. Therefore, if an unable to access the disclosure material.

May you please for ward me the 3105 user password; or remove that pass word from the configuration?

Howing two BIOS level pressereds, is the hourd drieve press word (which also encrepts the entere hourd drieve, mocking it inaccessable from any other competer), and the war press word, is redundant and down not make the laptop and it's contents are more secure than howing just the hourd drieve press word (which it already had). Both press words prevent the septem from booting until the correct press word is entered. The hard drieve press word is sufficient—the user press word adds nothing, it is redundant. Do you recall over discussion about incompetent of that discussion. Vuless, of coverse, this is a perfect example of my point of that discussion. Vuless, of coverse, this is providing disclosure material.

Sincerely,
Patrick Gay



September 5, 2019

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Telephone: 604 660-4353

Website: www.gov.bc.ca/ag

Facsimile: 604 660-4347

Mr. Patrick H. Fox C/O North Fraser Pretrial Centre, 1451 Kingsway Avenue, Port Coquitlam, BC, V3C 1S2

Dear: Mr. Fox

RE: R. v. FOX, Patrick Henry

Court File: 244069-BC-5

Trial Date: October 8 and 10, 2019

I have your letter dated August 30, 2019 and received in my Office on September 4, 2019.

Regarding your claim that on August 15th 2019 I stated to you that I would request somebody from CBSA to testify "...regarding my interaction with them on March 15, 2019. In this respect it would be ideal if it could be one of the officers I actually interacted with":

Upon reading this, I was unable to recall making an offer that Crown would notify a witness on your behalf. Working to recall this, I listened to the DARS carefully regarding exchanges made after the evidence for that day concluded. I did not hear myself offering to notify or request a witness from CBSA, on your behalf. Perhaps you could provide some context for the claim. At the moment, I cannot recall how you came to that conclusion.

Nevertheless, I draw your attention to the related response from the RCMP and specifically the following excerpts:

"...and no CBSA officers could be located with respect to an interaction with Fox from March 15, 2019 at or near the CBSA building, police cannot provide information to Crown to assist in regards to this matter."

"Officer Peirera and Constable Brown spoke by telephone on August 21, 2019, regarding the email request, at which time Officer Pereira confirmed that (sic) were no CBSA records relating to Patrick Henry Fox from March 15, 2019, and had there been any she would have advised Burnaby RCMP during their initial contact."

"Officer Pereira replied to the email request, with a written response: CBSA does not have any record of dealing with Mr. Fox on March 15/19."

It is also clear from CBSA Officer Gill, that he had no interaction with you on the 15th of March 2019 at the Peach Arch Border Crossing.

Moreover, it is clear from the CBSA response that "CBSA does not have any record of dealing with Mr. Fox on March 15/19. Furthermore, Mr. Fox could not be deported to the USA or denied entry into Canada due to (sic) he is a Canadian Citizen."

No records exist related to that date which record a claimed interaction or identify any Member Officer who supposedly interacted with you. It is clear there is no reasonable basis to notify a CBSA Member for court.

The CBSA response also indicates "...it is not uncommon for pedestrians wanting to cross into the U.S., at the Peace Arch border crossing, to seek assistance from CBSA officers regarding how and where to cross, as the border is a busy location. Further, these interactions are not considered inspections as the access to the CBSA building from Canada and departures are not restricted (whereas entry from the northbound U.S. side is i.e. pedestrians/vehicles seeking entry into Canada), and as such would not likely be documented".

The names of several CBSA Officers are identified in various email exchanges included with the latest disclosure to you. You, in court, on August 15, 2019 conveyed that you would be looking into the process for subpoening witnesses. If you wish to have a case conference or application related to subpoening, before the trial judge please advise.

Yours truly.

Bernie R. Wolfe Crown Counsel