

RCMP



ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE

LOWER MAINLAND DISTRICT REGIONAL POLICE SERVICE – **CONNECTED TO OUR COMMUNITIES**

Burnaby Detachment

RCMP FILE 2016-25379

Regina

v.

FOX, Patrick

Version 4.0

**Burnaby RCMP
6355 Deer Lake Avenue
Burnaby, BC V5G 2J2**

Compiled on 2017-04-10
Burnaby MCM Ops Support Unit
bby_mcm@rcmp-grc.gc.ca • 604-294-7828



Royal Canadian Mounted Police
Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Canada

Task#: 0000

Action Date: 2016-10-11

Date of Report: 2016-10-11

Action Taken: Served Production Order

On October 11th, Cst. TIGANIS was tasked with serving a Production Order for the a/n file on behalf of Cst. SMITH.

At 13:04hrs. Cst. TIGANIS and Cst. MACKAY attended CBC Radio Canada headquarters at 700 Hamilton St. in Vancouver.

At 13:08hrs. Cst. TIGANIS met with Eve St-LAURENT, Legal Counsel for the CBC and served her with the Production Order.

Cst. N. TIGANIS #58426/186819 Burnaby RCMP B Watch IST

Author: Constable Nektario TIGANIS #58426

Page 1 of 1

Action Date: 2017-02-14

Date of Report: 2017-03-16

Action Taken: Affirmed Revocation and New Application for Production Order and Amended Sealing

On Tuesday, February 14, 2017 Constable SMITH attended the Justice of the Peace Office and applied for the following judicial authorizations: Revocation of Production Order, New Production Order and Amended Sealing Order. The Order to Revoke was for a Production Order granted by Judicial Justice ADAIR on October 7, 2016.

On February 14, the application was denied by Judicial Justice HODGE for the following reason: "Pursuant to the Criminal Code, only the Justice who issued the original Order or any Provincial Court Judge can revoke or vary that Order. In this case the issuing Justice was Judicial Justice ADAIR. Judicial Justice ADAIR is not sitting here at the Justice Centre this week".

As the original Production Order is still in effect, another order cannot be issued on identical facts and subject.

Cst SMITH, L.A. 250653/61269. D Watch, Burnaby RCMP.

Action Date: 2017-03-11

Date of Report: 2017-03-16

Action Taken: Affirmed Revocation and New Application for Production Order and Amended Sealing

On Saturday, March 11, 2017 Constable SMITH attended the Justice of the Peace Office and applied for the following judicial authorizations: Revocation of Production Order, New Production Order and Amended Sealing Order. The Order to Revoke was for a Production Order granted by Judicial Justice ADAIR on October 7, 2016.

An application was made on February 14, 2017 however was denied as Judicial Justice ADAIR was not sitting at the time and pursuant to the Criminal Code, only the issuing Justice or a Provincial Court Judge make revoke an existing Order.

On March 11, 2017, the Request to Revoke and application for a new Production Order with Sealing Order were granted by Judicial Justice ADAIR.

Production Order lodged into exhibits.

Constable SMITH, L.A. 250653/61269. D Watch, Burnaby RCMP.

Task Action Report

File No.: 2016-25379

Task#: 34T

Action Date: 2017-03-29

Date of Report: 2017-04-01

Action Taken: Production Order Served to CBC Radio

On March 29, 2017, at approximately 1555 hours, Constable GILL attended the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation (CBC) building, located at 700 Hamilton Street, in Vancouver and served the Production Order to Marie LAURENCE. Marie LAURENCE works in the same department as Eve ST. LAURENT, who was not available at the time.

End of Report.

Constable P.GILL 175533, Burnaby RCMP, C Watch.

BURNABY RCMP
NARRATIVE TEXT HARDCOPY

GO# 1202 2015-32597

Narrative: ATTACHMENT LIST - 2

Attachment List

Author: 254736 DUPONT, JEAN-PHILIPPE

Related date: Thursday, 2015-Sep-24 at: 04:18

This document is provided by the []
for the purposes of prosecution only, requests from other agencies for this
information should be referred to the []

RCC ATTACHMENT LIST

[] IN CUSTODY [] FAMILY VIOLENCE [] S.14 CFCSA Report Submitted
[] Provincial [] Federal [] Youth Select one:
[] J.J.P. Info [X] Original RCC Submission
[] Designated DNA Offence [] Supplemental RCC Submission
[] Fingerprints Required

A (Adult) / Y (Youth)

ACCUSED DETAILS

	Surname	Given 1	Court Process	Court Date
[A]	[FOX]	[Patrick]	[PTA/11.1 UTA]	[2015-Oct-28]
[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
[]	[]	[]	[]	[]

[] PHYSICAL ATTACHMENTS ARE LISTED BELOW

[] CRIMINAL RECORD [] CRIMINAL RECORD ATTACHED

of pages/items

ATTACHMENT DESCRIPTION

[1]	[]	[Promise to Appear]	[]
[1]	[]	[Undertaking Given to a Peace Officer]	[]
[1]	[]	[1CD statement from FOX / 1CD statement from CAPUANO]	[]
[4]	[]	[Notes from Cst. DUPONT]	[]
[4]	[]	[Notes from Cst. HUGGINS]	[]
[2]	[]	[App. A Identification provided by FOX]	[]
[7]	[]	[App. B Documents connecting FOX to the company, emails and website]	[]
[1]	[]	[App. C 1CD Emails]	[]
[11]	[]	[App. D Economic Crimes email, FOX's company's information]	[]
[6]	[]	[App. E FOX's identification documents sen by CAPUANO]	[]
[8]	[]	[App. F District of Arizona - Indictment, Judgement, Memorandum]	[]
[1]	[]	[App. G District of Arizona - Sentencing Document]	[]
[1]	[]	[App. H 1CD Website Digital copy (2015-07-19)]	[]
[4]	[]	[Updates on website on 2015-07-21]	[]
9	[]	Updates on website as of 2015-10-14	[]

*** END OF HARDCOPY ***

*** CONFIDENTIAL ***

PROMISE TO APPEAR

Canada: Province of British Columbia

PROMESSE DE COMPARAÎTRE

Canada: Province de la Colombie-Britannique

Court File No.(s):

Police Agency and File No.(s):

DOB:

☒ Undertaking Given to
a Peace Officer or an
Officer in Charge attached

I/Je Accused PATRICK FOX Occupation software engineer Phone Number
of/de Address 203-3846 Sunset Street City BURNABY

understand that it is alleged that I have committed the following offence(s) / comprends qu'il est allégué que j'ai commis l'infraction (les infractions) suivante(s) :

Briefly set out the substance of offence(s):

OFFENCE DATE	PLACE	OFFENCE DESCRIPTION	SECTION / ACT
2015-07-19	BURNABY	Criminal Harassment	264 C.C.

In order that I may be released from custody: / Afin de pouvoir être mis(e) en liberté:

1. I promise to attend on / Je promets d'être présent(e) au tribunal le

Date OCTOBER 28TH, 2015 at/à Time 2:00 p.m. / heures in the Provincial Court at / à la Cour provinciale à
Court Location 307-222 MAIN ST., VANCOUVER, BC

and to attend thereafter as required, to be dealt with according to law / et d'être présent(e) par la suite selon les exigences du tribunal, afin d'être traité(e) selon la loi.

2. I also promise to appear on / Je promets également de comparaître le

Date _____ at/à Time _____ m./ heures at / à Police station
Address _____

for the purposes of the *Identification of Criminals Act*. [Ignore if not filled in] / pour l'application de la *Loi sur l'identification des criminels*. [Ne pas tenir compte de cet alinéa s'il n'est pas rempli]

I understand that failure without lawful excuse to attend Court or to appear for the purposes of the *Identification of Criminals Act* in accordance with this promise to appear is an offence under subsection 145(5) of the *Criminal Code*.

Je comprends que l'omission, sans excuse légitime, d'être présent(e) au tribunal ou de comparaître pour l'application de la *Loi sur l'identification des criminels* en conformité avec la présente promesse de comparaître constitue une infraction en vertu du paragraphe 145(5) du *Code criminel*.

Subsections 145(5) and (6) of the *Criminal Code* state as follows:

- "(5) Every person who is named in an appearance notice or promise to appear, or in a recognizance entered into before an officer in charge or another peace officer that has been confirmed by a justice under section 508 and who fails, without lawful excuse, the proof of which lies on the person, to appear at a time and place stated therein, if any, for the purposes of the *Identification of Criminals Act*, or to attend court in accordance therewith, is guilty of
- a) an indictable offence and is liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years, or
- b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.
- (6) For the purposes of subsection (5), it is not a lawful excuse that an appearance notice, promise to appear or recognizance states defectively the substance of the alleged offence."

Les paragraphes 145(5) et (6) du *Code criminel* s'énoncent comme suit:

- "(5) Est coupable:
- a) soit d'un acte criminel et passible d'un emprisonnement maximal de deux ans;
- b) soit d'une infraction punissable sur déclaration de culpabilité par procédure sommaire,
- quiconque est nommé dans une citation à comparaître ou une promesse de comparaître ou dans un engagement contracté devant un fonctionnaire responsable ou un autre agent de la paix et qui a été confirmé par un juge de paix en vertu de l'article 508 et omet, sans excuse légitime, dont la preuve lui incombe, de comparaître aux lieux et dates indiqués pour l'application de la *Loi sur l'identification des criminels* ou d'être présent au tribunal en conformité avec ce document.
- (6) Pour l'application du paragraphe (5), le fait qu'une citation à comparaître, une promesse de comparaître ou un engagement indiquent d'une manière imparfaite l'essentiel de l'infraction présumée, ne constitue pas une excuse légitime."

Subsection 502 of the *Criminal Code* states as follows:

- "502 Where an accused who is required by an appearance notice or promise to appear or by a recognizance entered into before an officer in charge or another peace officer to appear at a time and place stated therein for the purposes of the *Identification of Criminals Act* does not appear at that time and place, a justice may, where the appearance notice, promise to appear or recognizance has been confirmed by a justice under section 508, issue a warrant for the arrest of the accused for the offence with which the accused is charged."

L'article 502 du *Code criminel* s'énonce comme suit:

- "502 Lorsqu'un prévenu à qui une citation à comparaître, une promesse de comparaître ou un engagement contracté devant un fonctionnaire responsable ou un autre agent de la paix enjoint de comparaître aux lieux et dates indiqués, pour l'application de la *Loi sur l'identification des criminels*, ne comparait pas aux lieux et dates ainsi fixés, un juge de paix peut, lorsque la citation à comparaître, la promesse de comparaître ou l'engagement a été confirmé par un juge de paix en vertu de l'article 508, décerner un mandat pour l'arrestation du prévenu pour l'infraction dont il est inculpé."

Dated/Fait le July 20TH, 2015

at/à City BURNABY

British Columbia / Colombie-Britannique

Officer in Charge / Peace Officer

St. DUBONT 6162

Detachment or Force

Signature of Accused

See Notice of Language Rights at Trial on reverse / Voir au verso pour notification de vos droits de langue au procès

good road condition, dry, 18°C

**DUPONT
JEAN-PHILIPPE**

000254736



© 2004 CLASS MIFARE GMH

1049	Shen
------	------

V1

beat within the hair layer /
segment

Scaphiopus capensis + capensis

134	15-32597
-----	----------

Investment file

For Patrick (Gamer)

A. Neub R1ESS

1973-11-24

against Devise CHARACTERS

42

DUPONT
JEAN-PHILIPPE

000254736



4310
© 2012 DUPONT JEAN-PHILIPPE

1147 CBSA Burnaby #

V1

CBSA Cellphone #

V1

(statement from her
get email...)

(CBSA changes and
when get in country)

1200

V1

1377 Audio statement

married in 2000, got married
in first year... took son,
for 9 years, didn't know
where her son was...
2011, 2012, 13, 14, 15 London

she custody of child...

reasonable visitation to dad...

fear that he could enter in
US and shoot her...

website affects her...

because people who search
for her name find the
website... running her
reputation

(556) Information found from the
open source about the website
denise.carpenter.com

links the host to a phone #

linking it to Ricky Steve
RIESS (Alvin Patrick FOX)

address and name of email

address all associated to

Patrick FOX 43

2015-07-20

Night / Monday

Shift 1700-0500

veh #5016

rad #11092

good road condition, 26°C

1700 Briefing

1824 arrived 1820 placed a
call to show to ~~website~~
website 15-3257.7, said
court order is required.
now going to attempt
contact with H. Fox

1836 new call came in

DUPONT
JEAN-PHILIPPE

000254736



CLASS MIPAGE QVH 4510

1944 Back on South for next
attempt to 203-3846 Sunset st.
1955 Going to visit 17 of
A78
2002 Unit 202 didn't see him
203 negative
2012 left phone message to call
me back

44

DUPONT
JEAN-PHILIPPE

000254736



CLASS MIFARE 4510

2105 10(a)
10(b) DYU? / do
DYU? eventually. b7 →
Patrick FOX

not at the moment.
Official warning
DYU? yeah

2130 Refused to call lawyer

0052 Release PATRICK FOX

0105 hear John Fox, FBI
BETHA ALDAY, name
Patrick FOX made copies
of name change document
and Florida birth certificate
to give us

45

BCMP GRC

Cst. R. Huggins

Burnaby RCMP Detachment
www.burnaby.rcmp.ca

6355 Deer Lake Avenue
Burnaby, B.C. V5G 2J2

"Partners for a Safe Community"

Telephone: 604-294-7922

Facsimile: 604-294-7807

Voice mail/Ext: 604-294-7755/5149

Email: V1



Royal Canadian Mounted Police / GRC du Canada

Canada

Riding w/ Cst. Dupont
15-32597

1127 Will phone Com
and ask

✓ Emails to be sent to
Cst. Dupont

✓ Any send email w/
names SOC goes by
✓ Who is son w/

✓ What is custody
arrangement

✓ How is custody
transferred

✓ How do you know
emails coming from SOC?

Jean Philippe

Jean-Philippe.dupont@


1132

Phoning

desiree.cafuano@
gmail.com

Patrick Henry Fox

Patrick Fox @ Solaris.com



2015-07-19
1845 Printed web page
(2 pages) from
desireecapitano.com
1446 Found more pages
1403 On phone w/ CBSA
V1 [REDACTED]
Michael H.
2008 - concluded he
was born in
Suffbury
- Removed from US
- 6043 CBP
V1 [REDACTED]
1429 On phone w/
US Customs & Border
Patrol
-
-
1434 soc allowed back in
(Patrick Fox 1973-11-24)
(Richard Riess 1973-11-24)
1458 Email sent to RTIC BC
for US checks
1512 On Cmd line pinged
desireecapitano.com
came back w/ IP
address
184-64.83-206
Conducted reverse Lookup
IP search on open
source site found on
Google
191
- Information located &
Printed off

RCMP-GRC

Cst. R. Huggins
 Burnaby RCMP Detachment
 www.burnaby.rcmp.ca
 6355 Deer Lake Avenue
 Burnaby, B.C. V5G 2J2
 "Partners for a Safe Community"
 Telephone: 604-294-7922
 Facsimile: 604-294-7807
 Email: **V1**
 Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Voicemail/Ext: 604-294-7755/5149

Canada

2015.07.19

Some of the information would not print off

- Highlighted some info that did not paste on to word
- Printed word w/ some of the info
- Screen shot taken of info on slide and attached
- Used HTTrack open source website downloader to copy website 192

desiree.ca

www.desireecapiano.com

1600 - Information from "who is" if had phone number & address associated to website

- Phone # 604-889-9256
- address 3846 Sunset Street in Burnaby, BC
- Cpt. Dupont conducted PRIME checks in front of me
- Phone # & address came back to "Ricky REISS" who is an alias for Richard REISS on our system

RCMP-GRC

Cst. R. Huggins
 Burnaby RCMP Detachment
 www.burnaby.rcmp.ca
 6355 Deer Lake Avenue
 Burnaby, B.C. V5G 2J2
 "Partners for a Safe Community"
 Telephone: 604-294-7922
 Facsimile: 604-294-7807
 Email: **V1** [REDACTED]
 Royal Canadian Mounted Police / Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Volcemail/Ext: 604-294-7755/5149


Canada

2016 07 20
 Monday - Night #1
 Temp
 Alt: Dry
 Rad. 1189
 Crater: BUS016
 Shift: 1700-0500 HRS
 S. Side
 Riding w/ Cst. Dupont
 1700 briefing
 2000 RE: 15-32597
 23@ 203-3846 Sunset St
 2010 Door Knocks neg
 - Tenant of unit 203
 informed us he knows
 tenant of 203 194
 "I know him by two
 names, Patrick & Richard
 - I had asked tenant
 if his neighbour was
 home if he knew
 who his neighbour
 was or his name
 2130 - For i/c & refused
 lawyer

[Signature]

195

APPENDIX A



CANADA
BRITISH COLUMBIA

**CERTIFICATE OF
CHANGE OF NAME**

55690965

Previous Name: RICKY STEVE RIESS
New Name: PATRICK HENRY FOX
Place of Residence: BURNABY, BRITISH COLUMBIA

Children's Names Changed:

FROM: *****
TO: *****
FROM: *****
TO: *****
FROM: *****
TO: *****
FROM: *****
TO: *****



BRITISH
COLUMBIA

Given under my hand at Victoria, British Columbia
this 05 day of MAR 2014

Registration Date: MAR 04, 2014
Registration No: 2014-59-000902

VSA 436L (09/09)


Jack Shewchuk
Chief Executive Officer
Vital Statistics Agency



Certified extract from
Registration of Name Change
Filed at Victoria, B.C.,
Canada

APPENDIX B

Home > Whois Lookup > DesireeCapuano.com

Whois Record for DesireeCapuano.com

Find out more about Project Whois and
DomainTools for Windows.

DOMAINTOOLS for Windows

[Download Now](#)

Access domain ownership records from your desktop

Related Domains For Sale or At Auction

1

[More >](#)

CapuanoEPartners.com (\$699)

— Whois & Quick Stats

Email	abuse@web.com is associated with ~9,692,706 domains patrickfox@solaronyx.com is associated with ~2 domains	↗
Registrant Org	Solar Onyx Development Corp. is associated with ~1 other domains	↗
Registrar	NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC.	
Registrar Status	clientTransferProhibited	
Dates	Created on 2014-01-23 - Expires on 2016-01-23 - Updated on 2014-08-11	↗
Name Server(s)	NS67.WORLDNIC.COM (has 2,922,236 domains) NS68.WORLDNIC.COM (has 2,922,236 domains)	↗
IP Address	184.69.83.206 is hosted on a dedicated server	↗
IP Location	🇨🇦 - British Columbia - North Vancouver - Shaw Communications Inc.	
ASN	🇨🇦 AS6327 SHAW - Shaw Communications Inc. (registered Mar 08, 1996)	
Domain Status	Registered And Active Website	
Whois History	10 records have been archived since 2014-01-22	↗
IP History	3 changes on 4 unique IP addresses over 1 years	↗

Registrar 2 registrars with 1 drop **History****Hosting History** 2 changes on 3 unique name servers over 1 year **Whois Server** whois.networksolutions.com**— Website****Website Title**  Desiree Capuano - Systems Analyst, Apollo Education Group **Server Type** Apache/2.4.6 (Linux/SUSE)**Response Code** 200**SEO Score** 79%**Terms** 1345 (Unique: 566, Linked: 18)**Images** 2 (Alt tags missing: 2)**Links** 11 (Internal: 7, Outbound: 4)**Whois Record** (last updated on 2015-07-19)

Tools

Whois History	
Hosting History	
Monitor Domain Properties	▼
Reverse Whois Lookup	▼
Reverse IP Address Lookup	▼
Reverse Name Server Lookup	▼
Network Tools	▼
Buy This Domain ▼	
Visit Website	
📄 Preview the Full Domain Report	

No Screenshot Available



View Screenshot History

Available TLDs

General TLDs Country TLDs

The following domains are available through our preferred partners. Select domains below for more information. (3rd party site)

Taken domain.

Available domain.

Deleted previously owned domain.

DesireeCapuano.com	View Whois
DesireeCapuano.net	Buy Domain
DesireeCapuano.org	Buy Domain
DesireeCapuano.info	Buy Domain
DesireeCapuano.biz	Buy Domain
DesireeCapuano.us	Buy Domain



Domain Name: DESIREECAPUANO.COM
Registry Domain ID: 1843910064_DOMAIN_COM-VRSN
Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.networksolutions.com
Registrar URL: http://networksolutions.com
Updated Date: 2015-01-29T02:14:10Z
Creation Date: 2014-08-10T17:11:27Z
Registrar Registration Expiration Date: 2016-01-22T05:00:00Z
Registrar: NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC.
Registrar IANA ID: 2

Registrar Abuse Contact Email:
Registrar Abuse Contact Phone: +1.8003337680
Reseller:
Domain Status: clientTransferProhibited <http://www.icann.org/epp#clientTransferProhibited>
Registry Registrant ID:
Registrant Name: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Registrant Organization: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Registrant Street: 3846 Sunset Street
Registrant City: Burnaby
Registrant State/Province: BC
Registrant Postal Code: V5G 1T3
Registrant Country: CA
Registrant Phone: 604-889-9256
Registrant Phone Ext:
Registrant Fax: 604-336-6111
Registrant Fax Ext:

Registrant Email:
Registry Admin ID:
Admin Name: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Admin Organization: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Admin Street: 3846 Sunset Street
Admin City: Burnaby
Admin State/Province: BC
Admin Postal Code: V5G 1T3
Admin Country: CA
Admin Phone: 604-889-9256
Admin Phone Ext:
Admin Fax: 604-336-6111
Admin Fax Ext:

Admin Email:

Registry Tech ID:

Tech Name: Solar Onyx Development Corp.

Tech Organization: Solar Onyx Development Corp.

Tech Street: 3846 Sunset Street

Tech City: Burnaby

Tech State/Province: BC

Tech Postal Code: V5G 1T3

Tech Country: CA

Tech Phone: 604-889-9256

Tech Phone Ext:

Tech Fax: 604-336-6111

Tech Fax Ext:

Tech Email:

Name Server: NS67.WORLDDNIC.COM

Name Server: NS68.WORLDDNIC.COM

DNSSEC: Unsigned

URL of the ICANN WHOIS Data Problem Reporting System: <http://wdprs.internic.net/>

Whois Record (last updated on 2015-07-15)

Domain Name: DESTREECAPUANO.COM
Registry Domain ID: 1843910064_DOMAIN_COM-VRSN
Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.networksolutions.com
Registrar URL: http://networksolutions.com
Updated Date: 2015-01-29T02:14:10Z
Creation Date: 2014-08-10T17:11:27Z
Registrar Registration Expiration Date: 2016-01-22T05:00:00Z
Registrar: NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC.
Registrar IANA ID: 2
Registrar Abuse Contact Email: abuse@web.com
Registrar Abuse Contact Phone: +1.800.333.7696
Reseller:
Domain Status: clientTransferProhibited <http://www.icann.org/epp#clientTransferProhibited>
Registry Registrant ID:
Registrant Name: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Registrant Organization: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Registrant Street: 3846 Sunset Street
Registrant City: Burnaby
Registrant State/Province: BC
Registrant Postal Code: V5G 1T3
Registrant Country: CA
Registrant Phone: 604-889-9256
Registrant Phone Ext:
Registrant Fax: 604-336-6111
Registrant Fax Ext:
Registrant Email: patrickfox@solaronyx.com
Registry Admin ID:
Admin Name: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Admin Organization: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Admin Street: 3846 Sunset Street
Admin City: Burnaby
Admin State/Province: BC
Admin Postal Code: V5G 1T3
Admin Country: CA
Admin Phone: 604-889-9256
Admin Phone Ext:
Admin Fax: 604-336-6111
Admin Fax Ext:
Admin Email: patrickfox@solaronyx.com
Registry Tech ID:
Tech Name: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Tech Organization: Solar Onyx Development Corp.
Tech Street: 3846 Sunset Street
Tech City: Burnaby
Tech State/Province: BC
Tech Postal Code: V5G 1T3
Tech Country: CA
Tech Phone: 604-889-9256
Tech Phone Ext:
Tech Fax: 604-336-6111
Tech Fax Ext:
Tech Email: patrickfox@solaronyx.com
Name Server: NS67.WORLDDNIC.COM
Name Server: NS68.WORLDDNIC.COM
DNSSEC: Unsigned
URL of the ICANN WHOIS Data Problem Reporting System: <http://wdprs.internic.net/>

APPENDIX C

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 11:59 AM
Subject: Fwd: Gabriel's travel plans

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Dec 14, 2014 at 9:41 PM
Subject: Gabriel's travel plans
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Desiree:

Gabriel mentioned earlier that you had told him to tell me that unless I can provide proof that I legally changed my name from Richard Riess to Patrick Fox then you would not allow him to visit me during his winter break.

I remind you that the court expressly forbids using the child (Gabriel, in this case) to pass messages between the parents (us, in this case). I have informed Gabriel of such and will not accept any messages from him on your behalf.

I further remind you you did clearly agree to the travel arrangements previously committed to by me with respect to Gabriel's winter break; moreover, you may recall me insisting on receiving a clearly written authorization for Gabriel's visitation plans for exactly this type of reason.

With respect to your request for proof that I legally changed my name from Richard Riess to Patrick Fox, I cannot provide such proof because such name change never occurred. The name on my birth certificate is Patrick Fox and the US and Canadian governments will only issue ID in the name that is on one's birth certificate. I'm sorry that you are only now accepting the reality that you married and had a child with someone who you clearly knew so little about (kinda tells you something about yourself, though, huh)? I guess I'm just that good...and you're not.

You may also remember, in December 2011, I declared under oath, in open court, before you and the Judge, that my birth name was Patrick Fox. That was 3 years ago. But all of a sudden now it's become an issue for you? So, is it an issue because you finally realize that I've been telling the truth the past 3 years and you look like an idiot and you're trying to save face? Has there been anything that you accused me of that actually turned out to be right?

As for Gabriel's visit: the only person who will be adversely affected by you not allowing him to visit according to the terms you already agreed to in writing, would be him (I am devoid of emotion so I would only be affected financially but I'm not going to lose any sleep over the few hundred dollars for the plane ticket).

Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:09 PM
Subject: Fwd: Government document bearing the name Richard Riess
Attachments: deportation document.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Wed, Dec 17, 2014 at 10:20 PM
Subject: Government document bearing the name Richard Riess
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>, Gabriel Riess <gabrielriess@gmail.com>

Desiree:

Please find attached, a scanned copy of the deportation document issued by the Canadian Consulate, bearing the name Richard Riess. You had stated on the telephone, that that would be sufficient, so I am dying to hear what you're next reason for refusing the visit will be.

When we return to court, after the holidays, I will petition to have Gabriel's birth certificate updated.

I still think you should send my picture to the people you insist are my parents. Of course, you MUST assume the federal prosecutor did that during my perjury trial right? Do you think that might be why the US Attorney's Office never took their testimony? But no, really, I insist: send my picture to them! And, in response to your comments about my "brother" - holy fuck, you're dense sometimes. Dude, that guy was 3 times my size and we looked nothing alike! How the fuck could you believe we had ANY biological relation? I'm saying all this with a smile on my face - I can't believe how thick you are sometimes.

And let me see if I understand this correctly: you think that a 14 year old might get separated from his parent, in one of the safest major cities in North America, a city with an almost non-existent crime rate, and that he wouldn't have enough intelligence to simply call my mobile phone from his mobile phone and say "hey, where are you?" That's the problem with single mothers: they always want their children to stay 5 years old. And that's why single father make better single parents.

Patrick

P.S. I Canadian Possession and Acquisition License (federal firearms license) is not the easiest thing to get. There's an extensive background check, and they call references, and you have to register the firearms to your home address and notify the RCMP as soon as you move, and they do an automated arrest and criminal record check every 24 hours...my point is, if I was as full of shit as you claim then there's no way I'd be able to get and maintain the license and the RCMP would come and take away my pistols and I'd be all sad and shit. Oh, what, now are you going to say you don't want Gabriel coming because I have guns in the home? You already knew that - I told you months ago that I got him his own Mauser K98.

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:06 PM
Subject: Fwd: The ugly proof
Attachments: bcid.pdf; birth certificate.pdf; pal.pdf; paycheck.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Wed, Dec 17, 2014 at 11:37 PM
Subject: The ugly proof
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Cc: Gabriel Riess <gabrielriess@gmail.com>

Desiree:

Since you always insist that I lie about EVERYTHING and you can't trust anything I say - I've attached a few supporting documents. A copy of my BC ID, birth certificate, PAL, and just for good measure, a copy of my most recent paycheck. Of course, I work corp-to-corp because being an employee is for losers who feel the need to "belong" and have a company take care of them like some paternal figure. But you can easily verify on the California Secretary of State web site (<http://kepler.sos.ca.gov/>) for the California corporation; and on the BC Registry Services web site (<http://www.bcregistryservices.gov.bc.ca>) for the BC corporation; that I am the principal.

As you can see, believe whatever you want - but I've got documentary proof of MY claims and you have...well, nothing to support your claims. Just a lot of empty accusations.

I've been waiting for you to get off your ass and transfer the custody case to Arizona but clearly the marijuana has made you lethargic so I'm going to go ahead and file that too. Oh, what's that, you say? The judge in California said he won't allow the case to be transferred? If you believe that you're more gullible than I already thought. He doesn't have the authority to do that. And you and I both know the Arizona court is going to let Gabriel decide for himself where he wants to live.

Let me know what your basis for refusing to believe the authenticity of the attached documents is. Why don't you check with Vital Statistics in Florida? I'm sure there is some way to verify it - unless, again, you just don't want to confirm that you're so incredibly wrong. And regardless of what you believe - that birth certificate together with my BC ID has been sufficient every time I've crossed the border. You only require the passport when flying, not driving.

I don't expect a response to this. Typically, when you realize you're beat and you have once again made an ass of yourself, you usually slink away quietly.

Oh, one more thing, I almost forgot, that other Richard Riess was arrested in Toronto in 1991 and never showed up for court. A warrant was issued and he just disappeared. That warrant is still there. If I were him I would not have been able to get a PAL. Also, you cannot legally change your name in Canada if you have an outstanding warrant. If I were him I would not be able to change my name to Patrick Fox. Come on, dude! Get over it. You got nothing! If you don't believe me about the PAL, go ahead, read up on the requirements. If you think I'm lying and don't really have a PAL you can check with Gabriel. You need a PAL to get a membership at a shooting range which he can tell you I have (or I can scan the membership card for you). I can also send you pictures of my pistols and his rifle - which I wouldn't be able to get without the PAL. Face it, man: my name is Patrick Fox and I have a squeaky clean background and I'm an upstanding member of society who makes pretty decent money, and Gabriel likes me more than he likes you (you have to hold him there by force or court order, he comes to me of his own free will). You've been played...you're a sucker...for years I was paying a salary in your name, through my corporations (you do remember Vertical Inversion Systems, right?) and not withholding taxes, you've got thousands in back taxes which, eventually, the IRS is going to

go looking for. And if they don't, they're just a phone call away. I'm methodical and think things through. I look at the long term. Sometimes my plans take years to complete, but I always see them through.

Cheers,
Patrick

P.S. I emphasize the PAL because it is hard to get, involves an extensive background check, and requires a clean record and proof of good moral standing and psychological stability. If there was ANY truth to your claims I would never be able to get it. Do not take any statements or references to firearms, above, as being in any way threatening. I would never use a firearms offensively or to threaten someone. They are for sport and target practice only.

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:48 PM
Subject: Fwd: Your loving home and parental teaching and guidance

This email conversation is really long. You will want to find the email from Patrick Fox with the following heading (time/date)

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jan 11, 2015 at 8:34 PM
Subject: Re: Your loving home and parental teaching and guidance
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Cc: Gabriel Riess <gabrielriess@gmail.com>

In that email, I have bolded and italicized the section where he talks about wanting to shoot me (although he state it's not worth the risk)

I should not have responded, but after a while I get so tired of him talking I feel like I want to defend myself...I know I shouldn't.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jan 11, 2015 at 8:34 PM
Subject: Re: Your loving home and parental teaching and guidance
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Cc: Gabriel Riess <gabrielriess@gmail.com>

As always, I shall address each of your statements and point out, as plainly as possible, why/how it is wrong.

On 01/11/2015 12:54 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

Ricky / Richard / Morgan / Parick / Patricia / Susan / whatever your chosen alias is today,

I don't get your intended implication here. How is my first name, whether assumed or legal, relevant to anything in my previous message and, in particular, whether I am on schedule with my plan against you? An insult is much more effective when the intended recipient is able to infer the reference. Please clarify.

Are you bored or something?

Bored: no. Or something: vague and ambiguous. Please be more specific.

Your stalker-like obsession with me is truly impressive. The amount of time and energy spent thinking of me is flattering, but honestly a little pathetic.

If there is any sincerity in your statements then you have grossly misinterpreted my intentions. I was pretty direct when I told Detective Tuchfarber that my intention was to do everything in my power and capabilities to make your life as miserable as possible, and, if possible, to the point that you ultimately commit suicide. That would be my ultimate desire. But before you reach that point it is imperative that you experience as much misery, disappointment, and suffering as possible first. At this point in your life you have very little to lose so there is not much incentive for me to actively publish your information. I shall wait, patiently, until you rise up a bit, THEN proceed with the billboard campaign around Phoenix; I'll wait until you actually have some financial significance, THEN publish your complete credit and financial history - including your social security number and birth certificate (not illegal as long as it's done outside the US).

I don't see how you could interpret such intentions as being misguided

affection.

For someone who so strongly espouses logic and intelligence, I would think that you could have grasped that I am not interested in you. Especially since I have directly told you that I am not interested in you.

Whether or not you are interested in me is not relevant to my objectives.

I realize that I am really amazing, but please expend some of that energy towards finding a man / woman / inanimate object that is capable of coping with your delusional nature, and providing some small measure of happiness.

I see no evidence to suggest there is any merit to your implication that I am delusional. If you know of any then please cite them. Otherwise you're just talking gibberish again.

Everyone has a right to the pursuit of happiness. Though that is a founding principal of America, so I understand it being foreign to a Canadian citizen like yourself.

Almost every country in the world includes in their respective constitutions and/or bill of rights the right to the pursuit of happiness. I wish I could say it is because you are an ignorant American that you do not realize that, but that fact that you were born on US soil has nothing to do with the fact that you are clearly ignorant.

You again bring up the question of citizenship. Why? You are the only one pretending to still cling to that claim. When I show up at border crossings with my US birth certificate and BC photo ID US customs and ICE don't even give me a second thought.

But, I'll play along, for the sake of argument: Let's assume your claim that I am a Canadian citizen is true. So? What's your point? Are you trying to suggest that a person born on US soil is inherently superior to someone who wasn't? By that logic then Lauchner and Michael Capuano are automatically superior to me. Charles Manson, Ted Bundy and Richard Ramirez are superior to every single person ever born in Canada? That's some pretty sketchy reasoning. And let's pretend for a moment that I AM a Canadian citizen: that hasn't, and still doesn't prevent me from living in the US. I own a business in the US. So, again, what are you trying to imply?

Your obsessive pursuit of my attention seems to only pale in comparison to your capacity for delusional transference, and cruelty towards Gabriel.

Again, you're making claims about my psychological state without citing a single case of me ever exhibiting delusional behavior. At least when I call you delusional I refer you to a specific thing you did which supports my claim.

It is unfortunate that you chose to rob Gabriel of his right and opportunity to choose for himself which parent to live with.

Come again? I was the one initially requested Gabriel be interviewed by the court so he can tell the court where he wants to live. You then attempted to circumvent that by having me deported. I then ordered my attorney to request the court put the interview back on calendar. Then, when Gabriel was given the chance, he clearly, explicitly, and very unequivocally told the court he wants to return to me...to live with me.

See that? That is a case of you exhibiting delusional behavior. You're accusing ME of doing exactly what you have, and continue to do. THAT's delusional.

You relinquished all rights a mere 2 months from his 14th birthday where he would have been able to declare his choice in front of everyone in open court.

I relinquished my rights so that I can remove the court from the equation. So that I can proceed with my plans respecting you. It's hard to do that when I have to maintain appearances for the court.

You are unsurprisingly misinformed about the significance of Gabriel turning 14. There is no statutory age, in either California or Arizona, at which the court is required to grant the child the living arrangement the child desires. It is completely at the discretion of the court. "Generally" after the age of 14 the court will "listen" to what the child wants - but that's the extent of the law on the matter.

I also point out, waiving one's rights does not mean refusing one's responsibilities. Though you seem to think they are one and the same. I did not refuse to allow Gabriel to return to live with me - I created a situation where he can see, firsthand, what you would be like in the absence of the court compelling you to act. And so far you've played right into it.

It doesn't surprise me given your repeated underestimation of his intelligence and potential; simply seeing him as a pawn and tool to use in your obsessive quest to win me back. ("destroy me" ... Whatever you want to call it.)

As I have explained to him: sometimes, to get the desired outcome, we have to go through a period of challenges. That is what he is going through right now. I believe the exact idiom I used was "Sometimes, to make an omelette you have to break a few eggs".

I explained what that meant and how it applied to the current situation. He acknowledged understanding.

I love Gabriel regardless of what decision he should ultimately make.

If that is the case then why do you insist on not allowing him to make that decision? He already has; he said he wants to come and live with me. He has expressed that if he never heard from you again he's fine with that.

It's already been more than 2 years...what do you believe is going to happen? Do you think one day he's going to wake up and realize that he was wrong all this time and suddenly love you unconditionally? Again, I say, THAT is delusional! Dude, the fact that since the July hearing, since you've gotten full custody and authority over him he has steadily withdrawn from you and that other kid of yours should tell you something. Before that he could hold on to the hope that at the next hearing the court would order you to return him. That hope is gone. I really don't know what you are hoping for, but your relationship with Gabriel has reached it's peak and the only place it's going from here is down. There's less than two years until he can legally move out and I'm willing to bet that within 24 hours of turning 16 you'll never hear from him again.

As for "love": unless you can provide a clear definition of what the word means then you should refrain from using it.

I know he is capable of so much, and will support him down whatever path he should ultimately choose in whatever capacity I am able. I may be hard on Gabriel sometimes, but being a real parent means looking out for the physical, mental, and emotional well being of your child even when it isn't easy or popular.

You are completely oblivious to anything going on with Gabriel. I just spoke to him on the phone - you still haven't even noticed the anomaly in his eye. You live in the same house with him and he's been back for 8 days and you haven't noticed. You also didn't notice it before he came up here. How can you not notice a bright discoloration in his eye? Do you not speak with him? Do you not look him in the eye when you do (assuming you do speak with him)?

You add him to your insurance but you don't bother taking him to the doctor

or the dentist (you only do it when you think I'm going to bring it up in court). Dental cleaning and checkups are supposed to be every 6 months, not every 12. He wasn't in the habit of using deodorant - I had to point out to him one day that he smelled of BO. He still often "forgets" to brush his teeth. He doesn't know how to get from your place to the Target, which is only a few blocks away. When given the choice of doing the research to figure out how to get to the outdoor shooting range, or not go, he chose not to do the research. Is that the result of your "real parenting"?

I can only hope that one day you decide to strive to be a better person, and better parent.

I strive, on a daily basis, to improve myself. I strive to be objective and fair, and to be reasonable and rational. I consider myself to be fair and decent. The people I come in contact with, exclusive of you, of course, also consider me such.

You're still making the same unfounded arguments that you've been making since 2011. You're the one that has to use underhanded tactics and false claims to get what you want. I'm referring to you resorting to calling ICE in order to gain custody by default. See, when Gabriel's with me he's with me because he *wants* to be. I've never once had to force him or tell him he has to visit because the court ordered it. You, on the other hand, have done exactly that. You claim to want what's best for Gabriel, yet you teach him nothing. You think hugs and kisses will make everything okay (again, that's delusional).

It is my opinion that if anyone needs to work on being a better person it is you.

If not for yourself, for Gabriel's sake.

Both I, and Gabriel, are happy with who I am, with how I behave, with my values and beliefs. If I identify a character or personality flaw in myself then I will commit to improving it.

You act the same now as you did in 2000. You still try to use people's guilt and pity to manipulate them. You tell people half truths and versions of events which are heavily skewed in your favor, to gain their support. That's deceptive. That's completely contrary to how I try to live and how I try to guide Gabriel. You can deny that you do that until you're blue in the face but I've got over 400 emails from you and/or about you where you've done that countless times.

You rush into relationships with losers like Lauchner and Capuano, you move in with them, you hastily have children with them. You expose your children to people like Lauchner and tell them he's a good, wonderful person. You allow him to take on a paternal role in their lives. You defend his behavior and get angry when people state facts about him. Yet you want to pretend you're a good, honorable person? And that you give a shit about your children?

He is the one being hurt by your actions, scheming, and manipulation.

Gabriel is not being hurt at all by what I am doing. He knew before I started executing the plan exactly what the plan was/is. I always confer with him beforehand so that he's not taken by surprise. If he told me he was uneasy with anything I would not proceed with that course. I told him in May I would be waiving all of my parental rights in July. I told him why. If he had concerns about me wouldn't he bring them to you? You're his primary custodial parent, aren't you? When he's with me we talk about you. Are you saying that he has such a lack of respect for you that he can't even speak openly with you about me? If that's that case then it really sucks to be you.

* He once asked me if I would shoot you. I told him that murder is illegal and immoral and can result in spending the rest of one's life in prison.

And that the rest of my life in prison is not a risk I'm willing to take. But otherwise, no, I would have no qualms about it; that that is how much I despise you for the things you've done and continue to do. He did not flinch; he didn't look anything other than indifferent; as best I could tell, he didn't care. The topic never came up again. That was during his visit last summer. To be clear, I told Tuchfarber the same thing. There is nothing illegal or threatening about wanting to harm someone - as long as you don't act on it. I am reasonable and rational enough to know the difference, and to refrain from engaging in such activity.* And let me be absolutely clear on this point: I would never deliberately cause you physical harm, other than in self defense or defense of another. Though that is nothing special toward you - I have that rule for *ALL* people. Also, I emphasize that Gabriel brought up the question and I only responded to it truthfully.

~ Desiree (Not meant as a term of endearment, please do not mistakenly take it that way.)

I don't understand your meaning.

On Sunday, January 11, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Desiree:
>
> Allow me to also point out: Having previously waived, in court, *all* of
> my parental rights, you now have the full legal right and authority to:
> - refuse to allow Gabriel to visit me;
> - take away the phone and every other thing I have provided him, including
> the debit and credit cards (although you do not have the legal right to
> withhold them - you must return them to me);
> - cut off all contact and communication between Gabriel and me.
>
> Doing so would definitely prevent me from being able to have any influence
> on his perceptions, values, beliefs, et cetera and, thereby prevent me from
> being able to have any influence on your home environment and the
> relationship between you and him. However, doing so would also make him
> hate you that much more and ensure that he leaves your home at the first
> opportunity and never has anything to do with you for the rest of your life.
>
> So, you see, again, we've created a situation where you have two mutually
> exclusive options but neither of them do ends favorably for you. That is
> strategy, and the benefit of long term planning, and the benefit of
> foresight. Remember also, that all of this was initiated by, and is the
> result of your own actions. I am where I am because of your direct and
> explicit actions; Gabriel now has Canadian citizenship and cannot be
> deported from Canada and receives all of the benefits and protections of
> being a Canadian citizen the moment his foot touches Canadian soil - all
> because of your actions calling ICE. And you can say that I've been
> manipulating Gabriel but that's exactly what you have done countless times
> with almost everybody you've ever met (that's why people always take your
> side when they hear your side of the story but then abandon you when they
> discover the full story).
>
> I'd also like to point out that, as always, I've been very careful to make
> sure everything I do is within the law.
>
> I've discussed all of this with Gabriel and I've explained to him what my
> plan is with respect to you. I've told him if he's uncomfortable with any
> of it then I won't proceed. He is fully aware that he is being used as a
> pawn in my plan to ruin your life and he seems to be okay with it.
>
> All the best,
> Patrick
>
>
> On 2015-01-11 9:04 AM, Patrick Fox wrote:
>

> Good morning, Desiree.

>

> I'd like to inquire how things have been going with all the wonderful
> stuff that you are able to teach and expose Gabriel to which I, according
> to you, could not do. In particular, how has that emphasis on "family"
> been working out? Have you been able to instill in him the importance of
> "family" and how good it is to have "family"? Would you say he's "bonded"
> with your family? And knowing your family - is it your belief that that
> has improved him in some way? These are loaded and/or sarcastic
> questions. I already know the answers to them (otherwise I wouldn't be
> asking), and I wouldn't expect you to answer them, not honestly anyway -
> given your aversion to reality and honesty.

>

> From what's been reported to me and from my own observations, so far all
> you've taught Gabriel is poor table manners and how to mimic the people
> around you rather than having your own opinion. An important skill, I
> suppose, if you live in an environment where people get angry with you for
> being different. Say, for example, your home.

>

> Are you still trying to convince yourself that you have the perfect little
> family? Are you beginning to realize, yet, that Gabriel's presence there
> is slowly eroding the happy, fair tale home that you're trying to hold on
> to?

>

> I know that by saying this you will react to spite me - it's what you
> people do. Am I saying it deliberately, for that purpose? Is it that I
> know that you're getting fed up with how he's ruining your fair tale and
> you've been having thoughts of sending him away before he starts to taint
> Sage as well - and by stating these truths to you I will provoke you to
> hang in there a little longer, so you can show me how wrong I am?
> Probably. The longer Gabriel is there, with his "bad attitude", his
> indifference toward you and Sage and your family, and his subtle demeanor
> of disgust and condescension toward you and Sage and your mother, and your
> trashy ways, the more it will instill into Sage's subconscious that he is
> inferior and inadequate. The more it will slowly eat away at your perfect
> family.

>

> Sucks! Now, on the one hand, you are pulled by your upbringing and years
> of conditioning, to react in the only way you know: with anger and spite,
> to want to keep Gabriel there because you believe that will adversely
> affect me; while on the other hand, you know I'm right and that I've been
> manipulating the situation for two years, and that as long as Gabriel is in
> your home you will never be happy because you will never have your fairy
> tale.

>

> So, you'll show this email to your mother and ask her what you should do.
> She's going to say I'm just trying to get under your skin and the best
> thing is to not respond at all. She'll say that if you don't respond then
> I'll think you're unaffected and that will piss me off. She'll say this
> because she's just like you - after all, where did you learn your behavior
> from, right? And just like you she has the same emotional, irrational
> beliefs that a child inherently and unconditionally loves his mother.

>

> But!!! I am relying on your mother providing you such advice. And on you
> pretending you don't care and that you're unaffected. I require you to
> insist on keeping Gabriel with you longer - the longer he's with you the
> more of an effect he'll have on Sage and the more subconscious hostility
> will seep into your home.

>

> In the end you'll take your mother's advice and not respond to this,
> you'll convince yourself (with your mother's help) that everything is fine
> in your home and that I'm the one trying to cause problems for you. Or am
> I saying that because I believe you'll do the opposite of what I state
> you'll do - just to spite me?

>

> Let me ask you this in closing: Has the amount of "love" in your home
> increased or decreased over the past year? It's rhetorical - I know the
> answer.

>

>

> Patrick
>
>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:12 PM
Subject: Identify email

This email thread is really long and unnecessary, but I pulled this one email out. If you need the entire thread, I will forward - just let me know:

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Wed, Jan 14, 2015 at 10:32 PM
Subject: Re: Your loving home and parental teaching and guidance
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Cc: Gabriel Riess <gabrielriess@gmail.com>

Desiree:

I have a few minutes, so I'll point out another way you're wrong about the alleged lies you've attempted to cite:

In 2007, when I stated in the Immigration Court, that my name was Richard Riess, it was, in fact, Richard Riess under the California common law. All of my ID, issued by the State of California and the State of Arizona had the name Richard Riess on it and I had been using the name Richard Riess exclusively for the prior 11 years. It was not until I came to Canada and the Canadian government refused to allow me continue to use the name Richard Riess unless I formally changed it from Patrick Fox and the US government and the State of California also then began refusing to allow me to continue using Richard Riess, that I decided it would be easier to just go back to using Patrick Fox. So, you see, I didn't lie about my name in the Immigration Court.

If the day ever arrives that you are right about something that we're disputing, it will be a special day, indeed.

Good day,
Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:23 PM
Subject: Fwd: Your talk with Gabriel

Here is his plan to hire someone to have sex with me in order to get pictures for his website

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronix.com>
Date: Tue, Jan 27, 2015 at 10:04 PM
Subject: Re: Your talk with Gabriel
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Cc: Gabriel Riess <gabrielriess@gmail.com>

Desiree:

* As a show of good faith, I'll fill you in on one of the plans that I'm working on for you. What I'd like, very much, is to be able to add some "intimate" pictures of you to your web site. To do that, of course, I'd have to hire someone to get close to you, pretend to be interested in you. Eventually, gain your trust, then eventually sleep with you. That should take...what? About 3, 4 days? Difficult to find people that unscrupulous. But for the right price there's always someone willing to do what you want. Hooray America! There's nothing criminally illegal about it. You may be able to pursue a claim in civil court, but I'm not going to worry about that.*
Okay, good evening.

Patrick

On 01/27/2015 05:45 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

Patty,

I can clearly see that maturity is your strong suit. Did you actually have something of merit to discuss, or is this another one of your wailing tantrums you have while you go through some form of narcotic/opiate withdrawal?

Honestly if I gave any merit to any of your "proposals" or suggestions regarding myself or Gabriel, I'd immediately have my head examined.

I actually never said that... Maybe you should work on reading comprehension. What I SAID was that at 14, the courts were set to allow Gabriel to choose. Again, for the record since, YOU robbed Gabriel of that right to choose by relinquishing all of your parental rights in open court a mere MONTH before his birthday. All for what? To pursue some selfish vendetta against me? Or is the truth that you don't actually want Gabriel, and merely see him as a tool and weapon to try and manipulate against me? Admit it Richard... The thought of having to be an actual parent terrified you. If his eye was such a concern, why did you not take him to see a doctor while you had him, you noticed it first. Sad. Very sad.

As for the rest of your delusional rantings, it is clear you have some severe mommy issues, transference issues, and a sick fixation on me. It's obvious you missed me, but it isn't flattering, just very sad. You should move on with your life, find something that makes you happy, and be a better person. It isn't healthy to be so filled with hate (and from the tone of this email alcohol and drugs) all of the time.

~Desiree

On Monday, January 26, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Desiree:
>
> I was wondering if you ever got around to having that talk with Gabriel -
> you know, the one where you tell him you respect what he wants and you
> believe he's intelligent and mature and able to decide for himself where he
> wants to live and who he wants to be raised by? The talk which I proposed
> and clearly stated that I know you would never do because you know he's
> going to say he wants to live with me?
>
> Because, you see, in your emails to me you say Gabriel is very intelligent
> and now that he's over 14 you believe he's old enough to make that
> decision. That *is* what you said. So, why is it that you say such
> things but when it comes down to actually giving him the choice, you
> refuse? Oh, right, because that would shatter the fantasy you're living in.
>
> Well, anyway, since you're not going to actually let him choose; and since
> I've already accomplished what I set out to with respect to removing the
> court from our situation, I guess I shall proceed with re-calendaring our
> case so that you won't "have to" give him the choice. Originally, I
> intended to have him stay with you until he's 16 but it's obvious he's not
> going to make it that long.
>
> By the by, about your medical records: I got them from Pen Mar
> (unofficially, of course). That's when you were diagnosed as bipolar.
> And, since there is no cure for it and it never goes away, if you were
> bipolar *then* then you're bipolar now.
>
> And, how is it that you've STILL not inspected Gabriel's eye and brought
> him to the ophthalmologist? It's been 2 weeks since I ridiculed you for being
> so indifferent toward him that you hadn't even noticed it and now you STILL
> have not! How do you live with yourself, being so full of shit that you
> claim to love and care about your children and that you're a good parent?
> Dude! You're one of the WORST fucking parents I've ever known! Even MY
> crappy mother, at least eventually, would have taken me to the doctor.
> Dang, woman, you're a fucking sad excuse for a human being. Anyway, it's
> about time I update your web site and put it back on line.
>
> Cheers, Biatch!
> Patrick
>
>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:01 PM
Subject: Fwd: More plans

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Wed, Jan 28, 2015 at 9:37 AM
Subject: More plans
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>, Gabriel Riess <gabrielriess@gmail.com>

Desiree:

Being that my primary goal in life is for you to experience as much misery as possible, allow me to point out another way in which you have been manipulated. Gabriel being in your home serves that goal much better than if he were here with me. How so? His formative years were spent in an environment very different from yours, around people who viewed the world very differently than you. By now you should have come to the conclusion that he's not going to assimilate to your way of life. He'll never see the world through your perceptions. Sage, on the other hand, has always been with you and only knows your way of life. You and Sage live harmoniously. Gabriel brings friction to that world of yours. By now you should have realized that he is, and will remain, a constant source of contention. Gradually, his presence erodes the delicate fantasy bubble that you're trying to maintain. And, so, as I say, it serves my purposes better, him being with you.

