27178-2 Vancouver Registry

# In the Supreme Court of British Columbia (BEFORE THE HONOURABLE MADAM JUSTICE HOLMES AND JURY)

Vancouver, B.C. June 12, 2017

**REGINA** 

٧.

**PATRICK HENRY FOX** 

**PROCEEDINGS AT TRIAL** 

COPY

27178-2 Vancouver Registry

# In the Supreme Court of British Columbia (BEFORE THE HONOURABLE MADAM JUSTICE HOLMES AND JURY)

Vancouver, B.C. June 12, 2017

**REGINA** 

٧.

**PATRICK HENRY FOX** 

# **PROCEEDINGS AT TRIAL**

# COPY

# BAN ON PUBLICATION – INHERENT JURISDICTION

Crown Counsel: M. Myhre

Appearing on his own behalf: P. Fox

Defence Counsel:

A.J. Lagemaat
M. Chatha, A/S

# INDEX

OPENING REMARKS TO JURY BY COURT:
WITNESSES FOR THE CROWN:
DESIREE CAPUANO
EXHIBITS
MARKED A FOR IDENTIFICATION: Work Absence Certificate for Juror 11 dated June 2, 2017
dated June 9, 2017
to hear
RULINGS
Ruling re application for ban on publication of children's names 41

```
1
                                 Vancouver, B.C.
2
3
4
                                 June 12, 2017
               (JURY OUT)
5
    THE CLERK: In the Supreme Court of British Columbia,
7
         at Vancouver, this 12th day of June, 2017.
8
         Calling the matter of Her Majesty the Queen
9
         against Patrick Henry Fox, My Lady.
10
    MR. MYHRE: My Lady, Mark Myhre appearing for the
11
         Crown.
12
    MR. LAGEMAAT: My Lady, my name is Lagemaat, L-a-g-e-m-
13
         a-a-t, first initial T., and as you're aware, I've
14
         been appointed under 486.3(2) to cross-examine the
15
         complainant, and with leave of the court I ask
16
         that an articling student can be up here to assist
17
         with note-tasking, and her name -- last name is
18
         Chatha.
19
    THE COURT: Just hold on for a moment, please.
20
         computer is frozen.
21
    MR. LAGEMAAT:
                   Sorry.
22
                       Thank you.
    THE COURT: Yes.
23
    MR. LAGEMAAT: And her last name is Chatha, spelled
24
         C-h-a-t-h-a, first initial M., articling student.
25
    THE COURT: All right. Thank you. No objection?
26
    MR. MYHRE: Thanks, My Lady.
27
    THE COURT: And, Mr. Fox, generally in the trial you
28
          are representing yourself, I understand?
29
    THE ACCUSED: Yes.
30
    MR. MYHRE: My Lady, there are a couple of things we
31
          should address at the outset before the jury comes
32
         in.
33
    THE COURT: Well, I've got a couple of things, as well,
34
         but go ahead.
35
    MR. MYHRE: The first is the publication ban. There is
36
         a publication ban existing from the Provincial
37
         Court proceedings under s. 486.5 of any
38
          information that could identify the complainant.
39
          I've discussed this with the complainant. She
40
         does not want a publication ban. And, so, in my
41
          submission, that can be rescinded.
42
    THE COURT: I thought I made such an order, or perhaps
43
          I just asked whether there was such an order in
44
         place and I was told that there was last time we
45
         were in court. I just want to make sure that if
46
         the ban is to be rescinded that it's rescinded,
47
         all versions of the ban are rescinded. All right.
```

```
And that's definitely her wish?
1
    MR. MYHRE:
                Yes.
3
    THE COURT: All right. Any submissions on that, Mr.
4
         Fox? Now, who do I ask, Mr. Lagemaat?
5
    MR. LAGEMAAT: I'm going to just ask you a couple of
6
         questions once we get through this about your
7
         intended role of me here in this trial. But it's
8
         my understanding I'm here merely to cross-examine
9
         the complainant.
10
    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fox, any difficulty with
11
         that?
12
    THE ACCUSED: No, no. In fact, I fully support that.
13
    THE COURT: All right. So, the ban that was previously
14
         made under s. 486 point, help me here, Mr. Myhre,
15
         I've got it --
16
    MR. MYHRE: Point 5.
    THE COURT: Point 5 of the Criminal Code, that
17
18
         restricted the publication of any information
19
         identifying Ms. Capuano is rescinded. There is
20
         nonetheless a ban that remains in place, a
21
         standard ban that applies as a matter of law, that
22
         anything that takes place in the courtroom in the
23
         absence of the jury, the subject of anything that
24
         takes place in the absence of the jury, may not be
         published in any way until the jury, the Criminal
25
26
         Code says, has retired to consider its verdict.
27
         All right.
28
    MR. MYHRE: The next matter, I'd like to deal with a
29
         couple of evidentiary issues of things that I
30
         don't understand to be disputed by Mr. Fox. And
31
         the first one is, you may recall at the pretrial
32
         conference Mr. Fox --
33
    THE COURT: Can this be done after we've dealt with the
34
         jury, and remember, we have two alternates here
35
         who may or may not be needed.
36
    MR. MYHRE: Yes.
37
    THE COURT: All right.
38
                Just, then, on the subject of the jury, I
    MR. MYHRE:
39
         understand that Your Ladyship would like me just
40
         to canvass the witness list again at some point
41
         with them?
42
    THE COURT: At some point, yes, but not yet.
43
    MR. MYHRE: And the last thing about the jury, in my
44
         submission, there should be a caution about going
45
         online and doing their own research.
46
    THE COURT: There will be.
47
    MR. MYHRE: Then that's all for the moment, My Lady.
```