A few months ago I suspected you were starting to get fed up with how he was disturbing the balance in your made up world, which inevitably would result in you sending him away. So, I opened these lines of communication because I know the one thing that is more important to you than anything else is to spite me and prove me wrong. By maintaining this email correspondence, I motivate you to keep fighting (i.e. to keep Gabriel with you - to spite me). So that his presence continues to eat away at your ridiculous fantasies.

I tell you this now because, in your mind, hearing someone else say these things, which you know are true, gives them validity...makes them more real. And now you can add this knowledge to the list of things eating away at you. I know you'll never admit it openly (pride, perhaps), but you know everything I'm saying is true.

Cheers,
Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:04 PM
Subject: Fwd: My name
Attachments: IMG_20150421_120835_066.jpg

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Tue, Apr 21, 2015 at 12:12 PM
Subject: Re: My name
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Look at that. How nice. Even though you pretend to refuse to accept it - on a personal level - you've had to concede that I am, in fact, Patrick Fox. If I was capable of, or interested in, experiencing any sense of sentimentality I would probably do so right now.

Patrick

On 04/20/2015 06:44 PM, Patrick Fox wrote:

> Desiree:
>
> It occurs to me that it's probably in my best interest that you continue
> to refer to me as Richard, rather than Patrick because I'm not really
> comfortable with my legal name being associated with you and if you were to
> call me by Patrick and if our legal matters were to be changed to that name
> then there'd be public records associating me with you - and that would be
> kind of embarrassing for me.
>
> So, yes, please continue to refer to me as Richard.
>
> Cheers,
> Patrick
>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:27 PM
Subject: Fwd: Automobile accident

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Jun 15, 2015 at 8:58 AM
Subject: Fwd: Automobile accident
To: James Pendleton <insto.veritas@gmail.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: *Patrick Fox* <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Friday, June 12, 2015
Subject: Automobile accident
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Desiree:

If you're so convinced of what you're saying then why don't you just call the UCLA hospital and get a copy of the records?

Patrick

On 06/12/2015 12:36 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

Nope. The day started out in Santa Monica as we were on our way to get the marriage license. In line, next to be called you said you wanted to get married in Vegas, so we left and went to have breakfast. Where you told me that I was "high maintenance." I then took you to work at Marina Del Rey (which is where you were working). I parked in the parking garage and slept because I was scared of driving on the freeway (due to the accident). You called me at lunchtime and told me to pick you up - I told you I was already there. You got into the drivers seat and I told you I wanted a goldfish as a pet. You told me I was not able to handle any responsibility and having a goldfish was a stupid idea. As we were nearing the restaurant you told me that you would pay for the expenses and cost of living for me and the baby but you didn't want to be a part of it. We ordered the drinks, I went across the street to the park. I had pain but did not start bleeding then. I went back to the restaurant and we left to go back to the Extended Stay. On the way, we stopped to get the apple fritter that you wanted. As we were walking up the final flight of stairs I felt wetness and thought I had peed myself. I went directly to the bathroom and saw the blood. I asked you to go back to the gas station to get Kotex. You asked why and I told you I was bleeding. You asked if you could come in and I said yes. I never got off the toilet so the door was never locked. You came in and asked if I caused it. I told you no. Then we went to the hospital. It was already dark when we got there.

On Friday, June 12, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Let me see if I understand this correctly. Your story is that I left
> work, in Marina Del Rey (that's where Fetch Technologies was), to meet you
> for lunch; we went for lunch in Santa Monica (that's where Ocean Avenue
> is); while at the restaurant, around lunch time, you went across the street
> and THAT'S when the bleeding occurred; then, from Santa Monica, we drove
> all the way to the UCLA Medical Center in Torrance (22 miles away) and
> didn't arrive there until about 7:20pm?
>
> There seem to be some logistical flaws in your story.
>
> Now, who's memory is messed up?
>
> Patrick

>
>
>
> On 06/12/2015 12:16 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:
>
> Oh My God - see - this is why I know your memory is all messed up. You
> told me you didn't want me or the baby while we were on our way to the
> restaurant during your lunch break because you wanted a drink. You ordered
> a martini and I got a water. Before the water even came, I walked across
> the street to Ocean Ave and sat under a tree crying and trying to figure
> what I was going to do next. There was pain in the abdomen area but I
> thought it was just from the crying. But the breathing and stress
> aggravated the damage done in the accident which resulted in the bleeding.
> The doctor 2 years later, during the C-section was able to see the scarring
> that was caused from the ripping of the placenta. Now, unless you have
> formal medical training, the only other response I expect to get from you
> are the medical records proving your story (and it is a story as it is not
> fact).
>
> On Friday, June 12, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>
>> Desiree:
>>
>> Your accounting of the "facts" do not stand up to scrutiny. Please see
>> my comments, inline.
>>
>>
>> On 06/12/2015 11:34 AM, Desiree Capuano wrote:
>>
>> Richard that is not what happened at all. Your account of the details of
>> that day are grossly inaccurate. I didn't lock myself in the bathroom, I
>> was not in there for "some amount of time", and I told you when you asked
>> me that I absolutely did not inflict any harm on myself.
>>
>>
>> The accident ripped the placenta - this was verified by the doctor when I
>> had Sage as I was not allowed to go into labor or have contractions.
>>
>> Are you suggesting that the ultrasounds and x-rays you received after the
>> accident, but before the UCLA visit, were unable to detect any
>> abnormalities, and your own gynecologist was unable to detect any
>> problems? Yet, miraculously, out of the blue, three weeks AFTER the
>> accident the placenta suddenly separated from the uterus? Unprovoked?
>>
>> Are we then to believe that it was only 2 years later, during a
>> subsequent pregnancy, that another doctor was able to determine that the
>> matter was caused by a car accident three years earlier? Of course, that
>> doctor was basing any such diagnosis on what you told him - not on the
>> records he would have obtained from UCLA. Did you tell that doctor about
>> the records at UCLA? I suspect not.
>>
>> It was the stress of crying over you telling that you didn't want me or
>> Gabriel that caused the bleeding to start that day.
>>
>> Are you suggesting that the stress, which you had imposed upon yourself
>> (all stress is self induced), caused you to start bleeding? Why would you
>> suddenly be stressed about me not wanting to have children? I made it very
>> clear to you before you even became pregnant that I didn't want children.
>>
>> You wouldn't know that because you were calmly sitting at the restaurant
>> drinking a martini.
>>
>> That is incorrect. There was no where near the hotel to get a martini
>> and I was in the hotel room with you. Otherwise, how could I have even
>> known that you were in the bathroom, crying and bleeding? I didn't have a
>> mobile phone.
>>
>> And seriously, If there had been ANY evidence of trauma to the abdominal
>> region in the hospital, whether self-inflicted or not, the police would

>> have called.
>>
>> As always, your understanding of the law is misguided. Until the fetus
>> is removed from the host, it is not considered a life of it's own and there
>> is no law prohibiting the self-termination of a pregnancy. A doctor is not
>> required to notify the police of such.
>>
>> That was not even a subject that was brought up in the hospital.
>>
>> It's in your chart! Why don't you obtain and read the existing records
>> before you respond?
>>
>> Once again, you are distorting the facts and the truth to make it appear
>> how you want.
>>
>> All I ever do is recount what is in the supporting evidence. Memory is
>> unreliable and skewed. My statements are based on what is in the reports
>> and records. I would be very curious to review the medical reports from
>> your pregnancy with Sage. Perhaps you would be kind enough to tell me who
>> that doctor was and I can have my investigator "reach out" to his/her
>> assistant.
>>
>> Patrick
>>
>>
>> On Friday, June 12, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Good morning, Desiree.
>>>
>>> It seems to me you are still using the automobile accident that you were
>>> involved in, on the 405, in July 2000, as the basis for Gabriel's premature
>>> birth (and the ROP that resulted from such premature birth), and to gain
>>> people's pity.
>>>
>>> I wish to review the facts so that we can put that matter to rest once
>>> and for all.
>>>
>>> 1. Following the accident, paramedics arrived on the scene. They
>>> inspected you for possible injuries and found none. You informed them you
>>> were pregnant. They performed further tests to ensure no harm to the
>>> uterus and fetus. No harm was found. In their professional opinion they
>>> did not believe it was necessary to bring you to the hospital for further
>>> inspection and/or tests.
>>>
>>> 2. At that time we had Blue Cross PPO medical insurance, under a group
>>> plan from the job I had with Fetch Technologies. It is a very standard
>>> policy of EVERY health insurance provider that, if an accident occurs and
>>> there is even the slightest possibility of injuries then the recipient MUST
>>> submit for medical tests. That is because the cost of the tests is only a
>>> fraction of the cost of the medical care that may result if there are
>>> injuries and they are ignored. So, obviously, Blue Cross also did not
>>> consider your condition worthy of further testing.
>>>
>>> 3. If there was actually a uterine rupture, as you keep claiming, there
>>> would have been other signs, which you would not have been able to ignore,
>>> including abnormal heart rate in the fetus; abdominal pain; vaginal
>>> bleeding; irritation to the diaphragm; and internal bleeding. You had none
>>> of those symptoms. If the paramedics had even the smallest evidence to
>>> support the belief that there may have been a uterine rupture (or any other
>>> damage/injury) then they would have taken you to the hospital - especially
>>> since you were pregnant.
>>>
>>> 4. The police and insurance reports of the accident clearly state there
>>> were no injuries to you.
>>>
>>> 5. After the accident, you walked away from it - literally! You walked
>>> to a payphone and called me at work to inform me of the accident. You
>>> insisted you were fine. When I got home from work that evening you were
>>> fine and not experiencing any discomfort. If you had any type of rupture
>>> or "tear" (as you keep calling it), you would have been very uncomfortable.

>>>

>>> 6. Following the accident, you continued to attend your gynecologist,
>>> who continued to do ultrasounds, and found no abnormalities.
>>>

>>> 7. A few weeks after the accident we had a substantial argument, wherein
>>> I pointed out how "bad things" seemed to keep happening to you and how that
>>> was causing us so many complications (namely financial). You cried and
>>> said you wanted us to go back to Phoenix so you could be close to your
>>> mother...what with the pregnancy and all. I told you I didn't want to
>>> leave LA. You locked yourself in the bathroom for some period of time. I
>>> eventually asked if you were okay. You were crying and said you were
>>> bleeding. I brought you to the UCLA Medical Center on Carson Street.
>>> During the course of the many, repetitious questions from the interns and
>>> residents, you admitted to them:
>>> a) you had never been pregnant before;
>>> b) you had never had an abortion before;
>>> c) earlier that evening, you were upset about a fight we had had and had
>>> been hitting yourself in the stomach;
>>> d) you had been in a car accident a few weeks earlier.
>>>

>>> 8. It was determined that the cause of the bleeding was from hitting
>>> yourself in the stomach earlier that evening. The doctors referred you to
>>> attend psychological counseling on an outpatient basis. You said you
>>> would, but of course, you never did.
>>>

>>> 9. After that stomach punching incident, you stopped attending your
>>> gynecologist.
>>>

>>> 10. After that trip to the UCLA Medical Center I immediately agreed to
>>> move back to Phoenix "so you could be close to your family" during the
>>> pregnancy.
>>>

>>> Now, what I would like from you is for you to deny these claims and call
>>> me a liar. I will then, gladly, post the medical, insurance, and police
>>> reports to your website. Yes, medical reports are confidential, but you
>>> live in the "greatest country in the world", where anything can be
>>> purchased! Yay, America!
>>>

>>> So, I will assume that the world (and Gabriel) will not be hearing any
>>> more of that drivel from you that he was born premature and has ROP because
>>> of a car accident.
>>>

>>> Good day,
>>> Patrick
>>>

>>> P.S. I've BCC'd all of the relevant parties.
>>>
>>>
>>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:27 PM
Subject: Fwd: Status update

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Tue, Jun 16, 2015 at 1:18 PM
Subject: Re: Status update
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Hello, Desiree.

You seem to have, once again, completely missed the point. It is not to say how good of a parent I am because I have been teaching Gabriel things - quite to the contrary, it is my opinion I have fallen very short of my own, personal expectations of what a parent is, and what a parent has a duty to do. I do what I do out of a sense of obligation, duty, and decency; not because I seek praise.

The point of the message was focused on you (not me). And how ridiculous it is that you would have the audacity to claim to be an excellent parent, having done nothing over the past two and a half years, to contribute to the development of your children.

Interestingly, you force them to do things like travel to meet your distant family members - something Gabriel has no interest in and which will not benefit him in any way at all, yet you put no effort into teaching him to be fiscally responsible or how to prepare very basic meals for himself. You have truly curious priorities.

Patrick

On 06/15/2015 08:57 AM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

I understand that you feel the need to document every milestone of parental responsibility to prove you do anything beyond supplying a 14 with way too much free money. Do you want a "good boy"? Well...good boy Richard. I'm so glad that you taught your son some things. Way to go.

On Saturday, June 13, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> What? No comeback? Could it be that you've finally accepted that you
> really are a terrible parent and an overall bad person?

>

> Patrick

>

>

> On 06/13/2015 09:46 AM, Patrick Fox wrote:

>

>> Hello, Desiree.

>>

>> So, Gabriel's been here about 2 weeks now. And in that time:

>> - I've been teaching him to cook simple meals on his own - fried noodles
>> with fresh vegetables, scrambled eggs, pancakes, etc.

>> - he can ride his bicycle on his own;

>> - we got him a violin and he's been learning/practicing that.

>>

>> I've put videos of him doing each of those things on his Facebook page.

>>

>> I don't understand how it is that he's been with you 2 and a half years

>> and you've not taught him anything...yet you still try to convince yourself

>> that you're a good parent. How can you be a good parent when you put

>> spending time with, and making major life decisions based on being close to

>> your boyfriend of the moment, before raising your children?

>>

>> Patrick

>>
>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:27 PM
Subject: Fwd: Volunteering at the homeless shelter

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sat, Jun 20, 2015 at 11:16 AM
Subject: Volunteering at the homeless shelter
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Desiree:

Since Gabriel's been here, I've been taking him with me to volunteer at the Belkin House, helping out in the kitchen and serving the meals. Tell me, when the last time you've volunteered for something to help the community? And when was the last time you've involved your children in such things? I'm not saying I'm a good person...just that you're a bad person.

Cheers,
Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:27 PM
Subject: Fwd: The relevance of money in Gabriel's desire to live with me

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sat, Jun 20, 2015 at 4:43 PM
Subject: Re: The relevance of money in Gabriel's desire to live with me
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Desiree:

I think you're right - the \$20/month I'm spending on the violin rental for Gabriel to learn to play it is definitely spoiling him much more than it is helping him to try new things (i.e. broadening his exposure), and to discover the things he likes, enjoys, and wants to pursue. In case it is not clear: that entire sentence was completely sarcastic. I just taught him how to iron clothes (a practical skill that will actually be useful in life) and right now he is practicing the violin.

In 2 and a half years with you he has done nothing but hang out with his friends, and play video games. Neither of which contributes to making him a better, more well rounded person. Please, please, please help me to understand what you're claim that you're a good parent is based on.

This morning he made french toast using Challah bread that we picked up at the Jewish deli. Why have you never bothered to teach him to cook? Do you not consider basic life skills relevant?

Patrick

On 06/20/2015 10:37 AM, Patrick Fox wrote:

> Hello, Desiree.
>
> When Gabriel arrived here I told him that, because of having been off
> since March 6, I have used up my financial resources and am living on my
> credit cards. At the end of May I asked to borrow \$1200 from him to cover
> the rent for June. Since he's been here we've not been doing anything that
> would cost more than a few dollars. I've not been buying him anything. We
> haven't gone to the shooting range, or out to dinner, or the movies, et
> cetera. As far as he is concerned, we are broke and living moment to
> moment, on credit. Yet still, he would rather live with, and be raised by,
> me. Even if it means being broke, in Canada.
>
> So, apparently your belief that he only wants to be with me because I
> "spoil" him is entirely unfounded.
>
> It's also, recently, come to my attention, that you have convinced
> yourself that the only reason Gabriel told the court he wants to live with
> me is because I told him to say that. Come on! You know that's completely
> ridiculous. He said he wants to live with me before he spoke with the
> court AND he still says it to this day. He even said it to your face in
> September 2011, while he was being held captive by you the first time.
> And, I have to believe he's sincere about it because I always leave his
> visitation schedules up to him (though, technically, up to you because
> you're a fascist dictator that wants to impose your desires on others and
> keep them dependent on you). He knows I don't experience "emotions" and
> I'm not going to be "hurt" if he doesn't want to visit or live with me - so
> he has no reason to be insincere about it. If, at any time he didn't want
> to visit or live with me, he knows all he has to do is say so.
>
> Based on that, we would have to assume that if he was going to lie to one
> of us about where he wants to live, it would have to be you. Because you're

> the one that has forced him to do things against his will and you're the one
> that gets angry, freaks out, takes your anger and frustration out on him
> and Sage (even when it's completely unrelated to them), and punishes them
> for saying things you don't want to hear. You have created an environment
> where he is afraid to say anything that he thinks might upset you. Good
> job.
>
> So, what are you going to try to convince yourself of now? Or are you
> finally going to accept that he just isn't one of you and as long as I
> manipulate to keep him with you he's going to continue to cause subtle
> friction in your "loving home"? Anyway, he'll turn 16 in just 15 months
> then you'll have absolutely no legal authority over him (nor will the
> California or Arizona, or any other US court).
>
> Cheers,
> Patrick
>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:28 PM
Subject: Fwd: Gabriel's clothing

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jun 28, 2015 at 8:17 PM
Subject: Re: Gabriel's clothing
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

He's had the card since October 2013. The only store you take him to is JC Penny - because it's "close to home". You can't drive a few extra miles out of your way for him? But you'll go out of your way for weed?

On 06/28/2015 08:14 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

Oh I fully intend on taking Gabriel shopping for clothes on your credit card when he gets back. Those were bought because he didn't have access to his credit card or your 100,000 a year.

On Sunday, June 28, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Desiree:
>
> I don't understand! You make over \$70,000 a year; I make over \$100,000 a
> year; Gabriel has a credit card (which I fund) with a \$5,000 limit, with
> which he is permitted to buy his clothing; yet, you refuse to allow him to
> buy decent quality clothing when he is with you. What is wrong with you?
> Why do you insist on forcing him to dress like a welfare child? I might be
> able to understand if it was just because you're cheap, but you don't even
> have to pay for his clothes - that's what the credit card is for. I'm
> paying for them!
>
> Specifically, I'm referring to those Arizona Jeans you make him wear. I
> wasn't familiar with the brand, but the quality of them was hideous so I
> researched them. According to urbandictionary.com (
> <http://www.urbandictionary.com/define.php?term=Arizona+Jeans+Company>):
>
> "A favorite of middle schoolers, poor people, and people from small towns
> with no other options (although this is still not an excuse), Arizona Jeans
> Company is basically one step above buying your clothes at KMart."
>
> So, I checked the JC Penny and the Macy's web sites. Arizona jeans are
> \$30 - \$35 at JC Penny; Levi's are \$40 - \$50, Ralph Lauren are \$50 - \$60, at
> Macy's. You're not willing to let your children spend an extra \$10 - \$20
> to have decent quality clothing and to not have to carry the stigma of
> wearing ghetto clothes? But it doesn't even cost YOU anything!
>
> Is it because Sage's father doesn't contribute shit and you don't think
> it's fair to Sage that Gabriel gets better clothes? Isn't that unfair to
> Gabriel, though? Why should he have to suffer just because Sage's father
> is a yahoo and you made bad life decisions (by having a second child you
> couldn't afford, with a guy that had no prospects and no future)?
>
> You're a bad, bad parent!
>
> Cheers,
> Patrick
>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:28 PM
Subject: Fwd: Your favorite child?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jun 28, 2015 at 8:19 PM
Subject: Re: Your favorite child?
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Not to this, you didn't. But, there was no inquiry in the below message.
No response was requested.

On 06/28/2015 08:17 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

I already responded.

On Sunday, June 28, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Desiree:
>
> I was speaking with Gabriel earlier, about that thing of you refusing to
> allow him to get decent clothes when he's with you. And I pointed out to
> him that you obviously like Sage more than him. He asked me why I believe
> that. I told him you don't allow him to have anything better, or more,
> than what Sage has. I pointed out the many times you've forbidden him from
> having things - for no reason other than that Sage doesn't also have them.
> Then I asked him if you've ever made Sage go without something because it
> wouldn't be "fair" to Gabriel. He couldn't think of a single instant. I
> pointed out that you wouldn't allow Gabriel to have multiple video game
> consoles because Sage only had the one, but now Sage has a PS4 as well as
> his 360, yet you still won't allow Gabriel to have a PS4.
>
> You can disagree, if that will make you feel better, but you're actions
> make your preference clear.
>
> Later,
> Patrick
>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:28 PM
Subject: Fwd: Notice of Service in the California case

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jun 28, 2015 at 8:29 PM
Subject: Re: Notice of Service in the California case
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Actually, you're wrong about each of those things you stated. I'm not required to do ANY of those things. And as long as you've acknowledged, in writing, that you know my legal name is Patrick Fox, then attempting to server me under another name fails to meet the requirements of legal service.

Regarding the custody order: since I have no legal or physical custody then the name on the order is irrelevant.

On 06/28/2015 08:22 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

Let me make this perfectly clear as I will not waiver. If you wish to be addressed as Patrick Fox then you need to go to court and have your name updated on the court order. You will then need to update Gabriel's birth certificate to reflect the name Patrick Fox. Until that time I will ONLY send legal documentation pertaining to the custody order to Richard Riess and you will accept it. If you have a problem with it, I suggest you file something with the court in California as I have NO problem staring my case before the commissioner.

Do you understand?

On Saturday, June 27, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Desiree:
>
> I see on the California court's web site that you filed a declaration and
> submitted a notice of service. I was, most certainly, not served anything
> regarding that case. If you continue to try to execute service by mail,
> using a name which is not my legal name then the court is just going to
> have to order that all future service be by a process server - which, of
> course, is going to cost you a lot more money. Why do you keep trying to
> play stupid games that just keep backfiring on you?
>
> Patrick
>
> P.S. Please serve a copy of your declaration on me before I have to notify
> the court that you've submitted false a Notice of Service.
>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:28 PM
Subject: Fwd: Your degree from UoP

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 7:54 AM
Subject: Your degree from UoP
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

<http://www.askamanager.org/2015/03/should-i-take-the-university-of-phoenix-off-my-resume.html>

Once again, you've made a terrible decision. Haven't I been telling you all along, that UoP is not a real university and nobody will take their degrees seriously?

Just one more in a life of bad decisions. It almost seems you are a born to fail.

Cheers,
Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:29 PM
Subject: Fwd: Lessons if hard times

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 8:32 AM
Subject: Re: Lessons if hard times
To: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>

My parents taught economics not to speak to strangers.

On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Its interesting that we would both encounter "hardship" at the same
> time...and beneficial for Gabriel...so he can see, first hand, how we each
> deal with it.
>
> My approach: to forego any personal luxuries and desires and to make sure
> I have good credit, so that I can cover the costs of his trip and visit -
> no matter how uncomfortable or inconvenient it might be. So that he knows
> that, no matter what, I would never turn him away and he'll always have a
> place to go. And so that he knows that I would never put myself and my
> desires before his needs. I openly admit to him that any current or recent
> financial complications are entirely my own fault and how I intend to make
> sure it doesn't happen again.
>
> You're approach: send him (and Sage) away, while moving to a new city,
> forcing him to leave his friends behind and make new ones, because its more
> convenient for you. Have him return from his visit, to a new home, in a
> new city, where he doesn't know anyone - because that way is easier for
> you. Regardless of how it might affect him. Don't include him in any of
> the decisions. So that he gets to pay and suffer for your mistakes. You
> blame your financial problems on me, on Michael, on Kristopher, on Apollo -
> anyone but yourself. And you provide no lessons from it.
>
> Is what I'm saying false? If its the truth then you can't really say I'm
> manipulating him by telling him such things. Telling the truth is not
> manipulation. He doesn't hate you because of what I tell him about you, he
> hates you because of what you do.
>
> Patrick
>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:46 PM
Subject: Fwd: Carrington College

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 9:55 AM
Subject: Re: Carrington College
To: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>

I shall verify that.

Thank you.

On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Jacobson Way
>
>
> Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> May you please confirm which VTech facility you are working at;
>
> 7671 Alderbridge Way
> Richmond, BC V6X 1Z9
>
> 12111 Jacobson Way
> Richmond BC V6W 1L5
>
>
> On Sunday, June 28, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>
>> Is that because you're embarrassed about having to take such a step
>> down? Do you think that if you don't tell me that I won't be able to
>> confirm it anyway? Can I not just call HR and ask them? And as long as
>> you use your social security number then couldn't I just run a credit check
>> on you?
>>
>>
>>
>> On 06/28/2015 08:17 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:
>>
>> My job is none of your business.
>>
>> On Saturday, June 27, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Oh, I see now. So Apollo was/is having serious financial problems and
>>> doing yet more layoffs. Is that what happened? Were you let go? Since
>>> almost every company in Arizona does drug testing, I guess your options
>>> were very limited. I'm guessing Carrington doesn't do drug testing. Based
>>> on their reputation I guess they'd have to be willing to accept whoever
>>> would be willing to work for them (see
>>> <http://www.glassdoor.ca/Reviews/Carrington-College-Reviews-E341378.htm>).
>>> Not a lot of satisfied employees - low morale; low pay.
>>>
>>> But it doesn't seem they actually have any Systems Analyst positions at
>>> their Tucson campus. Did you make a career change?
>>>
>>> If it makes you feel any better, I too have just started a new
>>> position. With VTech. As a Senior Software Engineer, doing embedded C/C++
>>> (you know, that "outdated" language, as you once referred to it) on their
>>> VoIP telephone systems. It only pays \$100K a year, though. Oh well,
>>> that's life, I guess.
>>>
>>> Patrick
>>>
>>>
>>>

>>> On 06/27/2015 09:45 AM, Patrick Fox wrote:
>>>
>>>> Hello, Desiree.
>>>>
>>>> May you confirm that you have taken a position with Carrington College
>>>> in Tucson? If so, then I should update your website. Do you have any
>>>> interesting quotes or pseudo facts that I can put on the site? But, I
>>>> can't imagine there'd be much of a need for a "Systems Analyst" at an
>>>> individual campus of a demi-college. Wouldn't that be a bit of a step down
>>>> from what you were purportedly doing at Apollo? But since the campus is
>>>> substantially smaller then you'd be less anonymous - and word of your
>>>> website and your back-story should spread quickly.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Cheers,
>>>> Patrick
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:29 PM
Subject: Fwd: How can Gabriel contact you?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Mon, Jun 29, 2015 at 9:35 PM
Subject: Re: How can Gabriel contact you?
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

You can transfer your own number when moving from one carrier to another. You cannot transfer a number from another entity's account to your own. If the account was owned by Apollo Group you would not be able to transfer the number to your own account - at least not without Apollo Group's agreement.

But if you still had that number then you'd be able to receive calls at it. Have you not received any of the messages I've left?

With respect to the "local authorities" showing up: you're speaking nonsense. I have documentary evidence that I am Gabriel's biological father; that you agreed to allow him to remain with me until July 12, 2015; and that he and I are both Canadian citizens. I don't know where you'd get some idea that you have any legal standing at this point. Also, the Canadian "authorities" will not respond to a complaint from a US citizen who is not even in Canada. You'd have to go through the court in California; then that court would contact the Canadian consulate, who would contact the court here, who might issue a warrant. Good luck with all that.

Patrick

On 06/29/2015 09:24 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

Phone numbers can be bought and transferred between carriers these days. I fail to see the joke. What you should be discussing with Gabriel is how he needs to be prepared for the local authorities to show up, should you not provide the return itinerary as agreed.

On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Gabriel only has 480-455-2086. The same mobile number I have. That
> number belongs to Apollo Group. If you no longer work at Apollo Group then
> you likely had to return the phone they provided you, which means you no
> longer have that number. By the way: what kind of loser doesn't have their
> own mobile phone?

>
> Patrick

>

>

> On 06/29/2015 08:22 AM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

>

> I wouldn't expect a stranger to know anything that transpires.

>

> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

>

>> Do you not buy shoes for Sage? You don't buy shoes for Gabriel. Did you
>> not provide Sage a computer for his room? You didn't provide one for
>> Gabriel. Are you not allowing sage to have 2 game consoles while taking
>> away Gabriel's ?

>>

>> How are my statements not true?

>>

>> Patrick

>>

>>

>>

>>> Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Nothing you have said is true. But I wouldn't expect you to know that
>> since you are not Gabriel's father.
>>
>>> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Is anything that I stated below, that I've said to Gabriel, not true?
>>> Have you not, in fact, refused to allow him to enjoy comforts which would
>>> be in line with our combined incomes for no reason other than to appease
>>> Sage? Do you not provide Sage better and more frequent things than you
>>> provide for Gabriel? Have you ever once told Sage that he cannot have
>>> something because it wouldn't be fair to Gabriel?
>>>
>>> So, then everything I have said to Gabriel is merely the truth. Is it
>>> not?
>>>
>>>
>>> On 06/28/2015 08:28 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:
>>>
>>> Why would he when you keep telling him BS like I care about Sage more
>>> and that I punched myself in the stomach? Maybe it's more a matter of the
>>> things you say to him and less a matter of what he really thinks.
>>>
>>> Or maybe, just maybe he does trust you enough to be able to speak
>>> openly to me with you around.
>>>
>>> On Sunday, June 28, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Is that why he hasn't been calling you? He's been here 5 weeks now.
>>>> Have you spoken with him at all? Does it not concern you that he cares so
>>>> little that he doesn't even call once to say hello? It would certainly
>>>> concern me, that a parent wouldn't care enough about their child to speak
>>>> to them at all during that duration of time. When he's in Phoenix we speak
>>>> at least once a week.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On 06/28/2015 08:16 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Gabriel can contact me on the same mobile number he has. He can also
>>>> communicate with me via email...as you are doing right now.
>>>>
>>>> On Saturday, June 27, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> I'm sitting at Starbucks with Gabriel and it occurred to me - your
>>>>> mobile phone was provided by Apollo and you'd presumably have to return it
>>>>> to them when you stopped working for them; since you've moved you no longer
>>>>> have the same landline number. So just how is Gabriel supposed to contact
>>>>> you if he needed or wanted to?
>>>>>
>>>>> I asked him and he said he has no idea.
>>>>>
>>>>> Once again, nice parenting. Its almost as though you've abandoned
>>>>> him...again. Ha!
>>>>>
>>>>> Patrick
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:29 PM
Subject: Fwd: Your priorities

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Jul 2, 2015 at 10:00 AM
Subject: Re: Your priorities
To: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>

Happy Canada Day

On Thursday, July 2, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Let me tell you what I think: I think you're more interested in being a
> pain in my ass than you are interested in Gabriel's safety and well-being.
>
> If you were at all interested in Gabriel then you would have called and/or
> emailed him - before calling the police. He's been here 5 weeks and you've
> never once made any attempt to contact him, but then, out of the blue, you
> contact me and start being a bitch about his return flight. Then, you call
> the police and claim that you're worried about his safety because you've
> not heard from him since he left and you have no way of contacting him
> (which is completely false). You gotta get your priorities straight, you
> freak.
>
> Patrick
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:29 PM
Subject: Fwd: text messages

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sat, Jul 4, 2015 at 7:01 PM
Subject: text messages
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Desiree:

I just texted you a few messages with some information about Gabriel's well being. May you confirm that you received them?

Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:30 PM
Subject: Fwd: Status

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jul 5, 2015 at 9:45 AM
Subject: Status
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Hello, Desiree:

1. Yes, Gabriel and I are having fun at your expense. If you hadn't created a situation whereby he's afraid to be open and honest with you then you might have a better idea about what he thinks. That's nobody's fault but your own because you would rather try to raise your children the way you were raised (perpetuating the way of life) than to be intelligent and rational, and to learn about child psychology so that you could actually be a good parent.

2. Heating something up in the microwave is NOT "cooking"; heating up pre-cooked food on the stove is NOT "cooking"; Hamburger Helper is not cooking. The "cooking" Gabriel has been learning and doing up here involves selecting and preparing combinations of raw ingredients and actually mixing then cooking them in a pot or pan on the stove, to make up with interesting and different ways to make things. It involves try different combinations and using previous experiences to find combinations that you like. It involves such things as actually slicing, dicing, and mixing those raw ingredients in a pan and sauteing them together. It involves spending time with Gabriel to show him better ways to handle the cooking utensils and how high to set the heat on the stove to achieve the desired results. It involves letting him decide how much of a given ingredient to use so that the end result is HIS creation. So, I'm sorry, but I would disagree with your belief that he has "learned to cook anything while he was there (with you)".

3. The fact that Gabriel never contacted you even one time until the RCMP stopped by the apartment and suggested he should call you to let you know he's okay should be a pretty clear indication to you how much he "loves" you and what he really thinks about you. Over the past 2 and a half years, he's not gone that long without speaking with me. You can go ahead and claim that I'm filling his head with stories of you being a monster - but any such stories are entirely true and supported with physical evidence (which I've provided to you, previously). If what I tell him is true then how can it be bad? Are you saying that the truth is bad? Informing someone of the truth is not manipulation. If I tell him that you're a hideous, disgusting, revolting, monster then I would emphasize that that is my opinion and I would provide the specific reasons I believe that - reasons which would be specific things you've done. If I teach him that eating with his elbows on the table is nasty because it's what trashy people do, then he looks down on you and Sage because you do it then it's only because he doesn't want to be associated with being white trash. I don't tell him not to do it - I just point out that it makes him look trashy, unrefined, undignified. If he returns to Arizona and looks down on you and Sage because you eat like savages then I suppose it's my fault for teaching him to be a better person and rise above the riff-raff. Perhaps if you (and the family you're so insistent on exposing Gabriel to) were not so trashy then he wouldn't look down on you (and them) so much.

4. Yes, I have consistently taught Gabriel that schools like the University of Phoenix are a joke and a degree from them is meaningless. Because they are! I think you're finally realizing that now, right? He is too intelligent to have any respect for a person who has wasted 4 years pursuing a degree from such a school.

5. I have consistently urged Gabriel that he should be honest with you about what he thinks of you and about what he wants with respect to you. He has consistently responded that if he does then he'll have to put up

with you getting angry and probably punishing him for it. I have told him that as long as he's honest with you and presents himself respectfully then you would have no reason to be angry and to punish him - how can you be angry with someone for not respecting you due to the many selfish and harmful things you've done? I have told him that, based on my experience with my mother (with whom you are essentially identical), that if he told you he just doesn't respect you, that he'll never respect you, that he doesn't love you, that he only goes along with you because he's afraid of getting in trouble, then you would respond by discarding him. You would rationalize your actions by saying he's an ungrateful child and I've filled his head with lies about you.

6. I have taught (and continue to teach) Gabriel as much as I can about how to identify white trashy people. So that he can know that he is better than them and he can avoid them and protect himself from them. They are a deplorable and disgusting category of people who cannot be trusted, will stab you in the back for no apparent reason, will try to keep you at their (low) level (they never like to see their own rise above them). They make terrible life decisions without any consideration of the consequences or how their actions affect others. They think small. They're irrational. Their too ignorant and emotional to be objective and that results in them being unable to improve themselves...Oh, wait, I've just described you and most of your family. Sorry, sometimes reality hurts. But teaching Gabriel that white trash people are bad and he should stay away from them and always strive to be above that is not filling his head with lies. It's just good parenting. Why would any decent parent want to subject their children to that way of life? It's hideous. I know - it's where I came from. It's where all of my siblings still are. But remember: being white trash is choice - it's not beyond your control to be a better person; you're happy with who you are. That's fine for you, but I think Gabriel realizes it's a shitty existence and he expects more from life.

Cheers,
Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:30 PM
Subject: Fwd: Your reasoning

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jul 5, 2015 at 10:00 AM
Subject: Your reasoning
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

So, why would you want a child living in your home, that you know doesn't respect you? That you know looks at you with disdain and superiority? Surely, it's not because you have a sense of moral obligation to be a good parent and to raise him properly, because so far you haven't done that at all (again, we refer to your refusal to provide him sufficient medical and dental care (even though it wouldn't cost you anything); your insistence on putting what you want before what he needs; your failure to take the time to teach him any life skills).

The only remaining reason I can think of is that you do it to spite me. But that's backfiring on you because I've already worked out a plan that involves Gabriel remaining with you until he turns 16, then moving to Ontario (where neither you nor the US or Canadian courts can touch him). And I've explained that plan to him. He doesn't like it but he understands and appreciates the motives. So, by insisting on keeping him with you aren't you really just spiting yourself? Aren't you really just subjecting yourself to the additional difficulties of providing for a child that looks down on you? That considers you below him because of your refusal to be anything more than a white trash pot head? Because of your inability to make any good or proper decisions? Because of your continually doing things that adversely affect him and not giving him any choices?

Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:30 PM
Subject: Fwd: Fun at your expense

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jul 5, 2015 at 12:17 PM
Subject: Fun at your expense
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Hello, Desiree!

In the event you're curious how, exactly, we're having fun at your expense, allow me to enlighten you.

1. The way you dress. There is general agreement your wardrobe and taste in clothes is hideous. You're a veritable advertisement for K-Mart from 10 years ago.
2. Your insistence that the University of Phoenix is a reputable school and a degree from there is anything to be proud of.
3. Your pride in your degree from the University of Phoenix.
4. The ridiculous claims you make which are completely contrary, not only to all of the physical evidence, but at times just completely illogical and contrary to your own prior statements and/or actions.
5. Your belief that you can force someone (namely your children) to love and/or respect you.
6. Your terrible grammar.
7. Your lack of basic vocabulary.
8. Your frequent misuse of words.
9. Your inability to sufficiently articulate your thoughts.
10. Your tendency to be overly emotional and to blow irrelevant things out of proportion.
11. Your inability to have your own opinions and personality (it is Gabriel who pointed out that when you were with Kristopher you were all into UFC and shooting pool but since he'd left you you've completely lost interest in those things).
12. Your transparency when claiming that you're doing something in Gabriel's interest when it's really for your own benefit (like "letting" him visit over the summer, when really you just wanted him out of the way while you selected and moved into your new home).
13. Your thinning hair.
14. Your keeping of a ridiculous surname even after you've divorced the person you adopted the name from.
15. Your irrational attachment to family - particularly those family members who have done nothing to improve your life.
16. Your taste in music (I mean, come on, country music?).
17. Your claiming that you had a pair of shoes just like the Doc Martens he got. Just because the style of the shoes you had looked similar to his Docs, doesn't make them Docs.
18. Your inability to realize that your \$35 shoes are NOT Doc Martens.
19. Your table manners.
20. Your clearly poor taste in men. Yes, I realize that I would be included in that, however, I point out that you, yourself, stated you were young, didn't know any better and consider your time with me to be a mistake. So you consider being with me, perhaps the one relationship that WAS intelligent, a mistake.
21. Your inability to learn from your own mistakes.
22. Your long history of making poor choices.
23. Your messy apartment.
24. Your pot use.
25. Your inability to obtain a decent job in Phoenix even though you have a degree from a "real university" and years of experience.
26. Your belief that Hamburger Helper is not trailer park food.
27. Your belief that heating up food or making toast is actually "cooking".
28. Your intolerance toward religions and a belief in any kind of deity.
29. Your racism toward Hispanics.
30. Your belief that you're somehow better than someone else just because you happen to be white.

31. Your belief that you're somehow better than someone else just because you happen to have been born on US soil.
32. Your claims that you're blonde (your hair is actually, naturally light brown).
33. Your ridiculous tattoos (particularly the one on your finger).
34. Your inability to realize how incredibly trashy you really are (see point 33 for clarification).
35. Your insistence that you are "an excellent mother".
36. Your taking Gabriel from Liz's care in 2011, by force, then inviting Liz to go to Phoenix and stay with your mother so she can be present for Gabriel's birthday.
37. Your copying of very personal, intimate photos of yourself onto Gabriel's iPod so they can be put on the Internet.
38. Your refusal to take issue with the fact that you're blatantly supporting a white supremacist organization on your website.
39. Your insistence on calling me Richard even though you've already admitted that you know my legal name is Patrick and that I don't go by Richard anymore.
40. Your inability to do anything to rise above your lowly, trashy station in life.
41. Having to move to Tucson to find a job.
42. Having to take a job which is so clearly below your supposed skill and experience level.
43. Your struggle to not gain weight and plump up like your mother (face it, it's inevitable, there's no point in fighting it).
44. Your claims that \$50 is a lot for a pair of shoes.
45. Your feelings being hurt because Gabriel likes the studded wrist bands more than the wrist band you got him (I don't take credit for that - he picked out and paid for them himself - they weren't gifts from me).
46. The way you cry over stupid, irrelevant things.
47. The way you express your "emotional hurt" by getting angry and lashing out at people (like your children) rather than addressing the actual issue that's "hurting" you.
48. The way you blame everybody else for everything that's wrong with your life.
49. Your inability to be financially responsible and to improve your financial situation. I mean, a 401k? Really? Do you not realize 401k's are just a sham to get investment money from the masses of ignorant middle class people who actually think it helps them in some way?
50. Your bad credit.
51. Your crappy car.

The list goes on, but you get the gist. You just make it so easy for people to have fun at your expense.

And there's the things we have fun at Sage's expense, as well (starting with his ridiculous name), but we'll save that for another email.

Cheers,
Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:30 PM
Subject: Fwd: Gabriel's new school

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Mon, Jul 6, 2015 at 7:02 AM
Subject: Re: Gabriel's new school
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

So, again, I ask you, what is the name of the school Gabriel will be attending upon the commencement of the upcoming school year? And, have you informed them that they are to provide me full access to Gabriel's records?

Also, what is the address he will be residing at while in your care? And what is the telephone number at that address? I cannot rely on using his mobile number because you have, recently, taken away his mobile phone as a form of punishment.

Patrick

On 06/29/2015 02:11 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

I do not give out detailed information about my son to strangers.

On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> What is the name of the high school which you anticipate Gabriel will be
> attending upon the commencement of the upcoming school year?
>
>
> Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> High school.
>
> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>
>> What school will Gabriel be attending for the upcoming school year?
>>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:24 PM
Subject: Fwd: Itinerary for return travel

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sat, Jul 11, 2015 at 9:29 PM
Subject: Re: Itinerary for return travel
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

And just how would Gabriel getting on the plane tomorrow be doing me a favor (as you stated in your email to Gabriel)?

On 07/01/2015 02:38 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

Tucson is an acceptable destination.

On Wed, Jul 1, 2015 at 11:31 AM, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> For Gabriel's return flight, is Tucson an acceptable destination?
>
>
>
> On 06/29/2015 10:18 AM, Desiree Capuano wrote:
>
> Yes, I have phone calls to make. To ensure that the law is followed and
> enforced.
>
> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>
>> Don't you have anything better to do with your time?
>>
>>
>> Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> Establishment by you alone proves nothing.
>>
>> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>>
>>> This topic has already been addressed and you have been established to
>>> be incorrect. Stop wasting my time.
>>>
>>>
>>> Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> The agreement was that the itinerary would be provided "sometime in
>>> June". As of July 1st you have failed to adhere to the agreed upon
>>> conditions. As well, Gabriel
>>> Is only authorized by the governing judicial body and by me to be in the
>>> care and guardianship of Richard Riess. I have been informed today that
>>> there is no such person living in the home that Gabriel is residing in.
>>> Therefor he is being held in a non-compliment residence. We'll let the
>>> local authorities and the court in California sort all that out. You may
>>> have to appear from jail in the matters concerning custody though.
>>> Kidnapping across country lines in a very serious offense.
>>>
>>> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>> As I said: whatever. As soon as I purchase Gabriel's flight you will
>>>> be the third to know.
>>>>
>>>> But really, go ahead and contact whatever authorities you think will
>>>> do something for you. Until July 13th you've got no claim. Dumbass!
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>

>>>> Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> You have until 5pm tomorrow. You may want to prepare Gabriel for the
>>>> consequences of your actions.
>>>>
>>>> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Whatever. Go ahead, call the authorities. I'd love to see that.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> The last part of what you said is kind of true. I will call the
>>>>> authorities, you will be arrested, and Gabriel will be returned to. It
>>>>> would be a false claim if you provide documentation stating you are Richard
>>>>> Riess. I am willing to take that chance. You are the one to end Gabriel's
>>>>> visit early. You know what, I'll be nice - provide the itinerary for
>>>>> Gabriel's return travel by 5pm Arizona time tomorrow since it's the last
>>>>> day of June. If by July I do not have a return itinerary thing will go
>>>>> very badly for you.
>>>>>
>>>>> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> Go ahead, call "the authorities". They will tell you this is a
>>>>>> family court matter. Then you can request an ex parts hearing and you can
>>>>>> tell the court that you agreed Gabriel was to return on July 12. The court
>>>>>> will point out its not the 12th yet, so why are you eating their time.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Or, you can tell "the authorities" that Gabriel is with a
>>>>>> "stranger" and you never authorized that. The police will pick him up and
>>>>>> arrest me, then you can explain yourself, after being charged with filing a
>>>>>> false abduction claim. And then you can explain to Gabriel why you tried to
>>>>>> end his visit early.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Why are you so stupid?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> And to clarify, that would noon Arizona time.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> You have until noon to provide the itinerary. If you refuse to
>>>>>>>> comply then you can choose to be home or not when the authorities arrive.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> On Monday, June 29, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> You're a very strange and misguided woman.
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> I will contact the local authorities and have my son removed from
>>>>>>>>>> the home he is currently in then. Thank you for the information.
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> On Sunday, June 28, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
>>>>>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> I'm sorry, there is no one here by the name "richard", and you
>>>>>>>>>>>> know that.
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> On 06/28/2015 08:26 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> richard,
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> You stated you would provide the itinerary for Gabriel's return
>>>>>>>> to Phoenix "sometime in June". As today is the 28th, you now only have 2
>>>>>>>> days with which to provide this information. Send the details to me
>>>>>>>> immediately or I will be forced to take action.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:25 PM
Subject: Fwd: Babying Gabriel

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jul 12, 2015 at 3:05 PM
Subject: Babying Gabriel
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Gabriel tells me that when you bring him to the airport you get a security pass and escort him right up to the point that he boards the plane. Are you fucking retarded? Why do single mothers always baby their kids? Dude, he's 14 for Christ's sake. No wonder when he arrives here he seems incapable of doing the smallest things.

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:25 PM
Subject: Fwd: Updates to your site

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Mon, Jul 13, 2015 at 8:30 PM
Subject: Re: Updates to your site
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Desiree:

Oh, I should mention, before you freak out at Gabriel and ground him for telling me where you live: I already had the address from the times you've previously spent the weekend at the house. Given the address, finding the name of the person who owns the property is a simple public records check. Given the name of the property owner, a simple google search provides his LinkedIn and Facebook profiles. From the LinkedIn profile I see that he also worked at Apollo Group at the same time you did. Using basic deduction, and given how well I know you, I was able to conclude that you were intimately involved with Mr. Pendleton - why else would you take your kids and spend numerous weekends at his house which is hours drive from where you lived.

So, you see, Gabriel told me nothing - any fool with partial intelligence and a bit of background on you could figure it out.

Patrick

On 07/13/2015 08:11 PM, Patrick Fox wrote:

> Desiree:
>
> I've updated some of the information on your site. Let me know if
> anything is inaccurate. Namely on the home page and on the News page. I
> was sure to include your new address, a picture of your presumed boyfriend,
> and a picture of your new home.
>
> I still need to confirm your employment - once I do then I'll update that
> information too.
>
> It's good that you're living in such a small community now. Much less
> anonymity. Your reputation will undoubtedly spread quickly.
>
> Cheers,
> Patrick
>
> P.S. I believe you are expecting me to say nasty things about James
> Pendleton, but I don't know him so I have no opinion of him.
>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:25 PM
Subject: Fwd: Gabriel's adventure with the RCMP

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Tue, Jul 14, 2015 at 7:51 AM
Subject: Re: Gabriel's adventure with the RCMP
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

So no retort, huh? Not surprising. You make up bullshit to try to save face, I provide proof to the contrary, you scamper away with your tail between your legs. Same as always, I guess.

Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

According to the attached letter, which I received from Children and Family Development (the equivalent of Child Protective Services), which is dated the same day you contacted the "authorities" falsely claiming that you had no knowledge of the whereabouts of your son, you claimed...exactly that!

Therefore, your claim to the "authorities" was not only frivolous, but plainly false. In the course of taking your report they had asked you whether you had made any attempt to contact your allegedly "missing" child - to which you would have responded that you have been unable to contact him. That would have been a lie. You made no such attempt.

Therefore, you are, once again, wrong! How is it that you can be wrong so much of the time? How is it even possible?

And why do you lie about things that are so easy for me to verify? Don't you know, by now, that I'm going to rub it in your face? Then post it on the internet?

What's wrong with you?

Patrick

P.S. Both the RCMP and the Child and Family Development worker referred to me as "Patrick Fox", which means you must have told them that is my name. Which means you acknowledge that is my name and you only refer to me as Richard Riess in your correspondence with me because you believe it will annoy me. Isn't that kind of stupid and petty? I mean, why don't you focus on things of actual substance - is it that you can't actually find any fault in me?

On 06/30/2015 08:46 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

Richard,

When you said, "Or would that have been too complicated for you to think of?"

I believe you meant to use the word, "too." You really should use a dictionary. That sort of poor grammar common amongst the lowest echelon of society makes it difficult to take you seriously. Not that anyone does anyway.

As you well know, Gabriel's phone does not receive calls while in Canada. Again, nice try. I chose to only pursue a wellness check this time, and as such no "frivolous claim" exists. To the contrary, I actually had a very nice chat with the RCMP, and they indicated they would be keeping an eye on you. I thanked them for checking in on Gabriel for me.

Have a "nice" day.

~Desiree

On Tuesday, June 30, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Desiree:

>

> Like I said: the "authorities" ain't gonna do shit for ya! The office
> called me after she spoke with Gabriel and she told me that they were just
> responding to a call about potential child endangerment, but after
> reviewing your claims, then stopping by the apartment and speaking with
> Gabriel, they didn't consider it credible. They've entered a record of you
> submitting a frivolous claim - so the next time you call they'll see that
> and take you with a grain of salt. Has anything EVER gone right for you?

>

> Tell me, if you're so concerned about Gabriel's safety and well being then
> why haven't you just called him? Or would that have been too complicated
> for you to think of?

>

> Patrick

>

>

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:26 PM
Subject: Fwd: Gabriel's return

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Tue, Jul 14, 2015 at 8:52 AM
Subject: Gabriel's return
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

So tell me, Desiree, how was Gabriel upon his return? How's his demeanor seem? Does he seem happy to be back with you? Has he been sharing with you all the things he did, his wonderful accomplishments? Is he excited about his new "home"? Is he looking forward to starting his new school?

Or has he been withdrawn? Keeping to himself? Spending most of his time in his room?

And as I've said, repeatedly, the misery that will bring you and your home is far greater than a court order for you to return him. A court order gives you the opportunity to get people's pity. A son that hates you because you're a narcissistic fuck up leaves only you to blame.

Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:26 PM
Subject: Fwd: The ridiculous tattoo on your finger

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Tue, Jul 14, 2015 at 8:57 AM
Subject: The ridiculous tattoo on your finger
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

I heard you're in the process of having that tattoo removed. Wonderful!
More money you're spending on yourself while you make your children live
like vagrants. Nice!