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

THE COURT: I understand there was such a caution at the jury selection, and that the jury was advised in fairly strong terms about that after they were selected.

Two issues concerning jurors that I need to discuss with you. One of them relates to juror 11, and I'm using the number as selected. So, of the 12, juror 11. And there's a note from a medical doctor indicating that the juror, for medical reasons, is considered unfit to start jury duty.

Now, Mr. Fox, you're entitled to see this note if you wish to do so. I can assure you that the medical reasons are such that it would not be appropriate for the juror to serve. Do you wish to see this note?

THE ACCUSED: No. No, I don't think that's necessary.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Myhre?

MR. MYHRE: No, My Lady.

THE COURT: So, I will excuse juror 11 and we'll need to draw on one of the alternates. Madam Registrar, this note should be marked as an exhibit for identification, but sealed, please.

THE CLERK: That's Exhibit A for identification.

THE COURT: Please.

# MARKED A FOR IDENTIFICATION: Work Absence Certificate for Juror 11 dated June 2, 2017

THE COURT: The next juror issue relates to juror 12, and I'm going to give you copies of the letter that I've received, Mr. Fox and Mr. Myhre. I've blanked out the name of the juror. Madam Registrar, this -- this could be the original without the name blanked out. It can be Exhibit B.

THE CLERK: That's Exhibit B for I.D., My Lady. THE COURT: And should also be sealed, please.

# MARKED B FOR IDENTIFICATION: Letter from employer re Juror 12 dated June 9, 2017

THE COURT: The issue as I see it with this request is that it comes from an employer, and clearly it's the employer's interests that are put forward as the reason to excuse the juror and not anything emanating from the juror.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

A JUROR: Yes, it is.