But you know, white trashiness is a mindset. Removing a tattoo won't make
you less trashy. It might just make it easier to conceal - until you open
your mouth, anyway.

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:26 PM
Subject: Fwd: Actions and words

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Tue, Jul 14, 2015 at 9:34 AM
Subject: Actions and words
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Did you notice the whole time Gabriel was here and I had no cash and was living on credit cards, that I still made sure he got medical treatment for the infection on his ear and neck; and new, quality clothes; and a violin for him to learn and practice with; and at all times I made sure he had everything he needed? And during that whole time I never once spent any money on myself. And did you notice that even though I had no money to do anything significant with, he still had a better time here and didn't want to go back to you.

You see, that is what a good parent does! You are not a good parent!

Cheers,
Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:31 PM
Subject: Fwd: Gabriel's demeanor when at home

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Thu, Jul 16, 2015 at 8:11 AM
Subject: Gabriel's demeanor when at home
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

I find it interesting that when Gabriel is at home (my place), or at Liz's place he's relaxed, at ease, and forthright, but whenever I speak with him while he's at your place he's very reserved, terse, very apprehensive.

I asked him about it and he said he hadn't noticed. Very curious, indeed. Why do you suppose that is? What are you doing to him that when he's around you he's afraid to be himself and to speak honestly and openly?

What kind of monster makes their children afraid to express themselves to their own parents? I guess if I really were filling his head with ideas that you're a monster I wouldn't be too far off.

Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:32 PM
Subject: Fwd: Gabriel's infection

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sat, Jul 18, 2015 at 5:56 PM
Subject: Gabriel's infection
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
Cc: Gabriel Riess <gabrielriess@gmail.com>

Desiree:

What the fuck is wrong you? You still have not taken Gabriel to the doctor about the infection on his ear and neck?!?!? Dude! The doctor here said that if it hasn't cleared up in 2 weeks to bring him back. It's been more than 4 weeks now! Obviously the antibiotic that he's been using hasn't been working! Are you fucking retarded?!?!? Do you know what the infection is? Do you know if the rash is just a symptom of a much more serious problem happening under the skin? Do you know if it's a bacteria or a virus? Is it quietly causing permanent harm to the tissue under the skin? You don't know ANY of those!!! And you tell him that you'll take him to see the doctor when his current medication runs out? He was only supposed to use that medication for 2 weeks! What the fuck are you waiting for - permanent damage?

What the god damn fuck is wrong with you???? Get the fuck off your lazy, fucking ass and take your fucking son to a fucking doctor you stupid piece of shit! How the fuck do you get off calling yourself an "excellent mother"? God damn, you're a FUCKING waste of fucking space!!!

Rather than going around boo-hooing to everybody about how I'm such an asshole and about how you care about your kids so much why don't you tell everybody you know the truth about yourself and your parenting? Why don't you tell your friends about how you'd rather sit around the house, pretending to be "working from home", rather than providing your children with even the minimum of medical care - medical care that doesn't even have to cost you anything! Let's see how much people feel sorry for you and think you're such a wonderful person then! FUCK you're disgusting.

Better yet, why don't I just forward this email, with pictures of the rash on Gabriel's neck, to all of the people in your contacts list for you?

Patrick

From: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>
To: <jean-philippe.dupont@rcmp-grc.gc.ca>
Date: 2015/07/19 12:25 PM
Subject: Fwd: Contact Information

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com>
Date: Sun, Jul 19, 2015 at 10:56 AM
Subject: Re: Contact Information
To: Desiree Capuano <desiree.capuano@gmail.com>

Now that I think about it, this situation does raise a few disturbing questions, actually.

A security clearance is based on a person's "character" as well as their actual arrests and/or convictions. A person who "cohabits" with a clearance holder or applicant is also subject to a background check - usually just as stringently as the clearance holder himself. I would think, if you were cohabiting with someone who has, or was applying for, a clearance then the relevant agency would also check your background. They would find your 2000 conviction for a drug related offense; your subsequent court ordered confinement to a psychiatric facility; your more recent arrest for a drug related offense; and your voluntary admission to having a drug problem. Those factors would automatically result in a denial of clearance.

You may be thinking that your recent marijuana offense is not relevant because the charges were dismissed. But that's not how it works in the case of security clearances. You see, they look at the person's "character". And by accepting prop 200 you implicitly admitted to having a drug problem - that's exactly what prop 200 is! There's also the issue that you were, and actually still are, married to a supposed foreign national. A foreign national whom you insist is a felon and was deported. And the issue that you were married to Michael at the same time you were married to me (constituting bigamy on your part - a felony). And the issue that you recently cohabited (for some 2 years) with Lauchner - a multiple felon and dangerous drug user who is again serving a prison sentence for crimes committed while you lived with him. And the issue that you had dangerous drugs (meth) in your home when the police searched it.

I just don't see how it's possible that Pendleton could possibly maintain a security clearance while also cohabiting with you. I mean, wouldn't a responsible, patriotic citizen feel a sense of obligation to report such a situation to the proper authorities? How can a person with such poor judgment that he would be seriously committed enough to a woman that he would have her move into his house with her two children, be trusted with a security clearance - a top secret clearance, at that.

Interesting.

Patrick

On 07/19/2015 10:05 AM, Patrick Fox wrote:

Allow me to also point out that, of course, I had considered that I could potentially raise the issue of Pendleton publicly disclosing that he has Top Secret clearance which, in itself would likely result in him losing such clearance (if, in fact, he did actually have it which, I suspect by the fact that he publicly advertised it, he does not). However, since I have no issue with Pendleton, since he has not harmed me in any way, he is purely collateral to this matter. And, it would be against my morals to cause harm to a person, collaterally, in order to adversely affect you. That is, of course, one of the fundamental differences between you and I: you would not think twice about harming an innocent bystander in order to reach your target (case in point: Liz).

Though, I suppose I could rationalize it by saying I was just doing my duty as a patriotic citizen, right? But no, I would not stoop to such levels.

In case you're curious, this particular moral belief comes from the Torah. A person should not punish the innocent for the sake of the guilty. But being an uninformed, ignorant, atheist I wouldn't expect you to actually know anything about the thing (religions) you believe are so stupid.

Patrick

On 07/18/2015 10:23 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

Richard,

Have you stopped to consider that if what you have were the real address, and not just an intentional misdirection, that you would be endangering Gabriel's safety and privacy with your amateur website? Publishing an address your son would potentially be residing at?! Good job. Classy... real classy.

- Desiree

On Saturday, July 18, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:

> Desiree:
>
> Isn't it amusing that your reason for refusing to provide me the address
> where Gabriel will be residing while in your case was that I continue to
> put your so-called "personal information" on a public website...but, the
> one piece of "personal information" I had never put on a public website was
> your address...but, now, even though you'd refused to provide the address,
> I've put your current address on your public website. Ah, the irony.
>
> Cheers,
> Patrick
>
>
>

> On 07/07/2015 06:28 PM, Desiree Capuano wrote:

>
> As long as Patrick Fox continues to put my personal information on a
> public website, it will not be provided. Richard Riess does not have
> visitation within the United States as he has been forcibly deported so
> having a physical address serves no purpose. A mailing address has been
> provided for the purpose of communication which is all I am required to
> provide. If Gabriel is not returned, Richard Riess will be in direct
> violation of the court order governing Gabriel and immediate action will be
> taken. I expect to see my son on the 12th of July, 2015.
>

> Desiree

> On Tuesday, July 7, 2015, Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>

>> So I told Gabriel about your refusal to provide the address where he
>> will be residing upon his return and that if you do not provide the address
>> I have the legal right to refuse to allow him to return - because a parent,
>> regardless of custody orders, cannot legally be compelled to knowingly put
>> their child in harms way, and given your well documented history of
>> refusing to cooperate, and taking up with drug users, criminals, and
>> violent people you hardly know...well.

>>
>> Do you think he was upset about that? No. Not at all.

>>
>> Cheers,
>> Patrick

>>
>>

>> Patrick Fox <patrickfox@solaronyx.com> wrote:
>>
>> according to Google maps that address is a US Post Office. You are
>> legally required to provide me the address where Gabriel will be physically
>> residing. A post office box does not meet that requirement.
>>
>> If you fail or refuse to provide me the address where Gabriel will be
>> physically residing, prior to his time of departure on July 12 I will have
>> the legal grounds to refuse to allow him to return because, as far as I
>> know, you're homeless and unable to provide his shelter. Particularly
>> since you also refuse to provide any information about whether you even
>> have any income.
>>
>> Patrick
>>
>>
>

APPENDIX D

From: Anna TAYLOR
To: DUPONT, Jean-Philippe
Date: 2015/07/20 12:24 PM
Subject: Fwd: Fw: BU15-32597
Attachments: BC Annual Report.pdf; Certificate of Incorporation.pdf; Corporate Summary.pdf; Incorporation Application.pdf; Notice of Articles.pdf; Notice of Change of Directors.pdf

Hi Jean-Philippe,

I received your request and conducted a search of the business name using BC Online's services.

Director Information on file for **Solar Onyx Development Corporation** lists Patrick H Fox as Director, with a mailing address matching the address on your PRIME file (#203 - 3846 Sunset St, Burnaby, BC). This address is also listed under its Registered Office Information and Records Office Information.

I noted that there was a **Notice of Change of Directors** filed (attached), in which it lists "Directors who have ceased to be Directors" as **Richard Riess**, with the new Director added as Patrick H Fox, effective April 1, 2014.

On a side note, I checked the City of Burnaby's map online and did a business licence search for the company, and it does not appear that this company has a business licence. I know that this is not the main priority in your investigation, but you could always mention it to the City of Burnaby's licencing department for Bylaws to conduct a check on the business, if you feel this is warranted.

I have attached relevant documents from the BC Online search; please let us know if there is anything else you need.

Thanks,
Anna

Cst. Anna Taylor
Economic Crime Unit
Burnaby RCMP / Government of Canada

V1

Gend. Anna Taylor
Groupe des Crimes Économique
GRC de Burnaby / Gouvernement du Canada

V1



**BC Registry
Services**

Mailing Address:
PO Box 9431 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria BC V8W 9V3
www.corporateonline.gov.bc.ca

Location:
2nd Floor - 940 Blanshard Street
Victoria BC
1 877 526-1526

Annual Report **BC COMPANY**

FORM 6
BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT
Section 51

Filed Date and Time: **April 16, 2015 06:20 PM Pacific Time**

ANNUAL REPORT DETAILS

NAME OF COMPANY

SOLAR ONYX DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

INCORPORATION NUMBER
BC0998261

DATE OF RECOGNITION
April 1, 2014

DATE OF ANNUAL REPORT
(ANNIVERSARY DATE OF RECOGNITION IN BC)
April 1, 2015

NO OFFICER INFORMATION FILED .

DUPLICATE

Number: BC0998261



CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION

BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT

I Hereby Certify that SOLAR ONYX DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION was incorporated under the Business Corporations Act on April 1, 2014 at 11:15 AM Pacific Time.

*Issued under my hand at Victoria, British Columbia
On April 1, 2014*

CAROL PREST
Registrar of Companies
Province of British Columbia
Canada





BC Company Summary

For

SOLAR ONYX DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Date and Time of Search: July 20, 2015 11:36 AM Pacific Time

Currency Date: June 19, 2015

ACTIVE

Incorporation Number: BC0998261

Name of Company: SOLAR ONYX DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Recognition Date and Time: Incorporated on April 01, 2014 11:15 AM Pacific Time

In Liquidation: No

Last Annual Report Filed: April 01, 2015

Receiver: No

REGISTERED OFFICE INFORMATION

Mailing Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

RECORDS OFFICE INFORMATION

Mailing Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

DIRECTOR INFORMATION

Last Name, First Name, Middle Name:

Fox, Patrick H

Mailing Address:

3846 SUNSET STREET
#203
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:

3846 SUNSET STREET
#203
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

NO OFFICER INFORMATION FILED AS AT April 01, 2015.



BC Registry
Services

Mailing Address:
PO Box 9431 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria BC V8W 9V3
www.corporateonline.gov.bc.ca

Location:
2nd Floor - 940 Blanshard Street
Victoria BC
1 877 526-1526

Incorporation Application

FORM 1
BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT
Section 10

FILING DETAILS: *Incorporation Application for:*
SOLAR ONYX DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Incorporation Number: **BC0998261**

Filed Date and Time: **April 1, 2014 11:15 AM Pacific Time**

Recognition Date and Time: **Incorporated on April 1, 2014 11:15 AM Pacific Time**

INCORPORATION APPLICATION

Name Reservation Number:

NR4581749

Name Reserved:

SOLAR ONYX DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

INCORPORATION EFFECTIVE DATE:

The incorporation is to take effect at the time that this application is filed with the Registrar.

INCORPORATOR INFORMATION

Last Name, First Name, Middle Name:

Riess, Richard

Mailing Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

COMPLETING PARTY

Last Name, First Name, Middle Name:
Riess, Richard

Mailing Address:
203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Completing Party Statement

I, Richard Riess, the completing party, have examined the articles and the incorporation agreement applicable to the company that is to be incorporated by the filing of the Incorporation Application and confirm that:

- a) the Articles and the Incorporation Agreement both contain a signature line for each person identified as an incorporator in the Incorporation Application with the name of that person set out legibly under the signature lines,
- b) an original signature has been placed on each of those signature lines, and
- c) I have no reason to believe that the signature placed on a signature line is not the signature of the person whose name is set out under that signature line.

NOTICE OF ARTICLES

Name of Company:

SOLAR ONYX DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

REGISTERED OFFICE INFORMATION

Mailing Address:
203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:
203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

RECORDS OFFICE INFORMATION

Mailing Address:
203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:
203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

DIRECTOR INFORMATION

Last Name, First Name, Middle Name:
Riess, Richard

Mailing Address:
203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:
203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

AUTHORIZED SHARE STRUCTURE

1.	No Maximum	CLASS A COMMON VOTING Shares	Without Par Value
			With Special Rights or Restrictions attached

2.	No Maximum	CLASS B COMMON VOTING Shares	Without Par Value
			With Special Rights or Restrictions attached

3.	No Maximum	CLASS C COMMON NON VOTING Shares	Without Par Value
			With Special Rights or Restrictions attached



BC Registry
Services

Mailing Address:
PO Box 9431 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria BC V8W 9V3
www.corporateonline.gov.bc.ca

Location:
2nd Floor - 940 Blanshard Street
Victoria BC
1 877 526-1526

Notice of Articles

BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT

This Notice of Articles was issued by the Registrar on: April 9, 2014 11:32 AM Pacific Time

Incorporation Number: **BC0998261**

Recognition Date and Time: Incorporated on April 1, 2014 11:15 AM Pacific Time

NOTICE OF ARTICLES

Name of Company:

SOLAR ONYX DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

REGISTERED OFFICE INFORMATION

Mailing Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

RECORDS OFFICE INFORMATION

Mailing Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

DIRECTOR INFORMATION

Last Name, First Name, Middle Name:

Fox, Patrick H

Mailing Address:

3846 SUNSET STREET
#203
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:

3846 SUNSET STREET
#203
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

AUTHORIZED SHARE STRUCTURE

1.	No Maximum	CLASS A COMMON VOTING Shares	Without Par Value
			With Special Rights or Restrictions attached

2.	No Maximum	CLASS B COMMON VOTING Shares	Without Par Value
			With Special Rights or Restrictions attached

3.	No Maximum	CLASS C COMMON NON VOTING Shares	Without Par Value
			With Special Rights or Restrictions attached



BC Registry
Services

Mailing Address:
PO Box 9431 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria BC V8W 9V3

Location:
2nd Floor - 940 Blanshard Street
Victoria BC

V1

V1

Notice of Change of Directors

FORM 10
BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT
Section 127

Filed Date and Time: April 9, 2014 11:32 AM Pacific Time

Incorporation Number:

BC0998261

Name of Company:

SOLAR ONYX DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

Date of Change of Directors

April 1, 2014

New Director(s)

Last Name, First Name, Middle Name:

Fox, Patrick H

Mailing Address:

3846 SUNSET STREET
#203
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:

3846 SUNSET STREET
#203
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Director(s) who have ceased to be Directors

Last Name, First Name, Middle Name:

Riess, Richard

Mailing Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:

203 3846 SUNSET STREET
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Director(s) as at April 1, 2014

Last Name, First Name, Middle Name:

Fox, Patrick H

Mailing Address:

3846 SUNSET STREET
#203
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

Delivery Address:

3846 SUNSET STREET
#203
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3
CANADA

APPENDIX E



Office of the Registrar General
Bureau du registraire général

Certified A True
Photostatic
Print of a Record

Photocopie certifiée
conforme d'un document

on file at the
Office of the Registrar General
Ontario, Canada

se trouvant dans les dossiers du
Bureau du registraire général
(Ontario) Canada

Registration Number:
Numéro d'enregistrement :

Certificate number:
Numéro du certificat :

Date issued:
Date de délivrance :

File number:
Numéro de dossier :

1973 111484

PAGE 1 of 1

P 1677304

Jan 26 2012

12041289-01-2

V.S.A. 1969
Form 2

SEE OTHER
SIDE FOR
INSTRUCTIONS

THIS IS A PERMANENT RECORD
DO NOT USE RED INK OR PENCIL
PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO
THE VITAL STATISTICS ACT
STATEMENT OF BIRTH

111484

(For use of Registrar General only)

CODE

1. PLACE OF BIRTH:
City, town, village or township of Sudbury
Name and address of hospital or nursing home General Hospital
(If birth occurred at home, give house number and street name)
GENERAL HOSPITAL OF SUDBURY
County or territorial district of SUDBURY
2. PRINT NAME OF CHILD IN FULL RIESS
(Surname or last name)
RICKY STEVE
(Given or first names)
3. DATE OF BIRTH November 24 73 4. SEX male
(Month by name) (day) (year) (State male or female)

5. PLEASE STATE IF MOTHER IS: Married, Widowed, Divorced or Single MARRIED
(The term "Common law" or "Separated" not to be used)

6. FATHER (Print full name) <u>Riess</u> (Surname or last name) <u>Steve JOHN</u> (Given or first names) Age <u>20</u> Place of birth <u>ONT.</u> (At date of this birth) (Province, state or country) Citizenship <u>CANADIAN</u>	7. MOTHER (Print full name) <u>Pepin</u> (Surname or last name) <u>Susan SUSAN</u> (Given or first names) Age <u>18</u> Place of birth <u>ONT.</u> (At date of this birth) (Province, state or country) Citizenship <u>CANADIAN</u>
---	--

8. State if birth was single, twin, triplet or other SINGLE 9. Weight of child at birth 8lbs 10. Length of pregnancy in completed weeks 39
(lbs. and oz. or grams)

11. Total number of children born to this mother (a) Number born alive including this birth 2 births
(b) Number living at date of this birth including this child 2 children
(c) Number born dead after 28 weeks' pregnancy NONE

12. Permanent residence of child's mother at time of this birth 1673 ST. JEAN ST. APTS.
(House No.) (Name of street or road)
VAL CARON ONT
(Name of city, municipality) (Province)

13. Name of medical practitioner or nurse in attendance at this birth DR. R. GHEHT
(Surname or last name) (Given or first names or initials)
Post Office Address SUDBURY CLINIC

I certify that the above stated particulars are true, to the best of my knowledge and belief, this

Date 11 26 73
(month) (day) (year)

Signature Steve Riess
(Parent or Guardian)

Address 1673 ST JEAN
APT. 5
VAL CARON ONT.
Box 163 P.O. 180

(For Division Registrar use only)
I am satisfied as to the correctness and sufficiency of this statement and register the birth by signing the statement, this

Date 11 28 1973
(month) (day) (year)

Signature V. Thompson
(Division Registrar)

3159 54044
(Registration number) (Code number)

RECEIVED

DEC 10 - 1973 H.Q.

G.L.

FORM 2-73 5-7-68

THIS IS A PERMANENT RECORD
DO NOT USE RED INK OR PENCIL
PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT

1. PLACE OF BIRTH: City, town, village or township of Sudbury

Name and address of hospital or nursing home: General Hospital

County or territorial district of: Municipality of Sudbury

2. PRINT NAME OF CHILD IN FULL: RIESS (Surname or last name)
RICKY STEVE (Given or first name)

3. DATE OF BIRTH: November (month by name) 24 (day) 73 (year) 4. SEX: male (State male or female)

5. PLEASE STATE IF MOTHER IS: Married, Widowed, Divorced or Single: MARRIED (The term "Common law" or "Separated" not to be used)

6. FATHER (Print full name): Riess (Surname or last name)
Steve JOHN (Given or first name)
Age: 30 (at time of this birth) Place of birth: ONT. (Province, state or country)
Citizenship: CANADIAN

7. MOTHER (Print full name): Peplin (Surname or last name)
AGNES SUSAN (Given or first name)
Age: 19 (at time of this birth) Place of birth: ONT (Province, state or country)
Citizenship: CANADIAN

8. Sex of child was single, twin, triplet or other: SINGLE 9. Weight of child at birth: 8 lbs (Lbs and oz or grams) 10. Length of pregnancy in completed weeks: 39

11. Total number of children born to this mother: (a) Number born alive including this birth: 2 births
(b) Number living at date of this birth including this child: 2 children
(c) Number born dead after 28 weeks' pregnancy: NONE

12. Permanent residence of child's mother at time of this birth: 1173 ST. JEAN ST. APTS. (House No.)
VAL CARON (Name of street or road)
ONT (Province)
(Name of city, municipality)

13. Name of medical practitioner or nurse in attendance at this birth: DR. R. GHEMT (Surname or last name)
VAL CARON (Given or first name or initials)
Post Office Address: SUDBURY CLINIC

I hereby certify that the above stated particulars are true, to the best of my knowledge and belief, this
Date: 11 (month) 26 (day) 73 (year)
Signature: Steve Riess (Parent or Guardian)
Address: 1173 ST. JEAN APTS.
VAL CARON ONT.
BOX 163 P.M.S. 380
(This space for office notation only)

(For Division Registrar use only)
I am satisfied as to the correctness and sufficiency of this statement and register the birth by signing the statement, this
Date: 11 (month) 28 (day) 73 (year)
Signature: V. T. T. T. (Signature of Division Registrar)
3159 (Registration number) 546041 (Code number)

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL
DEC 6 - 1973 H.S.
G.L.

5804-2.71 5-7-68

S. Leonetti

Sandra J. Leonetti
Deputy Registrar General
Registraire générale adjointe
de l'état civil

---CERTIFIED COPY---
NOT VALID WITHOUT ALL PAGES



FIREARMS LICENCE / PERMIS D'ARMES À FEU POSSESSION · ACQUISITION		
Name / Nom PATRICK [REDACTED] FOX		
	Date of Birth / Date de naissance 1973/11/24 Y/A M D/J	Expires / Expiration 2019/11/24 Y/A M D/J
	Height / Taille 163 CM	Gender / Sexe MALE
Standard conditions are attached to this licence		Ce permis est assorti de conditions standard
Number / Numéro 12804668.0001		

IDENTITY CARD
BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA CAN
BCID:085246698

BRITISH COLUMBIA
The Best Place on Earth

**FOX,
PATRICK**

Issued: 2014-Mar-15 1973-Nov-24
Expires: 2018-Nov-24

Sex: M Eyes: BRN Hair: BRN
Wt: 59.0 kg Ht: 165 cm

1973

203-3846 SUNSET ST.
BURNABY BC V5G 1T3



STATE OF FLORIDA

THIS DOCUMENT HAS A LIGHT BACKGROUND ON TRUE WATERMARKED PAPER. HOLD TO LIGHT TO VERIFY FLORIDA WATERMARK.

BUREAU of VITAL STATISTICS

CERTIFICATION OF BIRTH

STATE FILE NUMBER: 109-1973-088300

DATE FILED: December 3, 1973

CHILD'S NAME: PATRICK [REDACTED] FOX

DATE OF BIRTH: November 24, 1973

SEX: MALE

COUNTY OF BIRTH: BROWARD COUNTY

MOTHER'S MAIDEN NAME: [REDACTED]

FATHER'S NAME: [REDACTED]

DATE ISSUED:

April 9, 2014



, State Registrar

REQ: 2014777690

THE ABOVE SIGNATURE CERTIFIES THAT THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE OFFICIAL RECORD ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE.

WARNING:

THIS DOCUMENT IS PRINTED OR PHOTOCOPIED ON SECURITY PAPER WITH WATERMARKS OF THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA. DO NOT ACCEPT WITHOUT VERIFYING THE PRESENCE OF THE WATERMARKS. THE DOCUMENT FACE CONTAINS A MULTICOLORED BACKGROUND, GOLD EMBOSSED SEAL, AND THERMOCHROMIC FL. THE BACK CONTAINS SPECIAL LINES WITH TEXT. THE DOCUMENT WILL NOT PRODUCE A COLOR COPY.



* 3 1 3 7 6 4 4 4 *

DH FORM 1946 (03-13)

CERTIFICATION OF VITAL RECORD



VOI CANADA

EMERGENCY TRAVEL DOCUMENT
FOR A SINGLE JOURNEY ONLY



TITRE DE VOYAGE D'URGENCE
VALABLE POUR UN SEUL VOYAGE

No ET047538



Family Name **RIESS**
Nom

Given Name(s) **RICHARD**
Prénom(s)

Citizenship status of bearer **CITIZEN**
Citoyenneté du titulaire

Date of Birth **24 NOV /NOV 73**
Date de naissance

Sex **M** Place of Birth **SUDBURY CAN**
Sexe Lieu de naissance

PHOTOGRAPH
PHOTOGRAPHIE



Signature of bearer / Signature du titulaire

Issued for a single journey to **CANADA**
Émis pour un seul voyage à destination de

via Countries / Pays
Mode of Transport **AIR**
Moyen de transport

Arriving at **VANCOUVER** on **15 FEB /FÉV 13**
Arrivée à le

Port of Entry / Point d'entrée Date

Purpose of trip **REMOVAL TO CANADA**
But du voyage

THIS EMERGENCY TRAVEL DOCUMENT EXPIRES ON **06 MAR /MARS 13**
CE TITRE DE VOYAGE D'URGENCE EXPIRE LE

Issued at **LOS ANGELES** on **07 FEB /FÉV 13**
Émis à le

Signature of Issuing Officer / Signature de l'agent émetteur



**BRENT ROBSON, CONSUL
CONSULATE GENERAL OF CANADA
CONSULAT GENERAL DU CANADA
550 SOUTH HOPE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2627**

Title / Titre

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
OBSA-IMMIGRATION
VANCOUVER INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT
SIGNATURE **BS**
DATE **Feb 15, 2013**

IMPORTANT - See reverse side - Voir au verso

Canada

IGG.COM CANADA INC.
2323 QUEBEC ST UNIT 201
VANCOUVER, BC V5T 4S7

TD CANADA TRUST
BURNABY CENTRE BRANCH
1933 WILLINGDON AVE. NEAR LOUGHEED HWY.
BURNABY, B.C. V5C 6J3

000073

DATE

1 5 1 2 2 0 1 4
D D M M Y Y Y Y



PAY **Three Thousand Five Hundred and 00/100
Amount in Canadian Dollars

\$**3,500.00

TO THE
ORDER
OF

Solar Onyx Development Corp.
203-3846 Sunset St.,
Burnaby, BC V5G 1T3

IGG.COM CANADA INC.

PER

PER

⑈000073⑈ ⑆96560⑈004⑆ 0987⑈5258857⑈

APPENDIX F

☒ FILED	☐ LODGED
☐ RECEIVED	☐ COPY
JUL 02 2008	
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
BY _____	DEPUTY

REDACTED FOR
PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

Richard Steven Riess,
Defendant.

CR08-0723 PHXSRB(ew)

INDICTMENT

VIO: 18 U.S.C. § 1621
(Perjury)
(Count One)

18 U.S.C. § 911
(False Claim of Citizenship)
(Count Two)

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

COUNT 1

On or about October 31, 2007, in Eloy, Arizona, in the District of Arizona, defendant RICHARD STEVEN RIESS, having duly taken an oath before Sean H. Keenan, an Immigration Judge of the Department of Justice during a removal proceeding, a case in which Title 8 U.S.C., Section 1229a authorizes an oath to be administered, that he would testify truthfully, did willfully and knowingly and contrary to said oath state material matter which he did not believe to be true.

At the date and place aforesaid, the Immigration Court was conducting a removal proceeding to determine the citizenship of RICHARD STEVEN RIESS. If it was determined

1 that RICHARD STEVEN RIESS was not a citizen of the United States, the Court could have
2 ordered his removal to the country of his citizenship. Therefore, it was material to the aforesaid
3 proceeding to determine whether RICHARD STEVEN RIESS was a citizen of the United States.

4 In addition, at the date and location aforesaid, RICHARD STEVEN RIESS appeared
5 before Immigration Judge Sean H. Keenan, and then and there being under oath as aforesaid,
6 testified falsely before the Judge with respect to the aforesaid material matter as follows:

7 **Question by Judge Keenan:** "Are you a citizen of the United States?"

8 **Answer by RICHARD STEVEN RIESS:** "Yes."

9 **Question by Judge Keenan:** "And, is your mother and father a citizen?"

10 **Answer by RICHARD STEVEN RIESS:** "Yes"

11 **Question by Judge Keenan:** "Were they born in the United States?"

12 **Answer by RICHARD STEVEN RIESS:** "My mother was, but I'm not sure of my
13 father."

14 **Question by Judge Keenan:** "Where was she born?"

15 **Answer by RICHARD STEVEN RIESS:** "Massachusetts."

16 ...

17 **Question by Judge Keenan:** "Where were you born?"

18 **Answer by RICHARD STEVEN RIESS:** "Ontario, California."

19 The aforesaid testimony of RICHARD STEVEN RIESS, as he then and there well knew
20 and believed, was false in that on October 31, 2007, RICHARD STEVEN RIESS was in fact a
21 citizen of Canada.

22 In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1621.
23
24
25
26
27
28

COUNT 2

On or about, October 31, 2007, in the District of Arizona, defendant RICHARD STEVEN
RIESS, falsely and willfully represented himself to be a citizen of the United States.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 911.

A TRUE BILL

/s/

FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY
Date: July 1, 2008

DIANE J. HUMETEWA
United States Attorney
District of Arizona

/s/

Raymond K. Woo
Assistant U.S. Attorney

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America

v.

Richard Steven Riess

JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE

(For Offenses Committed on or After November 1, 1987)

No. CR 08-00723-001-PHX-SRB

David Eisenberg (Appointed)
Attorney for Defendant

USM#: 88466-008 ICE#: A88664582

THERE WAS A jury verdict of guilty on as to Counts 1 and 2 of the Indictment.

ACCORDINGLY, THE COURT HAS ADJUDICATED THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY OF THE FOLLOWING OFFENSE(S): violating Title 18, U.S.C. §1621. Perjury, a Class D Felony offense, as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment; and Title 18, U.S.C. §911, a Class E Felony offense as charged in Count 2 of the Indictment.

IT IS THE JUDGMENT OF THIS COURT THAT the defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of **TWENTY FOUR (24) MONTHS** on Counts 1 and 2, said counts to run concurrently, with credit for time served. Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of **THREE (3) YEARS** on Counts 1 and 2, said counts to run concurrently.

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defendant shall pay to the Clerk the following total criminal monetary penalties:

SPECIAL ASSESSMENT: REMITTED **FINE:** \$waived **RESTITUTION:** \$0-

The Court finds the defendant does not have the ability to pay and orders the fine waived.

The total special assessment is REMITTED.

Any unpaid balance shall become a condition of supervision and shall be paid within 90 days prior to the expiration of supervision. Until all restitutions, fines, special assessments and costs are fully paid, the defendant shall immediately notify the Clerk, U.S. District Court, of any change in name and address. The Court hereby waives the imposition of interest and penalties on any unpaid balances.

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant is placed on supervised release for a term of **THREE (3) YEARS** on Counts 1 and 2, said counts to run concurrently.

The defendant shall report to the probation office in the district to which the defendant is released within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

CR 08-00723-001-PHX-SRB
USA vs. Richard Steven Riess

Page 2 of 3

It is the order of the Court that, pursuant to General Order 05-36, which incorporates the requirements of USSG §§5B1.3 and 5D1.2, you shall comply with the following conditions:

- 1) You shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime during the term of supervision.
- 2) You shall not leave the judicial district or other specified geographic area without the permission of the Court or probation officer.
- 3) You shall report to the Probation Office as directed by the Court or probation officer, and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month.
- 4) You shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer.
- 5) You shall support your dependents and meet other family responsibilities.
- 6) You shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons.
- 7) You shall notify the probation officer at least ten days prior to any change of residence or employment.
- 8) You shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and are subject to being prohibited from the use of alcohol if ordered by the Court in a special condition of supervision.
- 9) You shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute or administer any narcotic or other controlled substance as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801) or any paraphernalia related to such substances, without a prescription by a licensed medical practitioner. Possession of controlled substances will result in mandatory revocation of your term of supervision.
- 10) You shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed or administered, or other places specified by the Court.
- 11) You shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer.
- 12) You shall permit a probation officer to visit at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view by the probation officer.
- 13) You shall immediately notify the probation officer (within forty-eight (48) hours if during a weekend or on a holiday) of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer.
- 14) You shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the Court.
- 15) As directed by the probation officer, you shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by your criminal record or personal history or characteristics, and shall permit the probation officer to make such notification and to confirm your compliance with such notification requirement.
- 16) If you have ever been convicted of a felony, you shall refrain from possessing a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon. If you have ever been convicted of a misdemeanor involving domestic violence, you shall refrain from possession of any firearm or ammunition. Possession of a firearm will result in mandatory revocation of your term of supervision. This prohibition does not apply to misdemeanor cases that did not entail domestic violence, unless a special condition is imposed by the Court.
- 17) Unless suspended by the Court, you shall submit to one substance abuse test within the first 15 days of supervision and thereafter at least two, but no more than two periodic substance abuse tests per year of supervision, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d);
- 18) If supervision follows a term of imprisonment, you shall report in person to the Probation Office in the district to which you are released within seventy-two (72) hours of release.
- 19) You shall pay any monetary penalties as ordered by the Court. You will notify the probation officer of any material change in your economic circumstances that might affect your ability to pay restitution, fines, or special assessments.
- 20) If you have ever been convicted of any qualifying federal or military offense (including any federal felony) listed under 42 U.S.C. § 14135a(d)(1) or 10 U.S.C. § 1565(d), you shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 14135a(a)(2).

CR 08-00723-001-PHX-SRB
USA vs. Richard Steven Riess

Page 3 of 3

The following special conditions are in addition to the conditions of supervised release or supersede any related standard condition:

If deported, you shall not re-enter the United States without legal authorization.

THE DEFENDANT IS ADVISED OF DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO APPEAL WITHIN 10 DAYS OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

The Court may change the conditions of probation or supervised release or extend the term of supervision, if less than the authorized maximum, at any time during the period of probation or supervised release. The Court may issue a warrant and revoke the original or any subsequent sentence for a violation occurring during the period of probation or supervised release.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court deliver two certified copies of this judgment to the United States Marshal of this district.

The Court orders commitment to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.

Date of Imposition of Sentence: **Friday, February 13, 2009**



Susan R. Bolton
United States District Judge

DATE: February 13, 2009

RETURN

I have executed this Judgment as follows: _____

Defendant delivered on _____ to _____ at _____, the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons, with a certified copy of this judgment in a Criminal case.

United States Marshal

By: _____
Deputy Marshal

CR 08-00723-001-PHX-SRB - Riess

2/13/09 2:27pm

FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

APR 20 2010

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RICHARD STEVEN RIESS,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 09-10070

D.C. No. 2:08-CR-00723-SRB

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
Susan R. Bolton, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 5, 2010**

Before: RYMER, McKEOWN, and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Richard Steven Riess appeals from his jury-trial conviction and 24-month sentence for perjury, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1621 and falsely representing himself to be a citizen of the United States, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 911.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Riess' counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. Appellant has filed a pro se supplemental brief, but no answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.

APPENDIX G



*Office of the United States Attorney
District of Arizona*

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
Tuesday, February 17, 2009

For Information Contact Public Affairs
SANDY RAYNOR
Telephone: (602) 514-7625
Cell: (602) 525-2681

CANADIAN MAN SENTENCED TO 24 MONTHS FOR FALSELY CLAIMING TO BE A U.S. CITIZEN

PHOENIX – Richard Steven Riess, 34, of Ontario, Canada, was sentenced to 24 months in federal prison by U.S. District Judge Susan R. Bolton. Riess was found guilty by a federal jury on November 5, 2008 of Perjury and False Claim of Citizenship.

Riess made a false claim of U.S. citizenship when he appeared before U.S. Immigration Judge Sean Keenan for a removal hearing on October 31, 2007. Riess swore under oath to tell the truth but during that hearing, he testified that he was a U.S. citizen and falsely claimed that his parents were born in the United States. During the November 2008 trial, the government was able to prove that Riess is a Canadian citizen through the use of passport and birth records.

"We have a duty to protect the integrity of our immigration court system," stated U.S. Attorney, Diane J. Humetewa. "This sentence demonstrates our resolve to prosecute those who undermine the court system through deceit and false statements."

"Riess' attempt to subvert the truth is an offense against the high value our system places on honesty in the courtroom," said Katrina S. Kane, field office director of ICE's Office of Detention and Removal Operations in Arizona. "The judge's sentence in this case will provide Riess with ample opportunity to reflect on the damage perjury does to the judicial system and the serious penalties that await those who seek to deceive."

The sentencing occurred on Friday, February 13, 2009. The investigation in this case was conducted by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Detention and Removal Operations. The prosecution was handled by Mark Brnovich and Josh Parecki, Assistant U.S. Attorney, District of Arizona, Phoenix.

CASE NUMBER: CR 08-0723-PHX-SRB
RELEASE NUMBER: 2009-042(Riess)

###

For more information on the U.S. Attorney's Office, District of Arizona, visit <http://www.usdoj.gov/usao/az/>

APPENDIX H

Desiree Capuano

[Home](#)
[News](#)
[Biography](#)
[Pictures](#)
[Fun Stuff](#)
[Mail](#)
[Apollo Group](#)

Latest News

2015-07-21 - I've Had My Husband Arrested...Again!

I got an email from Richard (yes, we're still married) on the weekend, where he points out that James Pendleton, my current boyfriend that I just moved in with publicly claims to have "Top Secret Security" clearance and that if that's true then James is in violation by cohabiting with me because of my drug use, involvement in criminal activities, my having been confined under court order to a psychiatric hospital, and...well, fuck it...you can read it yourself [here](#).

Who the fuck does he think he is? Where does he get off threatening to get James in trouble. James didn't do a fucking thing wrong - he's the kindest, sweetest man I've ever known! Fuck Richard and his stupid bullshit. Right?

Well, that's fine. I'll show him. I called the RCMP and filed a report of harassment. I told the RCMP that I was afraid for my safety because Richard keeps emailing me and threatening me and that he's got guns and I believe Richard's going to come down here and try to kill me. I was all crying and shit. It was priceless! One of my best performances. I've filed a request for a copy of all of the evidence in the report and when I get the audio recording of the call I'll post it here for all of you to enjoy. Fuck, I'm good. I told the cops I was scared Richard was going to send some of his dirty wetback friends to fuck me up (though I didn't phrase it that way, obviously).

So, yesterday, the RCMP went to Richard's house and arrested him. Took the dumb bastard away in handcuffs. Fuck 'em! That'll teach him to not mess with me. Now they'll take away his firearms license and his precious fucking guns and he won't be able to go to the range anymore. HA! Who's your master now, bitch!?!?

Of course, it was all bullshit. Of course I'm not afraid for my safety. The stupid fucker's way up there in Canada. I'm just pissed off that I refused to provide him the new address that I moved into with our son, even though I'm legally required to keep him informed of where Gabriel's living. Fuck fuck him and fuck the law - yet somehow he already knew the address anyway. Probably had his fucking private investigator following me. Oh well. Lot of good it's gonna do him now that he's in jail.

Anyway, so what if James Pendleton is violating his Security Clearance by cohabiting with me. All that shit I did is in the past. And we love each other. And nothing can change that. So what if James loses his clearance. Big fucking deal. I'm living in a gorgeous, gated community, surrounded by white people. I have both my sons with me - even though one of them, Gabriel, hates me and the other's dumb as a fucking rock. But who cares about them? They're just stupid fucking kids. They just get in the way and fuck up all your plans anyway. How the fuck am I supposed to spend time with James when I have these fucking kids around?

For those who are interested, it was on his Indeed resume, that James Pendleton was publicly declaring that he has Top Secret Security Clearance. When I got that email from Richard I had James take down his resume, but don't you worry, we got a copy right [fucking here!](#)

Update!!!

So, I found out this morning they didn't do shit, those fucking Canadian cops. They just took Richard down to the station, asked him his side of the story, then let him go!!! What the fuck is that? Didn't even take his guns! He got up this morning and went to work just like nothing even happened! So what that Richard is one of the calmest, most rational, level headed people you'll ever meet. I lied through my teeth and even cried to make him look bad. What the fuck is wrong with people?

So now nothing happens to Richard but James still loses his clearance...and probably his job? That's not fucking fair! Oh well, I guess I should be used to it by now. My plans almost always backfire on me because I never bother to think them through or consider the potential consequences of my actions. I supposed I'm just a fucking moron!

FUCK! But what am I gonna do if James loses his job? I don't want to have to go back to work with all those stupid wetbacks. I just want to stay home and get high. Why can't anyone understand that? Is that so fucking bad? AAAHH!! I hate this fucking stupid life! Fuck them and fuck you and fuck it all!

Fuck it, I think I'll just take away Gabriel's phone so Richard can't call him and I'll just take off with the kids. What the fuck is Richard gonna do? He's stuck in Canada with all those stupid Eskimos and shit. It's not like he can find me or anything. Maybe I'll just sneak back to Tampa and hide out with my family. But what the fuck am I supposed to do with Gabriel? You know the first chance he gets he's gonna be calling Richard. Ah, fuck him. I'll dump with my mother or some shit. Never really liked that kid anyway - I just wanted custody of him so I could piss Richard off.

Fucking Arizona sucks anyway. Just a bunch of fucking stupid rednecks and Mexicans. Why the hell have I stayed here so long?

Oh well, guess I'll go smoke a bowl. That always makes everything "okay". Later, cunt lickies!

2015-07-13 - My New Home and Job

As you may know, I never stay at one residence for more than 2 years. And, since I was nearing the two year mark at the place on 44th Street, it was time to move. My new home is now in Sahuarita, AZ.

My address is now:

250 E. Placita Lago del Mago
Sahuarita, AZ, 85629
480-455-2088 (mobile)
520-288-8200 (home)
desiree.capuano@gmail.com



I'm living in a lovely, gated community, and there are no dirty, fucking, wetbacks blasting their mariachi music until 2 in the morning. My neighbors are mostly all white - Yay!



The house is owned by my boyfriend, James Pendleton, who I met and started dating while we were both working at Apollo. James is the greatest, sweetest man I have ever known and I have to say my life is perfect now. I know I've said that about every guy I've been with, but this time I really mean it. I love him so much, in fact, that I moved to Sahuarita, in the middle of bum fuck Arizona, near the dirty Mexican border, so I can be with him. I even shipped my fucking kids off to their respective fathers' so I can move without having to deal with their stupid whining and so I can have a few weeks alone with my love.



I've also left Apollo Group...well, okay, I was fired. But either way, I got a new job at Carrington College. The pay isn't as good, the people are dumb as rocks, and the students are all Mexicans, but they don't do drug testing so what more can I ask for.

That's all for now. I have a bunch of things to scan and post but I just haven't had the time.

2014-09-02 - Your Comments and Feedback

Apparently people really like being manipulated and taken advantage of, because they keep coming back for more. You would think after so many times, seeing me pout and cry to get my way, or freaking out, screaming, and blaming them for everything when I don't; after listening to me whine about how "all this shit keeps happening to me" and that "it's just so hard to be me"; you would think that they'd get a clue and stop listening, stop giving in. But NO! It turns out you're all just as dumb as I thought and you just keep giving in to what I want.

It's no secret that I get away with murder (literally?) by smiling and talking sweet and pretending to give a shit. Hell, just look at what I get away with in court. But what's truly amazing is that all you dumb-shits just keep giving me another chance. I can publicly declare on this web site that you're all just a bunch of pathetic idiots, and you'll still feel sorry for me and forgive me. And then you'll probably do me a favor.

So here's what I would like: For all the people that I've screwed over over the years; all the people that were duped by my fake sincerity; all the people that I've made promises to then backed out as soon as I got what I wanted and it wasn't convenient for me anymore; all the people that I lied to in order to get them to get them to do something for me; I would like to hear from all those people. Not because I have any sense of guilt or remorse or would ever acknowledge I've done anything wrong to anybody - because I would like to post your grievances on this site for everyone else to see.

So go ahead and speak up you fucking lame-ass losers! Send your emails to desi@desireecapuano.com. It'll be completely anonymous - I promise.

This offer is not open to my children because I refuse to acknowledge what they really think of me - well that and I don't give a fuck!

2014-05-02 - Plumpin' Up! Trailer Park and Damn Proud of it!

Aright! As you can tell from the picture to the left I've been putting on a little weight. Well, okay, a lot of weight. But if you've seen pictures of my mother then you know what to expect. But fuck it! I don't give a shit what you or anyone else thinks. If I wanna get fat I'ma get fat. Besides, I'm done with men so it's not like I have to look good for anyone.

The picture to the left was taken at a recent RV Show and Barbecue competition. And to my ex-husband that keeps insisting I'm trailer park: just because I go to RV shows and barbecue competitions and dress like this and have a prison tat on my ring finger in lieu of an actual wedding ring from my second marriage from which I am now divorced but stuck with the stupid fucking tattoo, doesn't necessarily make me trailer park or white trash. So blow it out your stupid Jew ass, asshole!

Well! That felt good.



2014-03-15 - Kris Sentenced to 8 years



My poor baby is going away for at least eight years. Very sad. One less decent, honest white man on the streets to carry on the struggle for us proud, hard working white people. I guess it's true - Arizona is being overrun by dirty Mexicans and other illegals.

Here's a link to the Arizona Department of Corrections Inmate Page for my honey. [\[Click Here!\]](#)

2014-03-12 - Family Court - My Son Hates Me and is a Spoiled, Ungrateful Bastard!

As some of you may know, I recently had to go to family court in Los Angeles, because my asshole ex-husband is trying to get custody of our son back from me. So what that I abandoned my son when he was only a year and a half so I could go back to Florida to hook up with the guy (Michael Richard Capuano) I

was with when I was a 19 year old stripper; and was completely absent from his life for more than 9 years while I traveled Europe and started a new family with Michael and had another son. I'm his mother and what I want is all that matters!

Well, my son has been with me for over 15 months now because I called DHS on my ex-husband so they'd arrest him and deport him. What did he do to be arrested and deported? Nothing, really. But that doesn't matter - he was in the way of what I wanted. And DHS doesn't give a shit - they'll just lock you up until you admit you did something wrong, even if you didn't. Ah, what a great country we live in. So, my son is finally with me because I got his father, who he had been with all his life, deported. But at court a few weeks ago the ungrateful little prick actually told the court he wants to go back with his father!!! Can you believe that shit? And after all I've done for him?

Of course, his father's been spoiling him, buying him all sorts of needless things like sheets for his bed, a down comforter, shoes, a laptop, teaching him how to shave! I mean, come on! What 13 year old boy needs any of that stuff? And the bank accounts and credit card! That asshole of a father went and opened my son his own bank account which he transfers \$100 into each week, and gave him a credit card on his account which my son can use to buy any necessities which I'm too selfish and/or lazy to provide him. He says he's trying to teach my son to manage his money. Yeah, right! He's just trying to poison my son against me by spoiling him with everything he wants. Children don't need to learn how to manage money - if my son wants anything all he has to do is ask me and I'll say no. That way he stays dependent on my and has to beg me for things and I can manipulate him easier.

So, the little fucker says he wants to go back with his father - even though his father is in Canada now (thanks to me). My son hates me and disrespects me so much that he's rather live in Canada with his bastard father than with me in Phoenix. And it's all because his fucking father filled his head with crap about me. It has to be! There is no other logical explanation, right?

So what happened in court, you ask? Nothing! Not a damn thing! The useless, stupid, fucking court just postponed the hearing until July. But at least now I know what my ungrateful kid thinks of me. Though, it doesn't matter because I just refuse to acknowledge anything that is not in line with what I want it to be.

Anyway, I'm fucking bored! I'm gonna go smoke a big fat bowl, drink a beer, and ignore my fucking kids because that's what kids are for!

2014-02-15 - Income and Expense Declaration

For everyone's curiosity and enjoyment, I've scanned and uploaded the Declaration of Income and Expenses, filed with the family court in January of this year. Attached are four pay stubs. Of particular pride is item number 14, which shows the balances owing on the bed I financed (yes, I financed my bed, because that's what we do in the trailer park), and the payday loan I'm still paying off (and yes, of course I got payday loans from check cashing stores...doesn't everyone?).

[Click here for the actual, signed, declaration filed with the court.](#) Keep in mind, the declaration is made under oath so if anything in it is incorrect then I've committed perjury, which is a felony.

2014-02-10 - Audio Recording of My Arrest

Hey, all! I finally got around to uploading the audio from my arrest on my son's birthday, 2011. As you can hear, the detectives came to my place looking for Kris for that counterfeit money scheme he was involved in and the idiot gave them permission to search the apartment! I'm quite proud of myself - I had the tears streaming and the begging and the dumb-shit cops bought it. But, of course they would, I'm that good.

Here's a link to the part of the arrest where I come in [\[click here\]](#)

And here's a link to the entire interview and arrest - it's mostly Kris talking and the cop searching my bedroom; I come in about half way through [\[click here\]](#)

When I have some time I'll upload the media from the time the Glendale Police executed the search warrant on our home in Peoria. Now that's a performance I'm particularly impressed with. You'll never see someone turn on the person they love as quickly as I turned on Kris. But fuck that, I ain't going to prison.

2014-01-20 - My Mugshot from My Arrest for Possession of Marijuana

Hi, everyone! I haven't updated this site in a while so I thought I'd throw something new on here. Nothing too exciting, just the mugshot of me from my arrest for possession of weed. Stupid, right? In this day and age, arresting people for getting high! Fucking Republicans! Anyway, as you can tell from the mugshot I was quite high at the time and don't remember much of it. Too bad it was on my son's 11th birthday and it fucked up our plans. Oh well, the little bastard has to learn that life's a bitch sometimes.

For those who don't believe this is actually my mugshot, you can download the PDF of the Scottsdale Police Department [here](#).

When I get the chance I'll post the audio recording of the police interview - for you're listening pleasure.

My god, I've lost a lot of hair and gained a lot of weight since then!



2014-01-18 - Richard Choosing to Stay in Canada

I recently received an email from my ex-husband (well, okay, he's not my ex-husband yet because clearly I still love him, otherwise I wouldn't have canceled the divorce proceedings I initiated in Phoenix in 2011, and HE is the one that re-filed for the divorce and HE is the one that is pushing so hard for it), the one I called DHS on and had deported to Canada.

It turns out he's actually considering staying up there, of his own free will, because apparently, things have been going well for him there and the market in Vancouver is better than in the US right now. He says the corporate tax rate in Canada is only 15%, compared to 30% here. He's gotten himself a firearms license so he's actually considering owning firearms there (he can't here because of my filing a false report with DHS - bad me). Those who know him know he's a gun enthusiast.

What's even more astounding is that he's already gotten his Florida birth certificate and resolved the issues he had with DHS. So, he can come back any time. Yet, he's choosing to stay there - in Canada! What the fuck is that about? I mean, its Canada! Fucking igloos and shit. How can anyone even think that anywhere's better than America?

My point is this: I called DHS on him to get his attention and piss him off because, as anyone with pulse can tell, I want him back. Of course, I always say I called DHS so I could get custody of our son, but come on, really now? I already have a kid, and kids are such a nuisance anyway - always needing things and sucking up all your time and money. Things were not supposed to go well for Richard in Canada, dammit! He was supposed to hate it there and realize how much he loves me. He was supposed to come crawling back to me. Well, fuck him and fuck the Universe! The Universe sucks!