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

The only thing that relates directly to the juror is that the employer seems to assume that if the juror is serving on the jury he will nonetheless continue to work on evenings and weekends in order to keep the workload above water during the trial. That's not a desirable situation because, as we all know, jury duty can be much more demanding than people expect it to be. I would not like to see a situation where a person is essentially keeping their fairly demanding job going while also serving as a juror, and I would imagine it would be open to the court, although I'd appreciate submissions on this, to direct that the juror not do that. Nonetheless, we have a request from the employer saying that because this juror is a valuable employer -- employee, the employer requests that the juror be released. Do you have submissions on this, Mr. Myhre, Mr. Fox? I'd appreciate your submissions. THE ACCUSED: I have no objection. THE COURT: No objection to? THE ACCUSED: To excluding the juror. THE COURT: All right. MR. MYHRE: I don't, either, My Lady. It seems like a very difficult position to put this person in. would only say that if Your Ladyship does decide to keep this juror on that, I'm not sure about a direction, as in a court order, but Your Ladyship might phrase something to him that he can then take back to his employer if he needs to. ultimately, I think it would have to be up to him, whether he or she tries to fulfil their obligations at work. THE COURT: All right. I think I'm inclined to ask the juror some questions and get the juror's own view as to whether he wishes to serve or not, and what he contemplates taking place. So, perhaps what we'll do, first I will ask the other juror, 11, to come in briefly, and I will excuse him. Good morning, sir. I've received a note written by your physician. I have described it only very, very general terms, no details, to counsel and to Mr. Fox. I'm of the view that you should be discharged from jury duty, if that is what you wish?

```
THE COURT: And there's no objection to that. And, so,
2
         I thank you for coming this morning. I discharge
3
         you from jury duty and wish you well.
4
    A JUROR:
              Thank you, My Lady.
5
    THE COURT:
                Thank you. And juror 12, please. Sir,
6
         thank you. I have a letter from your employer
7
         asking that you be released from jury duty. I've
8
         read the letter. I've discussed it with counsel
9
         and Mr. Fox. It looks to me as though the
10
         employer is considering the interests of the
11
         company rather than your interests, or your duty
12
         to serve as juror, as all citizens are required to
13
         do from time to time.
14
              The request that you be released from jury
15
         duty, is that your request or is that your
16
         employer's request?
17
    A JUROR: Well, I think both, because I didn't know
18
          [indiscernible/not at microphone].
19
    THE COURT: I'm sorry, I'm having trouble hearing.
20
    A JUROR:
             Okay. Because originally I thought it would
21
         be two weeks, the trial [indiscernible/not at
22
         microphone] for the last week I have to take care
23
         of my [indiscernible].
24
    THE COURT: If you were serving as a juror, would you
25
         expect to also be working for your employer?
26
    A JUROR: Yes, because the contract we just signed is a
27
         really big contract [indiscernible/not at
28
         microphone]. That's why I told my [indiscernible]
29
         and try to get the project [indiscernible].
30
    THE COURT: That's not really a very viable situation.
         Serving as a juror, the hours are not particularly
31
32
         long, as you know, but it -- experience tells that
33
         it's much more tiring than people expect.
34
         certainly hear that from jurors after a trial.
35
         They find it very, very surprising how tiring the
36
         process is. So, it's not really reasonable or
37
         viable to expect to be able to carry a job as well
38
         as doing jury duty.
39
              Do you have any additional submissions, Mr.
40
         Myhre?
41
    MR. MYHRE: No, My Lady.
42
    THE COURT: Mr. Fox?
43
    THE ACCUSED: I do not.
44
    THE COURT: All right. I'm going to discharge you as a
45
         juror. To be quite candid, I'm not pleased about
46
         doing so because I do detect that your employer is
47
         putting the interests of the company far ahead of
```

```
your duty to the country to serve as a juror from
2
         time to time.
3
              And, nonetheless, I'm concerned that your
4
         employer would be putting you in a difficult
5
6
         position if you were to serve as a juror. And
         with the additional difficulty with childcare,
7
         although that's one that might well be able to be
8
         sorted out. But taking everything into account,
9
         and given that we do have alternate jurors here, I
10
         am going to discharge you. So, you're free to
11
         leave. Thank you.
12
    MR. MYHRE: My Lady, did this get marked?
13
    THE COURT: I think it did, as Exhibit B, sealed. So
14
         the copies should, I think, come back, please.
15
         Thank you.
16
              All right. Is there anything else we should
17
         deal with before we begin with the jury, and we
18
         need to substitute the alternates in.
19
    MR. MYHRE: There were the two evidentiary things that
20
         I wanted to deal with, but we could do that now or
21
         after the charge.
22
    THE COURT: After the preliminary instructions. How
23
         long do you think it will take to deal with them?
24
    MR. MYHRE: Less than five minutes.
25
    THE COURT: All right. I think we'll go ahead now,
26
         deal with the preliminary instructions and, Mr.
27
         Myhre, you were going to read a list of witnesses.
28
                Yes.
    MR. MYHRE:
29
    THE COURT: All right. So, at what point do we do
30
                I think what we might do is bring the jury
31
         and the alternates in, substitute in the
32
         alternates, do the arraignment, I'll make the
33
         preliminary instructions, and in the course of
34
         those I'll ask you to read out the list of
35
         witnesses, and then we'll take a break, and that
36
         will give an opportunity for any jurors to raise a
37
         concern arising from the list of witnesses, if
38
         there is such a concern. Does that sound
39
         reasonable?
40
    MR. MYHRE: Yes. My Lady, could I just poke my head
41
         outside and tell the complainant that she won't be
42
         needed for at least 45 minutes from now?
43
    THE COURT:
                Certainly.
                            It will be about an hour.
44
    MR. MYHRE: Thank you.
45
    THE COURT: All right.
                            Ready?
46
    MR. MYHRE: Yes.
    THE COURT: Could we have the jury with the alternates,
47
```

### Proceedings

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

please?

juny in)

The Sheriff: The j

THE SHERIFF: The jury, My Lady. It will just be a moment, My Lady.

THE COURT: All right. Good morning, members of the jury. Please have a seat. My name is Madam Justice Heather Holmes. Thank you for coming this morning. I understand two of you are the alternate jurors who were selected at the jury selection. I did not preside at the jury selection, a different judge did.

And I understand that of the two alternate jurors you took your oath as jurors at that time. Am I correct in that understanding? Thank you. And thank you for stepping in and joining the jury. And you are now at this point members of the jury, just like everyone else.

Madam Registrar, can you begin with the putting in charge, please, and then I will make some preliminary remarks.

THE CLERK: Members of the jury, the accused stands charged by the name of Patrick Henry Fox.

Patrick Henry Fox stands charge that,

Count 1: Between January 11, 2015 and May 27, 2016, inclusive, at or near Burnaby and Surrey, in the Province of British Columbia, did without lawful authority and knowing that another person was harassed or being reckless as to whether the other person was harassed, engage in conduct that caused the other person, Desiree Capuano, to reasonably fear for her safety or the safety of anyone known to her, contrary to Section 264 of the Criminal Code.

Count 2: Between May 18, 2016 and June 3, 2016, inclusive, at or near Burnaby, in the Province of British Columbia, being the holder of an authorization or a licence under which he may possess a prohibited firearm, restricted firearm or non-restricted firearm, prohibited weapon, prohibited device, or prohibited ammunition, did possess firearms,

## Proceedings

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

at a place indicated on the authorization or licence as being a place where he may not possess it, or at a place other than that indicated on the authorization or licence as being a place where he may possess it, or at a place other than that where it may be possessed under the Firearms Act, contrary to Section 93(1) of the Criminal Code.

And upon these charges he has been arraigned, and upon his arraignment has pleaded not guilty to each charge, and for his trial has put himself on his country, which country you are.

You are charged, therefore, as to inquire whether he is guilty of the offences, or either of them, whereof he stands charged, or not guilty, and to harken to the evidence.

THE COURT: Members of the jury, I'm going to make some preliminary remarks to give you an idea of what to expect in the trial as far as the procedure that we'll follow. My remarks are reproduced in writing. I'm going to give you copies of the written version of them, but you can follow along with if you wish. What I say to you is the official version, not what you see in the written version, but some people find it easier to take things in if they have a written version, as well. I will ask that you not read ahead of me as I go through these.

Mr. Sheriff, there are 12 copies there. I have copies here for Mr. Myhre, Mr. Fox. One should be marked as the next exhibit for identification, and Mr. Lagemaat can have one if he wishes.

THE CLERK: That would be Exhibit C, My Lady. THE COURT: Thank you.

MARKED C FOR IDENTIFICATION: Document titled "Opening Instructions to the Jury"

# OPENING REMARKS TO JURY BY COURT:

THE COURT: Members of the jury, you've been chosen to decide this case. The oath or affirmation you've taken requires you to listen closely to the evidence that will be presented, and to decide the case solely on that evidence and the instructions

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

that I will give you.

I'll now describe your duties as jurors and the procedure that we'll follow during the trial. I will also explain to you some of the rules of law that apply in this case.

During and at the end of the trial I will give you specific and detailed instructions about the rules of law that apply to this case. You must listen carefully to all of these instructions.

As to your duties, you are the sole judges of the facts. You must decide this case solely on the evidence presented to you in this courtroom. And I'm going to come back to that because it's extremely important, as are all the other instructions I give you.

I am the sole judge of the law, and it is your duty to accept the law as I explain it to you. You must choose your own ideas about what the law is or should be, and you must not rely on information about the law from any other source.

So, what is evidence? To decide what the facts are in this case you must consider only the evidence presented in the courtroom. Evidence is the testimony of witnesses who will be testifying from the witness box here, and things that are entered as exhibits, and from time to time we'll do that. We'll give them an exhibit number, and at the end of the trial you'll have them with you in the jury room.

The evidence may also consist of admissions. The evidence includes, and I'm speaking here of testimony given by witnesses, it includes what each witness says in response to questions asked. The questions are not evidence unless the witness agrees that what is asked is correct. Only the answers are evidence.

The Crown and the defence may agree about certain facts. When that happens no evidence is required. Whatever they agree about is a fact in this case. That is called an admission.

There are also some things that are not evidence. You must not consider or rely upon them to decide this case. If I instruct you to disregard any evidence it is your duty to do so. In particular, the charge in the indictment, actually, two charges in the indictment that you

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

# BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

have heard read out is not evidence.