2013-11-22 - Medical Marijuana Cards

It seems the State has been cracking down on 24 - 35 year olds claiming to have chronic and debilitating pain caused by fibromyalgia and other unverifiable conditions in order to get their pot legally...oh, shit, wait...I'm one of them. Dammit! Oh well, I guess we all just have to go back to buying our weed from Jose - I guess the dirty fucking illegals are good for something after all, am I right?



2013-10-08 - Useless Education?

So, I finally graduated from University of Phoenix last year with my bachelors in Information Technology - Database Administration (whatever the fuck that means) and here I am still working at the only place that will actually hire a UoP graduate: Apollo Education Group - the parent company of the University of Phoenix. But I guess its okay because I get to call myself a "Product Software Owner" which is a made up title that doesn't mean jack shit. My pay stubs say "Systems Analyst II", so there.



University of Phoenix®

2013-09-08 - Looking for a new job

I don't know how much more of the stupid, whining I can take from the idiots at work. I recently started looking for a new job but so far its been slow going. Apparently the stupid male managers don't think a woman can be a DBA. After all I have a bachelors degree from an accredited university! I mean, come on people, just because I'm a woman doesn't mean I'm going to file a sexual harrassment law suit against you. And just because I smoke pot regularly doesn't mean I'm unreliable. Well, statistically it means that there's a greater probability that I will, but I'm an individual, you assholes! And besides, I graduated from the largest private university in the country (along with a bunch of other people who can't even speak English). I have a degree, therefore, I'm better than all of those other dumb fucks out there.

This site was created and is maintained by the immediate family and/or close personal friends of Desiree.

Everything on this site is intended in good fun. If you take offense to anything then fuck you, I don't give a shit. I have a constitutional right to say what I want as long as its not knowingly false and with the express intention of causing someone harm. If you want to bitch at me because I hurt your feelings then go fuck yourself.



Desiree Capuano

Tweet
G+ 0

[Home](#)[Blogs](#)[Biography](#)[Friends & Associates](#)[Pictures](#)[Fun Stuff](#)[Mail](#)[Apollo Group](#)

Desiree Capuano's Blogs

Systems Analyst, Pothead, Meth User, Child Abuser, and Believer in White Supremacy

Recent Posts

- [Green Valley Hospital, Career Prospects, and this Website](#)
- [The California Family Court is Biased and Hates Me](#)
- [Feedback From My Loving Friends and Fans](#)
- [The Ridiculousness of My Harassment Claims Against Richard](#)
- [James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)

Recent Comments

- [boom beach hack no survey mac on James' Attempts to Get This Site Taken Down](#)
- [Chris Sweeney on James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)
- [Tristan on Good Parent or Fascist, Sadistic Dictator?](#)
- [nastysnatch on James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)
- [mikej on James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)

Archives

- [October 2015](#)
- [September 2015](#)
- [August 2015](#)
- [July 2015](#)
- [September 2014](#)
- [May 2014](#)
- [March 2014](#)
- [February 2014](#)
- [January 2014](#)

Green Valley Hospital, Career Prospects, and this Website

We're going to break from the usual practice of writing in the first person, from the perspective of Desiree, for the purposes of this post. Mainly because it wouldn't make much sense otherwise. Recently, while looking through the access logs of our wonderful homage to Miss Capuano (that is, this website), I noticed a curious [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

The California Family Court is Biased and Hates Me

In early September I filed a petition in the Los Angeles Superior Court to suspend my son's (Gabriel) visitation with his father (Richard). You may be asking "Was he abusive? Neglectful? Did he put the child in danger in any way?" No. Quite to the contrary, Richard is a better parent than most — certainly [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

Feedback From My Loving Friends and Fans

The world is full of loving, caring people and I've had the good fortune of meeting many of them. In this post, I'd like to share with you some of the wonderful feedback I've received from of the people who have been fortunate enough to have known me. "Desiree, you're a dirty, skanky, user. You [...]"

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

The Ridiculousness of My Harassment Claims Against Richard

Back in the summer of 2014 I contacted the Phoenix Police and filed a harassment claim against Richard. In my usual fashion, I portrayed myself as the poor, helpless, innocent victim who had done nothing wrong and

- [November 2013](#)
- [August 2013](#)

Categories

- [Uncategorized](#)

Meta

- [Register](#)
- [Log in](#)
- [Entries RSS](#)
- [Comments RSS](#)
- [WordPress.org](#)

who was being maliciously targeted. Obviously, there was nothing the Phoenix Police could do since Richard was living [...]

By [Desiree](#) | [Uncategorized](#)

[Read More >](#)

James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal

I hear a lot of people who have known James, asking "How could he get involved with someone like me? He's smarter than that. He knows better." Let us begin by agreeing on a few critical facts: Drug users will always prefer to be in relationships with other drug users – and preferably, users of [...]

By [Desiree](#) | [Uncategorized](#)

[Read More >](#)

Who the Fuck is Richard Riess and Why Do I Hate Him So Much?

January 2000. I'm just another 19 year old druggie from the trailer parks of Florida. Recently arrested for public indecency for being naked in the strip club I was working at, kicked out of my father's home for being a chronic fuck up; living with my equally fucked up mom, in Phoenix. One night I'm [...]

By [Desiree](#) | [Uncategorized](#)

[Read More >](#)

James' Attempts to Get This Site Taken Down

Anybody with half a brain should be able to realize fairly quickly that this site is not maintained by me. Even though the content is generally written in the first person, there is a very clear statement at the bottom of each page clarifying that the site was created and is maintained by the immediate [...]

By [Desiree](#) | [Uncategorized](#)

[Read More >](#)

I Am a Wonderful Mother!

A good, long time friend recently came to my defence, in a comment to one of my blog posts. She referred to me as a "wonderful mother". And you know what? I AM a wonderful mother! In this post, for those who don't know me yet, we'll review just how wonderful of a mother I [...]

By [Desiree](#) | [Uncategorized](#)

[Read More >](#)

Why Do My Own Words Keep Biting Me In the Ass?

Recently I talked about how I told Richard that since I cannot make ICE do anything, then he cannot say that I had him deported – regardless of the fact that I was the one that called ICE and filed a false and malicious report with them. Once again, my own words have come back [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

Everything on This Site is Completely, 100% True and Accurate

Sometimes, when I meet someone new, they ask me why on Earth I would create a website about myself. I usually tell them I have absolutely nothing to do with this website; that this website is the creation and ongoing pursuit of my ass-hole ex-husband who is just interested in trying to make my life [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

How I Rationalize My Deplorable Actions, So I Don't Hate Myself

Recently, while arguing with Richard, he pointed out that nothing he has done with respect to me has been anywhere near as egregious as what I had done by having him deported. I responded that I can't MAKE ICE do ANYTHING! The fact that I called ICE and filed a false report against him (claiming [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

My Boyfriend is Ashamed of Me

I have been relegated to the status of "moped". My loving boyfriend, James Pendleton, who doesn't mind me moving into his house with my two sons from two different fathers, who doesn't mind me being a slob and never cleaning, who doesn't mind all my nasty, white trash ways, has asked that he not be [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

Good Parent or Fascist, Sadistic Dictator?

Despite all of my concerted efforts to keep Richard from finding out my new address and that I've moved in with yet another in my endless stream of dead end boyfriends, it turned out he already knew everything – as he usually does. Nevertheless, it seemed as good a time and reason as any to [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

Michael Capuano Arreseted for Smackin' His Bitch Up!

You all remember that I was married to Michael Richard Capuano from 2001 until 2010, right? And you remember how I always used to say he was the greatest man I'd ever been with, right? I mean, that I stayed with him for 9 years and had a child with him should tell you something, [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

I've Had My Husband Arrested...Again!

I got an email from Richard (yes, we're still married) on the weekend, where he points out that James Pendleton, my current boyfriend that I just moved in with publicly claims to have "Top Secret Security" clearance and that if that's true then James is in violation by cohabiting with me because of my drug [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

My New Home and Job

As you may know, I never stay at one residence for more than 2 years. And, since I was nearing the two year mark at the place on 44th Street, it was time to move. My new home is now in Sahuarita, AZ. My address is now: 250 E. Placita Lago del Mago Sahuarita, AZ, [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

Your Comments and Feedback

Apparently people really like being manipulated and taken advantage of, because they keep coming back for more. You would think after so many times, seeing me pout and cry to get my way, or freaking out, screaming, and blaming them for everything when I don't; after listening to me whine about how "all this shit [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

Plumpin' Up! Trailer Park and Damn Proud of it!

Alright! As you can tell from the picture to the left I've been putting on a little weight. Well, okay, a lot of weight. But if you've seen pictures of my mother then you know what to expect. But fuck it! I don't give a shit what you or anyone else thinks. If I wanna [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

Kris Sentenced to 8 years

My poor baby is going away for at least eight years. Very sad. One less decent, honest white man on the streets to carry on the struggle for us proud, hard working white people. I guess its true -- Arizona is being overrun by dirty Mexicans and other illegals. Here's a link to the Arizona [...]

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

Family Court – My Son Hates Me and is a Spoiled, Ungrateful Bastard!

As some of you may know, I recently had to go to family court in Los Angeles, because my asshole ex-husband is trying to get custody of our son back from me. So what that I abandoned my son when he was only a year and a half so I could go back to Florida [...]

By [Desiree](#) | [Uncategorized](#)

[Read More >](#)

[1 2 Next Page »](#)

This site was created and is maintained by the immediate family and/or close personal friends of Desiree.

Everything on this site is intended in good fun. If you take offense to anything then fuck you, I don't give a shit. I have a constitutional right to say what I want as long as its not knowingly false and with the express intention of causing someone harm. If you want to bitch at me because I hurt your feelings then go fuck yourself.



Desiree Capuano

[Home](#)
[Blogs](#)
[Biography](#)
[Friends & Associates](#)
[Pictures](#)
[Fun Stuff](#)
[Mail](#)
[Apollo Group](#)
[Tweet](#)
[G+](#)


Desiree Capuano's Blogs

Systems Analyst, Pothead, Meth User, Child Abuser, and Believer in White Supremacy

Recent Posts

- [Green Valley Hospital, Career Prospects, and this Website](#)
- [The California Family Court is Biased and Hates Me](#)
- [Feedback From My Loving Friends and Fans](#)
- [The Ridiculousness of My Harassment Claims Against Richard](#)
- [James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)

Recent Comments

- boom beach hack no survey mac on [James' Attempts to Get This Site Taken Down](#)
- Chris Sweeney on [James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)
- Tristan on [Good Parent or Fascist, Sadistic Dictator?](#)
- nastysnatch on [James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)
- mikej on [James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)

Archives

- [October 2015](#)
- [September 2015](#)
- [August 2015](#)
- [July 2015](#)
- [September 2014](#)
- [May 2014](#)
- [March 2014](#)
- [February 2014](#)
- [January 2014](#)

Green Valley Hospital, Career Prospects, and this Website

We're going to break from the usual practice of writing in the first person, from the perspective of Desiree, for the purposes of this post. Mainly because it wouldn't make much sense otherwise.

Recently, while looking through the access logs of our wonderful homage to Miss Capuano (that is, this website), I noticed a curious amount of hits originating from Green Valley Hospital. In particular, on September 30th, at 2:36pm, someone at Green Valley Hospital took about 45 minutes out of their day to review a significant amount of the content herein. They read some blog posts, spent a few minutes on the "Pictures" page looking at the collection of pictures of Desiree's home, bedroom, bathroom, her medical marijuana card, her in bed...well, you get the idea.

I thought that a bit interesting, so just to be thorough, I hopped on Indeed.com and did a quick search of job postings for Green Valley Hospital. Sure enough, I found a posting for a [Systems Analyst](#). Though, admittedly, from the description provided it sounds more like a Support Technician than a Systems Analyst.

My first assumption was that Desiree had applied for the position and the astute folks at Green Valley, in performing their due diligence, had happened across this site.

But then I noticed more activity from Green Valley Hospital, on October 6th, at 9:17am. This time they didn't spend very long – just a few minutes. Loaded the home page, read the blog post [Feedback From My Loving Friends and Fans](#), then called it a day. Nothing to warrant much consideration.

And then, just today, at 2:48pm, we see another visit from someone at Green Valley Hospital. Again, a short visit – mostly just read a few of the emails on the "Mail" page.

So all of this has got me thinking: Is our good friend, Desiree, hoping to get the Systems Analyst job at Green Valley Hospital in Tucson? And would the fine people at Green Valley Hospital make an offer of employment to a self-proclaimed chronic drug user, like Desiree? If so, what does that tell you about Green Valley Hospital as an employer? Had they gone to the website one time then said "This bitch is a flake. We don't want people like this working here.", then never returned to the site that would have made sense. But the fact that they have returned to the site on numerous occasions would lead us to believe they actually are considering hiring Desiree. Either that or they're getting a good laugh at the fact that someone (Desiree) can be so fucked up on drugs that they know this website exists, yet they do absolutely nothing affirmative to rectify the predicament.

Or, perhaps it's purely coincidental that Green Valley Hospital is looking for a Systems Analyst and Desiree's most recent job title was Systems Analyst (albeit, at Apollo Education Group, so her experience doesn't count for much, and her degree is from University of Phoenix – so her education is essentially meaningless as well).

Perhaps someone who works at Green Valley Hospital just happened to see one of the Google ads I've been running, promoting this site and thought "What a complete, fucking idiot this Desiree is.", and felt an overwhelming compulsion to use their employer's resources to learn more about Miss Capuano.

- [November 2013](#)
- [August 2013](#)

Categories

- [Uncategorized](#)

Meta

- [Register](#)
- [Log in](#)
- [Entries RSS](#)
- [Comments RSS](#)
- [WordPress.org](#)

One thing is for certain: Any company that would hire a person as a Systems Analyst, after having visited this site; after seeing the hard proof of Desiree's chronic drug use, emotional and psychological instability, incredible narcissism, history of consistently making poor judgements; proof of her using drugs while at work and having sexual relations with multiple co-workers...well, that's a company you probably don't want to work for.

– Richard

By [Desiree](#) | [Uncategorized](#)

[Read More >](#)

Leave a Reply

Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked *

Name *

Email *

Website

This site was created and is maintained by the immediate family and/or close personal friends of Desiree.

Everything on this site is intended in good fun. If you take offense to anything then fuck you, I don't give a shit. I have a constitutional right to say what I want as long as it's not knowingly false and with the express intention of causing someone harm. If you want to bitch at me because I hurt your feelings then go fuck yourself.



Desiree Capuano

[Home](#)[Blogs](#)[Biography](#)[Friends & Associates](#)[Pictures](#)[Fun Stuff](#)[Mail](#)[Apollo Group](#)




Desiree Capuano's Blogs

Systems Analyst, Pothead, Meth User, Child Abuser, and Believer in White Supremacy

Recent Posts

- [Green Valley Hospital, Career Prospects, and this Website](#)
- [The California Family Court is Biased and Hates Me](#)
- [Feedback From My Loving Friends and Fans](#)
- [The Ridiculousness of My Harassment Claims Against Richard](#)
- [James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)

Recent Comments

- boom beach hack no survey map on [James' Attempts to Get This Site Taken Down](#)
- Chris Sweeney on [James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)
- Tristan on [Good Parent or Fascist, Sadistic Dictator?](#)
- nastysnatch on [James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)
- mikej on [James' Decline from Model Member of Society to Drug Addict and Petty Criminal](#)

Archives

- [October 2015](#)
- [September 2015](#)
- [August 2015](#)
- [July 2015](#)
- [September 2014](#)
- [May 2014](#)
- [March 2014](#)
- [February 2014](#)
- [January 2014](#)

The California Family Court is Biased and Hates Me

In early September I filed a petition in the Los Angeles Superior Court to suspend my son's (Gabriel) visitation with his father (Richard). You may be asking "Was he abusive? Neglectful? Did he put the child in danger in any way?" No. Quite to the contrary, Richard is a better parent than most – certainly he's a million times better at being a parent than I am. Truth is he just pisses me off because he doesn't bow down and kiss my ass. I'm Desiree fucking Capuano, damn it! The whole fucking world is supposed to do as I say and always agree with me! Is that not reason enough?

In my supporting declaration, I listed a bunch of reasons why Gabriel should not be allowed to visit with Richard. And, of course, being the incredible narcissist I am, every one of those reasons was about me. Fuck Gabriel and what's best for him. I mean, what's the point in having children if you can't use them as a means to get back at the other parent – am I right?

So Richard, asshole that he is, filed a response, stating that all of the points I raised were about conflicts between him and I and have nothing to do with Gabriel or with Gabriel's well being or safety while in Richard's care. Oh come on! As if. I mean, if Richard does something that pisses me off – even if it's the exact same thing I did to him two years ago, shouldn't I be allowed to use our son to hurt him? If you disagree with me on this then fuck you – the only opinion that matters is mine. You're all just a bunch of fucking idiots anyway.

But Richard didn't stop there. He requested that the court allow Gabriel to decide with whom he would like to live. As I've said on numerous occasions: I'm his mother, damn it, and I will decide what's best for him and where he will live. It makes no difference that I abandoned him when he was 18 months old and had nothing to do with him until he was 11. Or that the only reason he's with me now is because I called ICE and had his father deported.

But, anyway, as it turns out, the family court actually "rejected" my request before they even received Richard's response. "Rejected"! Not dismissed – "rejected". What the fuck does that mean? Could it be the court has finally realized how incredibly full of shit I am and they aren't even going to bother listening to what I say? Did they actually check with the "authorities" in Canada and find out that all of my "sworn statements" in my declaration were false? Can it really be true that people only buy my shit for so long until they begin to realize that I am a habitual liar?

No. That can't be right. The only reasonable explanation is that Richard secretly passed false information about me to the court and turned them against me. Obviously there is a conspiracy at work here. Obviously the court just has some unexplainable bias toward Richard.

Now I'm sure Richard is going to file a petition requesting the court allow Gabriel to choose where he lives. And of course, Gabriel is going to say he wants to live with his father. The whole fucking world is against me. I don't understand why this keeps happening to me. Well fuck him! Until the court actually orders me to allow Gabriel to visit with Richard there will be no visitation! There is no way I will let Gabriel have what he wants as long as what he wants is to not be with me!

• November 2013

• August 2013

Categories

• Uncategorized

Meta

• Register

• Log in

• Entries RSS

• Comments RSS

• WordPress.org

By Desiree | Uncategorized

[Read More >](#)

Leave a Reply

Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked *

Name *

Email *

Website

Submit Comment

This site was created and is maintained by the immediate family and/or close personal friends of Desiree.

Everything on this site is intended in good fun. If you take offense to anything then fuck you, I don't give a shit. I have a constitutional right to say what I want as long as its not knowingly false and with the express intention of causing someone harm. If you want to bitch at me because I hurt your feelings then go fuck yourself.





The Provincial Court
of British Columbia

DO NOT PURGE – RETAIN WITH FILE

**PRODUCTION ORDER/SEARCH WARRANT
APPLICATION
REASONS FOR DECISION**

Date: 14 February 2017
To: Cst. L. Smith, RCMP, Burnaby
From: F.D. Hodge, Judicial Justice

REASONS:

Your application to revoke a previously granted Production Order and to approve another Production Order on the identical facts and subject is denied.

Pursuant to the Criminal Code, only the Justice who issued the original Order or any Provincial Court Judge can revoke or vary that Order. In this case the issuing Justice was Judicial Justice Adair. Judicial Justice Adair is not sitting here at the Justice Centre this week.

While the first Production Order is in effect it is not proper to issue another order on the identical facts and subject. Accordingly, your request for a new Production Order must be denied while the original Order remains in effect.


F.D. Hodge, Judicial Justice



Police File Number: 2016-25379
Court File Number: 244069
Court Registry: Vancouver

SEALING ORDER

CANADA: Province of British Columbia

Pursuant to section 487.3 of the *Criminal Code*

UPON THE EX PARTE APPLICATION in writing made this day by Constable Leslie Smith, a peace officer of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, for an Order to prohibit access and disclosure of all court records filed in support of Production Order issued on February 14, 2017;

AND UPON reading the Information to Obtain of Constable Leslie Smith, of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, affirmed and filed in support of the Production Order, pursuant to section 487.014 of the Criminal Code; and an Amended Order to Seal the material in support of and resulting from that application pursuant to Section 487.3 of the Criminal Code;

AND UPON being satisfied that the ends of justice would be subverted by the access to, and disclosure of a portion of the records as identified in the Information to Obtain relating to the above-mentioned Production Order for one or more of the reasons listed in Section 487.3(2) of the Criminal Code, which outweighs in importance the access to the information;

THIS COURT ORDERS that a portion of the records as identified in the Information to Obtain relating to the above-mentioned Production Order, including the materials in support of this Application be sealed and kept in a secure place within the Vancouver Court Registry located at 222 Main Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, until further ordered by this Court;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, the Attorney General of British Columbia or his agent may possess, examine, edit and copy the above mentioned Information to Obtain and may disclose edited copies for the purpose of disclosure to the defense counsel.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any party may apply to the Justice or Judge who made the order or a Judge of the court to set aside or vary this order, on three (3) clear days notice being given to the Attorney General of British Columbia, Crown Counsel, or an agent for the Attorney General of Canada at 222 Main Street, Vancouver, British Columbia.

Dated this 14th day of February, 2017 at Burnaby, in the Province of British Columbia.

A Justice in and for the
Province of British Columbia

Canada: Province of British Columbia

**Production Order Pursuant to
Subsection 487.014(3) of the *Criminal Code***

To: The Canadian Broadcasting Corporation ("CBC"), located at 700 Hamilton Street, Vancouver, BC Canada, and any employee, servant or agent of the CBC.

WHEREAS I am satisfied by information on oath in writing of Constable Leslie Smith, a Peace Officer, affirmed on the 14th day of February, 2017;

AND UPON BEING SATISFIED that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the following offence has been committed, namely:

- i. Criminal Harassment contrary to Section 264(1) of the Criminal Code

AND THAT the following document and data is in your possession and will afford evidence respecting the commission of the offence:

- ii. A copy of all audio and video recordings of Patrick FOX, published on the CBC website on or about February 18th, 2016, as this will afford evidence respecting the commission of the offence

THEREFORE, you are ordered to:

- i. Produce a document on CD or DVD that is a copy of the said data, that is in your possession or control when you receive this order; or
- ii. Prepare and produce a document on CD or DVD containing the said data specified that is in your possession or control when you receive this order;

- iii. The document and data must be produced to Constable Leslie Smith within 30 days of the granting of this order at 6355 Deer Lake Avenue, Burnaby, British Columbia in the following form: in a legible, readable and/or otherwise accessible and usable form, certified by an attached affidavit to be a true copy of the document and data.

This order is subject to the following conditions:

- i. You have the right to apply to revoke or vary this order.
- ii. If you contravene this order without lawful excuse, you may be subject to a fine, to imprisonment or to both.
- iii. This document and data you are ordered to produce, may be produced on or through an electro-magnetic medium.

Dated this 14th day of February, 2017,
at Burnaby, in the Province of British Columbia.

Signature of Justice or Judge

**IMPORTANT INFORMATION RELATING TO
PRESERVATION ORDERS (s. 487.013) and
PRODUCTION ORDERS (s. 487.014 to s. 487.018)**

Effect of Orders made under s. 487.013 to 487.018

Section 487.019(2) *Criminal Code* provides that:

The order has effect throughout Canada, and for greater certainty, no endorsement is needed for the order to be effective in a territorial division that is not the one in which the order is made.

Application to Revoke or Vary an Order Prohibiting Disclosure

Section 487.0191(4) *Criminal Code* provides that:

A peace officer of a public officer or a person, financial institution or entity that is subject to an order made under subsection (1) may apply in writing to the justice or judge who made the order – or to a judge in the judicial district where the order was made – to revoke or vary the order.

Application for Review of Production Order

Section 487.0193(1) *Criminal Code* provides that:

Before they are required by an order made under any sections 487.014 to 487.018 to produce a document, a person, financial institution or entity may apply in writing to the justice or judge who made the order – or to a judge in the judicial district where the order was made – to revoke or vary the order.

Application to the Court

Applications to the court must be made in writing and filed at the Court Registry indicated on the front of this order.

The application may be made only if notice of your intention to apply to review the order, is given to peace officer or public officer named in the order within 30 days after

the day on which the order is made.

Self-Incrimination

Section 487.0196 *Criminal Code* provides that:

No one is excused from complying with an order made under any of sections 487.014 to 487.018 on the ground that the document that they are required to produce may tend to incriminate them or subject them to a proceeding or penalty. However, no document that an individual is required to prepare may be used or received in evidence against them in a criminal proceeding that is subsequently instituted against them, other than a prosecution for an offence under section 132, 136 or 137.

Offences

Section 487.0198 – Preservation or Production Order

A person, financial institution or entity that contravenes an order made under any of section 487.013 to 487.108 without lawful excuse is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction and is liable to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than six months, or to both.

Section 487.011 – Destruction of Preserved Data

A person who contravenes section 487.019 without lawful excuse is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.

This is Exhibit "A" referred to in the affidavit of Cst. Leslie Smith

Exhibit "A"

Sworn before me at Burnaby
this 11th day of March, 2017.

Canada: Province of British Columbia

D. Cinther
A Commissioner for taking Affidavits
within the Province of British Columbia
Justice of the Peace

**Production Order Pursuant to
Subsection 487.014(3) of the Criminal Code**

To: The Canadian Broadcasting Corporation ("CBC"), located at 700 Hamilton Street, Vancouver, BC Canada, and any employee, servant or agent of the CBC.

WHEREAS I am satisfied by information on oath in writing of Constable Leslie Smith, a Peace Officer, sworn on the 7th day of October, 2016;

AND UPON BEING SATISFIED that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the following offence has been committed, namely:

- i. Criminal Harassment contrary to Section 264(1) of the Criminal Code

AND THAT the following document and data is in your possession and will afford evidence respecting the commission of the offence:

- ii. A copy of the video recorded interview, between Patrick FOX ("FOX") and Natalie CLANCY ("CLANCY") that was published on February 18th, 2016, as this will afford evidence respecting the commission of the offence

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

THEREFORE, you are ordered to:

- i. Produce a document on CD or DVD that is a copy of the said data, that is in your possession or control when you receive this order; or
- ii. Prepare and produce a document on CD or DVD containing the said data specified that is in your possession or control when you receive this order;

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

MAR 11 2017

Justice of the Peace

This order is
revoked by me,
this date.