What the lawyers or Mr. Fox say when we speak to you during the trial is not evidence. What people outside this courtroom say or have said about this case is not evidence. And that includes what may be said in radio, television, newspaper, internet reports about the case, or what you may have heard about the case from other people. That is not evidence. You must ignore it completely. You must avoid all media coverage of this case. You must consider only the evidence presented to you in this courtroom.

Now, sometimes evidence can be presented only for a specific purpose and not for other purposes, and if that happens in this trial I will tell you about how you may use the particular evidence in deciding this case, and how you may not use it in deciding the case. You must consider the evidence only for the purpose I describe. You must not use it for any other purpose.

I'm going to talk now about direct and the circumstantial evidence. Suppose the question is whether it was raining outside. A witness testifies that he or she saw it raining outside. That is direct evidence of the fact that it was raining.

Contrast that with a witness who testifies that he or she saw someone enter the courthouse wearing a raincoat, carrying an umbrella, both the raincoat and the umbrella dripping wet. You might infer from that testimony that it was raining outside. That is circumstantial evidence of the fact that it was raining outside.

Exhibits may also provide direct or circumstantial evidence. In making your decision you can take both kinds of evidence into account. Your job is to decide what conclusions you will reach based upon the evidence as a whole, direct and circumstantial.

Keep an open mind as the evidence is being presented. Do not be influenced by sympathy for or prejudice against anyone. During the trial you may discuss the case amongst yourselves, but only when all of you are together in the jury room. You must not, however, come to any conclusions about the case until you've heard all of the evidence, and listen to the closing addresses for

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

both sides, and receive my instructions about the law. Keep an open mind.

Some of your family, friends, fellow workers, or others, may ask you about jury duty. You must not talk to them about the case, nor should you discuss the case with anyone involved in it, whether Mr. Fox, Ms. Capuano, who is the complainant in this case, friends or family of either of them, or with any of the witnesses, or the investigating officers, or the lawyers, or any of the friends or families of any of those people.

Now, if you see any of those people around the courthouse you may give a very short, polite greeting, a nod hello, but do not talk about the case to anyone except your fellow jurors. Best advice is keep your greetings very short and say nothing more to anyone with any involvement in the case.

If anyone approaches you to discuss any part of the case please tell that person that you cannot discuss it. You can say the judge has told you that you must not. If the person doesn't stop, please tell me about it and I'll deal with it.

When you arrive at the courthouse each morning and return after lunch, please go straight to the jury room. Likewise, when you leave at lunchtime or at the end of the day, please leave directly from the jury room. Do not linger around the halls or other places before or after the court sittings.

Finally, remember your role is as jurors, not lawyers, or researchers, or investigators. You must not investigate or seek out any information or do any research about the case, or about the people involved in the case, or about the law that applies to the case. Do not consult other people or other sources of information, whether printed or electronic.

Now, you will hear that this case involves a website, and emails, and blog postings. Do not, and I say this as firmly as I can, do not seek out any information about that website. Do not go on the internet looking about it. You are to decide this case based on the evidence presented in this courtroom.

Do not use the internet or electronic device

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

in connection with this case in any way, and that includes chat rooms, Facebook, Myspace, Twitter, apps, any electronic social network. I'm sure that list is out of date by now. It covers -- it's meant to cover everything.

Do not read or post anything about this trial. Do not engage in tweeting or texting about this trial. Do not discuss or read anything about this trial on a blog. Do not discuss this case by email. You must decide this case solely on the evidence you hear in the courtroom. What you may see or hear in the media, or from any other source outside the courtroom, is not evidence and you must ignore it. We count on you to follow this advice, these instructions, so that the trial is a fair one.

I'll talk to you now briefly about the procedure we'll follow in the trial. Crown counsel is Mr. Mark Myhre. The Crown prosecutes the case. Mr. Fox is on trial in this case. He will be conducting his own defence in the trial, except that Mr. Tony Lagemaat will be conducting on Mr. Fox's behalf the cross-examination of the Crown's first witness. And Mr. Lagemaat has, assisting him here today, an articling -- his articling student, and I'm pronouncing this correctly, Ms. Chatha?

MS. CHATHA: Chatha.

THE COURT: Chat?

MS. CHATHA: Ms. Chatha.

THE COURT: Can you spell it for me again?

MS. CHATHA: Sure. C-h-a-t-h-a.

THE COURT: Ah, that's where I went wrong. Thank you.

CHATHA: You're welcome.

35 THE COURT: And my apologies.

The Crown will present its evidence first because it has the burden of proving the charge. Before presenting evidence the Crown may make an opening address to you about the case.

After the opening address the Crown will call witnesses to the witness box. Various things may also be filed in evidence as exhibits. Facts that are admitted by the defence may also be part of the Crown's evidence.

All persons charged with an offence are presumed to be innocent under our law. This means that they do not have to prove their innocence.

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

# BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

They do not have to testify or present evidence. The law requires the Crown to prove the charge beyond a reasonable doubt.

If the defence does choose to present evidence it may also make an opening address. In making their opening addresses the Crown and the defence may summarize the evidence they intend to present and refer to some principles of law. But remember that what they say about the evidence is not itself evidence for you to consider in deciding the case. What they say about the law is only meant to help you understand the issues to which the evidence may relate. I will explain to you which principles of law apply to your decision, and it is your duty to follow my instructions.

When the Crown or the defence ask questions of witnesses they have to follow certain rules. One set of rules applies when they question witnesses they have called, they have called, and these questions are called examination in chief or direct examination.

Another set of rules applies when they question witnesses that the other side has called, and these questions are called cross-examination. Examination in chief or direct examination always comes first, then the other side has an opportunity to cross-examine the same witnesses, the same witness, I should say.

After a witness has been cross-examined the side who first called that witness may be permitted to ask additional questions to clarify or explain matters that have come up in cross-examination, and this is called re-examination.

Now, notetaking. We depend on the memory and judgment of all jurors to decide the case. If you want to take notes during the trial to help you remember what a witness said you are free to do so, but remember that you may find it difficult to take detailed, accurate notes, and at the same time pay close attention to what witnesses are saying and how they are saying it.

If you take notes do not be distracted from your duty to observe the witnesses. You may always ask to hear a recording of a witness' testimony, or have some evidence read back to you, but you will only have one chance to observe the

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

# BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

appearance and behaviour of the witnesses when they testify.

To protect the secrecy of your work you must not take your notes with you at the end of our sittings each day. We will make arrangements to keep the notes in a secure place and return them to you when we resume sitting the following day. If you decide not to take notes you must still listen carefully to the evidence.

Now, from time to time during the trial you may be asked to go to the jury room while counsel and Mr. Fox and I discuss legal issues. There was an issue this morning that I needed to discuss, and that's why we began a little late this morning. It's normal, and it should not concern you. We will do our best to minimize those occasions, but they do arise. I ask you simply to be patient if and when this happens, and I assure that if at any time you are excluded from the courtroom it's because it's necessary for that to take place.

When all of the evidence has been presented the Crown and the defence will each address you in a final address. They will tell you their positions and they'll refer to some of the evidence that they say you should rely on to reach the conclusion they suggest. They may also refer to some of the rules of law to help you understand their positions better, but again, it's for me as the trial judge to tell you what rules of law apply and what they mean. You must follow my instructions on the law. If there is difference between what I say and what Mr. Myhre or Mr. Fox says about the law you must follow my instructions.

And after that will come my summing up or final instructions which will include a review of some of the evidence given during the trial. But you should always remember that it is only your memory and understanding of the evidence that counts in this case, not mine, and not that of counsel. You are the judges of the facts.

Next I want to speak to you about assessing a witness' testimony. It will be up to you to decide how much or little of the testimony of any witness you will believe or rely on. You may believe some, none or all of the evidence given by

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

# BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

a witness. You must ask yourself whether the witness is truthful, and whether the witness is reliable, and I'll give you a few questions to consider during your discussions. These are not all the factors but are some of them. Did the witness have a good opportunity to observe the event that he or she described? How long was the witness watching or listening? Did anything interfere with the witness' ability to observe? Was there anything else happening at the same time that might have distracted the witness? Did the witness have a good memory?

Keep in mind the length of time that has passed since the date of the alleged offence. Was there something specific that helped the witness remember the details of the event that he or she described? Was there something unusual or memorable about the event so that you would expect the witness to remember the details? Or was the event relatively unimportant at the time so that the witness might easily have forgotten or be mistaken about some of the details? Was any inability or difficulty that the witness had in remembering events genuine, or was the witness' memory selective in order to avoid answering questions?

Was the witness able to communicate clearly and accurately? What was the witness' manner when he or she testified? But do not jump to conclusions, however, based entirely on the witness' manner. Looks can be deceiving. Giving evidence in a trial is not a common experience for many witnesses. People react and appear differently.

Witnesses come from different backgrounds. They have different intellects, abilities, values and life experiences. There are simply too many variables to make the manner in which a witness testifies the only or the most important factor in your decision.