D. Brent Adair
Judicial Justice
March 15, 2017

- iii. The document and data must be produced to Constable Leslie Smith within 30 days of the granting of this order at 6355 Deer Lake Avenue, Burnaby, British Columbia in the following form: in a legible, readable and/or otherwise accessible and usable form, certified by an attached affidavit to be a true copy of the document and data.


This order is subject to the following conditions:

- i. You have the right to apply to revoke or vary this order.
- ii. If you contravene this order without lawful excuse, you may be subject to a fine, to imprisonment or to both.
- iii. This document and data you are ordered to produce, may be produced on or through an electro-magnetic medium.

*This order is revoked
by me this date*

D. Brent Adair
Judicial Justice
March 11, 2017

Dated this 7th day of October, 2016,
at Burnaby, in the Province of British Columbia.



D. Brent Adair, Q.C.
Judicial Justice

Signature of Justice or Judge

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

MAR 11 2017


Justice of the Peace

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

OCT 07 2016


Justice of the Peace

**IMPORTANT INFORMATION RELATING TO
PRESERVATION ORDERS (s. 487.013) and
PRODUCTION ORDERS (s. 487.014 to s. 487.018)**

Effect of Orders made under s. 487.013 to 487.018

Section 487.019(2) *Criminal Code* provides that:

The order has effect throughout Canada, and for greater certainty, no endorsement is needed for the order to be effective in a territorial division that is not the one in which the order is made.

Application to Revoke or Vary an Order Prohibiting Disclosure

Section 487.0191(4) *Criminal Code* provides that:

A peace officer of a public officer or a person, financial institution or entity that is subject to an order made under subsection (1) may apply in writing to the justice or judge who made the order – or to a judge in the judicial district where the order was made – to revoke or vary the order.

Application for Review of Production Order


Section 487.0193(1) *Criminal Code* provides that:

Before they are required by an order made under any sections 487.014 to 487.018 to produce a document, a person, financial institution or entity may apply in writing to the justice or judge who made the order – or to a judge in the judicial district where the order was made – to revoke or vary the order.

Application to the Court

Applications to the court must be made in writing and filed at the Court Registry indicated on the front of this order.

The application may be made only if notice of your intention to apply to review the order, is given to peace officer or public officer named in the order within 30 days after


Brent Adair, Q.C.
Judicial Justice

the day on which the order is made.

Self-Incrimination

Section 487.0196 *Criminal Code* provides that:

No one is excused from complying with an order made under any of sections 487.014 to 487.018 on the ground that the document that they are required to produce may tend to incriminate them or subject them to a proceeding or penalty. However, no document that an individual is required to prepare may be used or received in evidence against them in a criminal proceeding that is subsequently instituted against them, other than a prosecution for an offence under section 132, 136 or 137.

Offences

Section 487.0198 – Preservation or Production Order

A person, financial institution or entity that contravenes an order made under any of section 487.013 to 487.108 without lawful excuse is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction and is liable to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than six months, or to both.

Section 487.011 – Destruction of Preserved Data

A person who contravenes section 487.019 without lawful excuse is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.


D. Brent Adair, Q.C.
Criminal Justice

Canada: Province of British Columbia

**Production Order Pursuant to
Subsection 487.014(3) of the *Criminal Code***

To: The Canadian Broadcasting Corporation ("CBC"), located at 700 Hamilton Street, Vancouver, BC Canada, and any employee, servant or agent of the CBC.

WHEREAS I am satisfied by information on oath in writing of Constable Leslie Smith, a Peace Officer, affirmed on the 11th day of March, 2017;

AND UPON BEING SATISFIED that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the following offence has been committed, namely:

- i. Criminal Harassment contrary to Section 264(1) of the Criminal Code

AND THAT the following document and data is in your possession and will afford evidence respecting the commission of the offence:

- ii. A copy of all audio and video recordings of Patrick FOX, published on the CBC website on or about February 18th, 2016, as this will afford evidence respecting the commission of the offence

THEREFORE, you are ordered to:

- i. Produce a document on CD or DVD that is a copy of the said data, that is in your possession or control when you receive this order; or
- ii. Prepare and produce a document on CD or DVD containing the said data specified that is in your possession or control when you receive this order;


D. Brent Adair
Judicial Justice

- iii. The document and data must be produced to Constable Leslie Smith within 30 days of the granting of this order at 6355 Deer Lake Avenue, Burnaby, British Columbia in the following form: in a legible, readable and/or otherwise accessible and usable form, certified by an attached affidavit to be a true copy of the document and data.

This order is subject to the following conditions:

- i. You have the right to apply to revoke or vary this order.
- ii. If you contravene this order without lawful excuse, you may be subject to a fine, to imprisonment or to both.
- iii. This document and data you are ordered to produce, may be produced on or through an electro-magnetic medium.

Dated this 11th day of March, 2017,
at ~~Vancouver~~, in the Province of British Columbia.
Burnaby

 **D. Brent Adair**
Judicial Justice

Signature of Judicial Justice

**IMPORTANT INFORMATION RELATING TO
PRESERVATION ORDERS (s. 487.013) and
PRODUCTION ORDERS (s. 487.014 to s. 487.018)**

Effect of Orders made under s. 487.013 to 487.018

Section 487.019(2) *Criminal Code* provides that:

The order has effect throughout Canada, and for greater certainty, no endorsement is needed for the order to be effective in a territorial division that is not the one in which the order is made.

Application to Revoke or Vary an Order Prohibiting Disclosure

Section 487.0191(4) *Criminal Code* provides that:

A peace officer of a public officer or a person, financial institution or entity that is subject to an order made under subsection (1) may apply in writing to the justice or judge who made the order – or to a judge in the judicial district where the order was made – to revoke or vary the order.

Application for Review of Production Order

Section 487.0193(1) *Criminal Code* provides that:

Before they are required by an order made under any sections 487.014 to 487.018 to produce a document, a person, financial institution or entity may apply in writing to the justice or judge who made the order – or to a judge in the judicial district where the order was made – to revoke or vary the order.

Application to the Court

Applications to the court must be made in writing and filed at the Court Registry indicated on the front of this order.

The application may be made only if notice of your intention to apply to review the order, is given to peace officer or public officer named in the order within 30 days after

the day on which the order is made.

Self-Incrimination

Section 487.0196 *Criminal Code* provides that:

No one is excused from complying with an order made under any of sections 487.014 to 487.018 on the ground that the document that they are required to produce may tend to incriminate them or subject them to a proceeding or penalty. However, no document that an individual is required to prepare may be used or received in evidence against them in a criminal proceeding that is subsequently instituted against them, other than a prosecution for an offence under section 132, 136 or 137.

Offences

Section 487.0198 – Preservation or Production Order

A person, financial institution or entity that contravenes an order made under any of section 487.013 to 487.108 without lawful excuse is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction and is liable to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than six months, or to both.

Section 487.011 – Destruction of Preserved Data

A person who contravenes section 487.019 without lawful excuse is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction.



CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

MAR 11 2017

Justice of the Peace

Police File Number: 2016-25379
Court File Number: 244069
Court Registry: Vancouver

SEALING ORDER

CANADA: Province of British Columbia
Pursuant to section 487.3 of the *Criminal Code*

UPON THE EX PARTE APPLICATION in writing made this day by Constable Leslie Smith, a peace officer of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, for an Order to prohibit access and disclosure of all court records filed in support of Production Order issued on March 11, 2017;

AND UPON reading the Information to Obtain of Constable Leslie Smith, of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, affirmed and filed in support of the Production Order, pursuant to section 487.014 of the Criminal Code; and an Amended Order to Seal the material in support of and resulting from that application pursuant to Section 487.3 of the Criminal Code;

AND UPON being satisfied that the ends of justice would be subverted by the access to, and disclosure of a portion of the records as identified in the Information to Obtain relating to the above-mentioned Production Order for one or more of the reasons listed in Section 487.3(2) of the Criminal Code, which outweighs in importance the access to the information;

THIS COURT ORDERS that a portion of the records as identified in the Information to Obtain relating to the above-mentioned Production Order, including the materials in support of this Application be sealed and kept in a secure place within the Vancouver Court Registry located at 222 Main Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, until further ordered by this Court;




D. Brent Adair
Judicial Justice

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, the Attorney General of British Columbia or his agent may possess, examine, edit and copy the above mentioned Information to Obtain and may disclose edited copies for the purpose of disclosure to the defense counsel.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any party may apply to the Justice or Judge who made the order or a Judge of the court to set aside or vary this order, on three (3) clear days notice being given to the Attorney General of British Columbia, Crown Counsel, or an agent for the Attorney General of Canada at 222 Main Street, Vancouver, British Columbia.

Burnaby
Dated this 11th day of March, 2017 at ~~Vancouver~~, in the Province of British Columbia.


D. Brent Adair
Judicial Justice

A Judicial Justice in and for the
Province of British Columbia

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

MAR 11 2017

Justice of the Peace

Form 5.0081
Form 5.004

Canada: Province of British Columbia

**IN THE MATTER of an Information to Revoke an Order Made under
Section 487.019(3) of the *Criminal Code*;**

and

**IN THE MATTER of an Application for a Production Order
Pursuant to Subsection 487.014(2) of the *Criminal Code*;**

and

**IN THE MATTER of an Application for an Amended Order to Seal
the Material in Support of and Resulting from this Application
Pursuant to Section 487.3 of the *Criminal Code*.**

Information

I, Constable Leslie Smith, a peace officer, of the City of Burnaby, in the Province of British Columbia, MAKE AFFIRMATION AND SAY THAT:

1. I am a Peace Officer and have been a member of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police ("RCMP"), since January, 2015. I am a Constable with the Burnaby General Duty unit and have been attached to that section since March 2015.
2. It is in the discharge of my duties that I have come to have personal knowledge of the facts hereafter stated, except where stated to be based upon information and belief, and where so stated, I believe them to be true.

OFFENCES:

3. I have reasonable grounds to believe that the following offences have been committed, namely:
 - a. Criminal Harassment contrary to Section 264(1) of the *Criminal Code*.
(the “Named Offence”)

PURPOSE OF APPLICATION:

4. This Information to Obtain is affirmed in support of Judicial Authorizations :
 - a. **A General Production Order:** ordering the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation (the “CBC”) located at 700 Hamilton Street, Vancouver, British Columbia V6B 2R5 to produce a copy of all audio and video recordings of Patrick FOX, published on the CBC website on or about February 18th, 2016, pursuant to s. 487.014 of the *Criminal Code*.
 - b. **Sealing Order:** prohibiting access by interested parties and members of the public to portions of the Court Records relating to the application and proposed orders pursuant to s. 487.3 of the *Criminal Code*.
 - c. **Order to Revoke a Production Order:** issued on October 7, 2016 by Judicial Justice D. Brent ADAIR (“ADAIR”), pursuant to s. 487.019(3) of the *Criminal Code*.

Investigational Summary

5. In June of 2015, an initial investigation was started by the Burnaby RCMP into the harassment of Desiree CAPUANO ("CAPUANO"). CAPUANO reported on-going harassment by her ex-husband, Patrick FOX ("FOX"). CAPUANO stated that FOX had recently changed his name from Richard RIESS and that they have a child together. CAPUANO lives in Arizona, and FOX lives in Burnaby.
6. CAPUANO provided a large number of emails between her and FOX, along with a website address (www.desireecapitano.com) that FOX had created. The website was written from the perspective of CAPUANO and contained a large amount of personal information, including her address, phone number and personal email, police reports, photographs, social media information, and "blogs" about her life. CAPUANO said that the harassment started over a custody battle between her and FOX in relation to their son, S. 487.3(2)(a)(iv) C.C.
7. On July 20th, 2015, FOX was arrested for Criminal Harassment and a statement was taken from him where he told police that he wanted to ruin and destroy CAPUANO's life, legally. Police recommended charges, however these were not approved by Crown Counsel as based the actual comments used by FOX in this case, they could not conclude that that would cause CAPUANO to have an objective fear for her personal safety, and the fact that they live in different countries played a role in that assessment.
8. In February of 2016, FOX gave a video recorded interview to Natalie CLANCY ("CLANCY") of the CBC, which was posted on the CBC website. In the interview, FOX stated he would have "no qualms" about shooting CAPUANO, if it meant he wouldn't go to jail. FOX also discussed the website that he had created in CAPUANO's name, stating his overall goal was for her to be destitute and homeless and he promised himself that he would devote his life to destroy hers.

9. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
10. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
11. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
12. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
13. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
14. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

15. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
16. Crown Counsel has approved charges against FOX related to the criminal harassment of CAPUANO and possession in an unauthorized place *[firearms]*. FOX has been held in custody since his arrest and a trial is being set.
17. On October 7, 2016, Judicial Justice ADAIR granted a Production Order, requiring the CBC to produce CLANCY'S interview of FOX (the "October 7, 2016 Production Order") . The Production Order was served on the CBC on October 11, 2016. On October 26, 2016 counsel for CBC, Daniel W. Burnett, Q.C., wrote to me and to Judicial Justice D. Brent Adair, Q.C. providing notice of CBC's intention to make an application to revoke or vary the Production Order and unseal the Information to Obtain sworn in support of the Production Order.
18. Following receipt of Mr. Burnett's letter and in consultation with RCMP legal counsel I determined that the Information to Obtain that I affirmed in support of my application made on October 7, 2016, did not include a full consideration of the special role of the media that should be considered when seeking production orders directed to the media. As a result, I am applying to revoke the October 7, 2016 Production Order and Sealing Order, and submit an amended Information to Obtain for a new Production Order and Sealing Order.
19. On February 14, 2017 I made an application to revoke the Production Order previously granted by Judicial Justice ADAIR on October 7, 2016 along with a new Production Order and Amended Sealing Order. Judicial Justice ADAIR was not available at the time, and therefore the application was denied. I am therefore resubmitting this Information to Obtain and draft Production Order for review by a Provincial Court Judge.

Definitions & Abbreviations

21. S. 487.3(2)(b) C.C.

Group	Bar 1	Bar 2	Bar 3	Bar 4	Bar 5
Group 1	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Group 2	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- S. 487.3(2)(b) C.C.

- S. 487.3(2)(b) C.C.
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

24. S. 487.3(2)(b) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

25. S. 487.3(2)(b) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

26. I have added comments to explain or link information and to state my belief. Where these comments and beliefs are added, I have defined them with italicized characters and square brackets [].

Details of the Investigation

Initial Investigation (Burnaby RCMP file 2015-32597)

Complaint Received

27. On July 19th, 2015 the Burnaby RCMP received a report from CAPUANO regarding harassment by her ex-husband, Richard RIESS (also known as FOX). CAPUANO was living in Arizona, and FOX residing at an address in Burnaby. Constable J.P. DUPONT ("Constable DUPONT") was assigned the investigation.

[REDACTED]

28. S. 487.1(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

■ S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
■ [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
■ [Redacted]
[Redacted]
■ [Redacted]
■ [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
■ [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
■ [Redacted]
[Redacted]
■ [Redacted]
[Redacted]
■ [Redacted]
[Redacted]

29. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

[Redacted]

30. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

[REDACTED]

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

[REDACTED]

31.

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

32. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

33. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

Charges Not Approved

34. I further read in Constable DUPONT's report that after FOX's arrest, he was released on a Promise to Appear in Court. Police recommended charges of Criminal Harassment, however charges were not approved. I have read the communication from Crown Counsel regarding this and learned that charges were not approved as based the actual comments used by FOX in this case, they could not conclude that that would cause CAPUANO to have an objective fear for her personal safety, and the fact that they live in different countries played a role in that assessment.

CBC Interview

35. On February 18, 2016, the CBC News published a 3 page news article titled '*BC Man Patrick FOX aims to 'destroy' ex-wife with revenge website*'. Within this article, a video recorded interview with FOX was obtained by CLANCY and posted to their website. The article was written by CLANCY and Yvette BEND. On September 30, 2016 I read the publicly accessible news article and watched the video clip of the recorded interview from the CBC website (<http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/british->

[columbia/patrick-fox-web-attack-revenge-porn-criminal-harassment-desiree-capuano-internet-1.3444576](#)), and learned the following:

- a. FOX publicly stated 'I promised I'd devote the rest of my life to doing everything I could, legally of course, to ruin her life and destroy her';
- b. When asked about the statement on the website having "no qualms about killing CAPUANO if that was legal", FOX stated, "No qualms right ... means no moral dilemma. That doesn't mean that I would actually do it";
- c. FOX stated 'there is nothing illegal about wanting to harm someone as long as you don't act on it'. When asked when FOX would stop, he stated when CAPUANO 'is in destitute and homeless' will prompt him to take the site down.

36. As of February 14, 2017, I was only able to access the article and the version of the video that was posted online. Police have downloaded the article that was posted, but I believe that, due to the nature of the content discussed in the interview, it would be essential to obtain the full, unedited version of the interview with FOX to be used as evidence respecting commission of the Named Offence.

[REDACTED]

37. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

■

■

38.

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

39.

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

- S. 487.3(2)(a) ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

40. S. 487.3(2)(a) ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

- S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[Redacted]
- [Redacted]
[Redacted]
- [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
- [Redacted]
[Redacted]
- [Redacted]
[Redacted]
- [Redacted]
[Redacted]

[Redacted]

41. S. 487.3(2)(a)(i) C.C.
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

- [Redacted]
[Redacted]
- [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
- [Redacted]
[Redacted]

■ S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

■ [Redacted]
■ [Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

42. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]

[REDACTED]

43. S. 487.3(2)(a)(i) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

44. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

45. S. 487.3(2)(a)(i) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

46. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

47. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

48. S. 487.3(1)(a)(i) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

10/10/2014

□ □ □ □

49.

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

50.

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

[REDACTED]

51. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

52. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

53.

S. 487.3(1)(a)(i) C.C.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

54. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

55. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

(b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(D)

1. **Identify the subject and the main idea of the text.**
 2. **Summarize the text in your own words.**
 3. **Identify the main points and supporting details.**
 4. **Identify the author's purpose and tone.**
 5. **Identify the main argument and supporting evidence.**
 6. **Identify the main conclusion and supporting evidence.**
 7. **Identify the main conclusion and supporting evidence.**
 8. **Identify the main conclusion and supporting evidence.**
 9. **Identify the main conclusion and supporting evidence.**
 10. **Identify the main conclusion and supporting evidence.**

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Knowledge of Video Recorded Interview in CBC's Possession

57. I am aware that charges against FOX were forwarded to Crown Counsel and approved. Crown Counsel made a request to Corporal WILCOTT to obtain unedited audio and video recordings of Patrick FOX, published on the CBC website on or about February 18th, 2016. I read the report written by Corporal WILCOTT confirming that he contacted the CBC, and learned the following:
- a. On August 11th, 2016, Corporal WILCOTT received a voice message from Eve ST. LAURENT ("ST. LAURENT"), legal counsel for CBC. Corporal WILCOTT spoke with ST. LAURENT who confirmed that she would be able to provide the video recorded interview that was published on February 18th, 2016 (wording provided for warrant) once she receives a Production Order.
 - b. On August 12th, 2016, ST. LAURENT confirmed the CBC still had the video available. ST. LAURENT further advised that these records can be obtained in person from the CBC at 700 Hamilton Street, Vancouver.

Application for Production Order and Sealing Order

58. On October 7, 2016, I affirmed an Information to Obtain a Production Order and a Sealing Order for the CBC to produce a copy of the video recorded interview, between FOX and CLANCY that was published on February 18, 2016. The package

was granted by Judicial Justice ADAIR. I have attached a copy of this Production Order as "Exhibit A" for your review.

59. I read the report of Constable TIGANIS and learned that on October 11, 2016, he served a copy of the Production Order to ST. LAURENT in person at the CBC office.
60. I read an email dated October 14, 2016 sent to Corporal WILCOTT from ST. LAURENT requesting a copy of the Information to Obtain. I was also advised by Corporal WILCOTT that he spoke to ST. LAURENT over the phone, after which he told me that ST. LAURENT and the CBC would be challenging the Production Order in court, and that they would like a copy of the Information to Obtain.
61. On October 30, 2016 I received correspondence from counsel for CBC (addressed to both me and Judicial Justice D. Brent ADAIR), constituting CBC's notice of their intention to make an application to revoke or vary the Production Order issued on October 7, 2016 pursuant to section 487.0193(2) of the *Criminal Code*.
62. In consultation with RCMP counsel, I became aware of the special considerations for Production Orders and Search Warrants pertaining to the media. As I was not aware of these considerations, I erroneously did not include them in my previous application for a Production Order.

Order to Revoke A Production Order

New Application for Production Order Required

63. As set out at paragraphs 18 and 62 above, I am now aware that there are special considerations that a judge or justice issuing a production order to the media should consider. These considerations must strike a balance between competing interests of the police in these investigation and prosecution of crimes and the right to privacy

of the media in the course of gathering and disseminating news. As a result, I am now aware that an Information to Obtain in support of a production order should disclose whether there are alternative sources from which the information may be reasonably obtained, and if there is an alternative source that source has been investigated. Further, the justice or judge should consider whether the information has been disseminated by the media, and whether issuance of the production order will impede the media in disseminating news.

64. As set out above, as I was unaware that these considerations were a requirement I erroneously did not include them in my Information to Obtain the Production Order granted on October 7, 2016 by Judicial Justice ADAIR. I therefore request that the Production Order ("Exhibit A") that was granted be revoked pursuant to Section 487.019(3) of the *Criminal Code*.

Previous Application Denied

65. On February 14, 2017 I made an application to revoke the Production Order previously granted by Judicial Justice ADAIR on October 7, 2016 along with a new Production Order and Amended Sealing Order. On February 14, 2017 the application was denied by Judicial Justice HODGE for the following reasons:
- a. Pursuant to the Criminal Code, only the Justice who issued the original Order or any Provincial Court Judge can revoke or vary that Order. In this case the issuing Justice was Judicial Justice ADAIR who was not sitting at the Justice Centre that week;
 - b. While the first Production Order is in effect it is not proper to issue another order on the identical facts and subject. Accordingly, your request for a new Production Order must be denied while the original Order remains in effect. I am therefore resubmitting this Information to Obtain and draft Production Order for review by a Provincial Court Judge.

Special Considerations for the Media

66. I have included the following information regarding what I believe is relevant to the special considerations for the media:
- a. On December 28, 2016 I conducted open source internet searches for any alternative sources of video interviews given by FOX with respect to his treatment of CAPUANO. The only interview I located online was the interview given to the CBC and is the subject matter of this Production Order. A number of articles written by other news outlets were located; however, these appear to have arisen from the interview FOX gave to the CBC, based on their content and dates released. I have not been able to locate any other interviews of FOX by another news agency;
 - b. It is my belief that FOX gave only one interview, and it was given to the CBC. It is reasonable to assume that the CBC is the only news agency that has possession of unedited audio and video recordings of FOX's interview with CLANCY, published on the CBC website on or about February 18th, 2016, and there are no alternative sources to obtain those particular recordings;
 - c. In this case, the CBC has already broadcast portions of the recorded interview between FOX and CLANCY and that interview remains available online, on the CBC website. I believe that obtaining a copy of the audio and video recordings of FOX will not interfere with the CBC's ability to disseminate this news to the public and that it is valuable evidence that may be used in the prosecution of FOX;
 - d. As police are only requesting a certified true and accurate copy of the unedited audio and video recordings of FOX, the CBC would retain the original file. Further, by not searching the premise and only requesting a certified true and accurate copy of the unedited audio and video recordings of FOX, police will not be impeding or interfering with the CBC publishing or disseminating news.

Justification for Production Order

67. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

■

■

■

■

■

■

■

[REDACTED]

68. I have reasonable grounds to believe that the unedited audio and video recordings with FOX is in the possession or control of the CBC and will afford evidence respecting the commission of the offences:

- a. On August 12th, 2016, Corporal WILCOTT spoke with Eve ST. LAURENT ("ST. LAURENT), Counsel for CBC who advised that she has possession of the CBC records. ST. LAURENT further advised that these records can be obtained in person from the CBC at 700 Hamilton Street, Vancouver, British Columbia;
- b. The portion of the recorded interview that aired and is available on the CBC website is approximately two (2) minutes long, in addition to a written news article related to the interview. I reviewed the recorded interview online, and the first statement made by FOX is an answer to a question from an interviewer that was not heard, which suggests that the video has been edited. The unedited interview may contain additional statements and information that may be relevant to the investigation and afford further evidence to the offence of Criminal Harassment;
- c. Based on what I have seen and read in the recorded interview and news article, I believe it provides evidence of the Named Offence as it shows FOX has made a potential threat and that he will continue to harass CAPUANO until she is destitute and homeless. I also believe that this recorded interview may be used as evidence in the prosecution of FOX.

Terms and Conditions – Production Order

69. Based on the foregoing, I am requesting that the Production Order granted pursuant to Section 487.014(2) of the *Criminal Code* on October 7, 2016 by Judicial Justice ADAIR, attached as "Exhibit A", be revoked.
70. I am requesting that a Production Order Pursuant to Section 487.014(2) of the *Criminal Code* be granted upon the terms and conditions as contained in the attached draft Order which is attached to this Information to Obtain as Exhibit "B".

Application/Justification for a Sealing Order

71. I am applying for an amended order to seal, pursuant to section 487.3 of the Criminal Code prohibiting access to and the disclosure of information relating to this Information to Obtain, save and except for paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 26, 27, 34, 35, 36, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 68, 69, 70, 71, 73 and 74. I have attached as Exhibit "C" a vetted copy of this Information to Obtain with the paragraphs referred to above unsealed. Exhibit "C" can be provided to counsel for CBC for use in any application for an exemption from this Production Order.

72. S. 487.3(2)(a)(ii) C.C.

73. I also request that a copy of this Information to Obtain and subsequent Production Order may be disclosed to the Attorney General of British Columbia
74. Further, I request that an Order granted prohibiting access to the sealed portions of this Information and materials related to this application be held at the Vancouver Court Registry located at 222 Main Street, Vancouver, British Columbia until further ordered by this Court.

Affirmed before me on March 11, 2017
at Vancouver, British Columbia.

Signature of Judicial Justice

Informant