Was the witness forthright and responsive to questions, or was the witness evasive, hesitant or argumentative? Did the witness give his or her testimony fairly, or was it tainted by self-interest or bias? Does the evidence disclose any reason why the witness might tend to favour the Crown or Mr. Fox?

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

Was the witness' testimony consistent with the testimony of other witnesses? As you know, people hear and see things differently. This means we should not be surprised to find discrepancies in their testimony. Minor discrepancies are often unimportant, but you may attach greater importance to more significant discrepancies.

Are there any inconsistencies in the witness' own testimony? If so, do these inconsistencies make the testimony more or less believable and reliable? Are the inconsistencies about something important or minor details? Could they be honest mistakes? Could they be deliberate lies? Are there explanations for them? Do the explanations make sense?

You must not decide an issue by simply counting which side has more witnesses. You may decide that the testimony of fewer witnesses is more reliable than the evidence of a larger number. It is the force of the evidence that counts, not the number of witnesses.

Consider these questions in the context of the whole of the evidence, use your common-sense to decide how much weight or importance you wish to give to the testimony of the witnesses.

I'll turn now to the fundamental principles that apply. The first and most important principle of law applicable to every criminal case is the presumption of innocence. Mr. Fox enters the proceedings presumed to be innocent, and the presumption of innocence remains throughout the case, unless the Crown on the evidence put before you satisfies you beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty.

Two rules flow from the presumption of innocence. One is that the Crown bears the burden of proving guilt. The other is that guilt must be proven beyond a reasonable doubt. These rules are inextricably linked with the presumption of innocence to ensure that no innocent person is convicted.

The burden of proof rests with the Crown and never shifts. There's no burden on Mr. Fox to prove that he is innocent. Mr. Fox does not have to prove anything. There may be minor circumstances arising in this case that -- I'll

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

# BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

back up and correct that.

There may be subsidiary issues arising in this case in which there is a different burden of proof, and if that arises I will give you a specific instruction about it at the time.

Now, what does the expression "beyond a reasonable doubt" mean? A reasonable doubt is not an imaginary or frivolous doubt. It is not based on sympathy for or prejudice against anyone involved in the proceedings. Rather, it is based on reason and common-sense. It is a doubt that arises logically from the evidence or from an absence of evidence.

It is virtually impossible to prove anything to an absolute certainty, and the Crown is not required to do so. Such a standard would be impossibly high. However, the standard of proof beyond a reasonable doubt falls much closer to absolute certainty than it does to probable guilt. You must not find Mr. Fox guilty unless you are sure he is guilty. Even if you believe that Mr. Fox is probably guilty or likely guilty, that is not sufficient. In those circumstances you must give the benefit of the doubt to Mr. Fox and find him not guilty because the Crown has failed to satisfy you of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

I will explain to you later in the trial the essential elements that the Crown must prove beyond a reasonable doubt to establish Mr. Fox's guilt. Those are essential elements of the offences that are charged.

For the moment, the important point for you to understand is that the requirement of proof beyond a reasonable doubt applies to each of those essential elements, but it does not apply to individual items of evidence. You must decide, looking at the evidence as a whole whether the Crown has proven Mr. Fox's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

If you have a doubt -- I'll back up and correct that. If you have a reasonable doubt about Mr. Fox's guilt arising from the evidence, the absence of evidence, or the credibility, or the reliability of one or more of the witnesses, then you must find Mr. Fox not guilty.

So, in short, the presumption of innocence

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

# BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

applies at the beginning and continues throughout the trial, unless you're satisfied after considering the whole of the evidence that the Crown has displaced the presumption of innocence by proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

If, based upon the evidence, you are sure that Mr. Fox is guilty of the offence with which he's charged you must convict him of that offence since that demonstrates that you are satisfied of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. If you have a reasonable doubt whether Mr. Fox is guilty of the offence with which he's charged you must give him the benefit of that doubt and find him not guilty.

Now, you've heard the indictment read. Mr. Fox is charged with two offences. I'll be telling you more about the charges later in the trial, and about the elements of the offences, or what Crown must prove beyond a reasonable doubt in order to prove the offences charged.

For present purposes I'll give you only a very brief summary. Count 1 is a charge of criminal harassment. It alleges that Mr. Fox engaged in conduct that caused Desiree Capuano to reasonably fear for her safety or the safety of someone known to her. Ms. Capuano is Mr. Fox's former spouse or partner. Mr. Fox is alleged to have used emails and a website between January 2015 and May 2016 to incite fear on her part.

Count 2 is a charge of possessing firearms at a place other than where authorized to do so. It is alleged in this charge that Mr. Fox was licensed to possess certain firearms, but that between May 18 and June 3rd, 2016 he had the firearms at a place that was not one where he was authorized to have them.

After all of the evidence has been presented I will give you complete instructions on the law that applies to the essential elements of the offences charged, and to any other issues that you must consider. You will -- I'm going to ask you to strike out the next few lines which shouldn't be there. As I said, you have to follow what I'm saying, not what I've said -- not what's in the written document.

Later I will ask you to choose one juror to act as your foreperson. The foreperson will lead

## Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

# BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

your discussions and will announce your verdict in the courtroom at the end of the case. You do not have to choose that person immediately. As the trial continues, however, please think about which one of you would be best suited to perform that role. Get to know each other a little before you choose your foreperson.

Secrecy. All juror discussions are secret, except for telling me about any problems you must not tell anyone anything about your discussions, unless that information was disclosed in open court. To do so would be a criminal offence. You should feel confident that what happens in the jury room will always be private. This is to encourage full and frank discussion with your fellow jurors. In other words, you need not worry that something you say in the jury room will be repeated anywhere else.

If something happens during the course of the trial that may affect your ability to do your duty as a juror please write it down, put it in a sealed envelope and deliver it to Mr. Sheriff who will give it to me.

If at any time you have trouble seeing or hearing any part of the proceedings please let me know. Just put up your hand, make sure you get noticed and tell me.

We will be starting each day at ten o'clock, sitting through until lunch at 12:30. We'll have a 15-minute break usually around ten past 11:00. We're going to have one a little bit later today. The precise time will vary a bit from day-to-day by a few minutes. In the afternoon we'll start at two o'clock, we'll continue until 4:00, again with a 15-minute break usually around three o'clock.

There may be occasions when we'll need to go a little longer, perhaps a little earlier, depending on the witness schedule. Sometimes we will -- it's difficult to know precisely how long a witness will be in their evidence, and we obviously want to accommodate witnesses within reason.

The Crown and the defence will do their best to make sure that each day is filled. Sometimes it doesn't work out that way for reasons that we simply can't foresee.

From time to time it may be necessary for you

## Opening Remarks to Jury by Court

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

to go somewhere, do something during the hours when we would normally be sitting. If it's important we will do our best to help you out. But please remember that we have to try this case within a reasonable time and in an organized way, and a fair way. So if something of this nature does come up, please let me know as soon as possible in a written note given to Mr. Sheriff and he'll give it to me.

Finally, in conclusion, it's your duty to watch and listen to all of the proceedings, including the addresses, the evidence, and my instructions. You must listen to and observe these proceedings without prejudice, or bias, or sympathy.

At the end of each day you're free to go until the next day. You do not have to stay together. But when all of the evidence has been presented and Crown counsel and Mr. Fox have addressed you, and I've told you about the legal principles that apply in my final instructions to you, then you go to the jury room together to decide the case, and at that point you are sequestered, which means you must stay together until you've reached our verdict. Meals and overnight accommodation will be arranged for you if necessary.

I will do my best to give you advanced notice as this trial goes along of when that stage -- when we will likely reach that stage so that you will not -- it will not come as a surprise to you without notice.

One more thing before we break. I'm going to ask Crown counsel to read aloud the names of the witnesses who will be called for the Crown. I know this was done at the jury selection, but I understand there may have been some witnesses left off the list.

- MR. MYHRE: Yes. Thank you, My Lady. So, the witnesses that I expect you will hear from at this trial are Desiree Capuano, Corporal Brent Wilcott, Constable Jason Potts, Constable Jean-Philippe Dupont, Manvir Mangat, and U.S. Agent Frank Spizuoco.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Myhre. Thank you, members of the jury, for your attention to my opening remarks. We'll take the morning break now and

## Proceedings

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

we'll resume -- maybe a little longer of a break than usual because there is a matter that I need 3 to discuss with -- with counsel and Mr. Fox. 4 Thank you. 5 (JURY OUT) 7 8 THE COURT: Mr. Myhre, do you wish to raise the 9 evidentiary issues now just in --10 MR. MYHRE: We could do it now or after the break, 11 whatever Your Ladyship prefers. 12 THE COURT: Well, when do you need a determination on 13 them? 14 MR. MYHRE: Before the opening address. 15 THE COURT: Then I think now is better if it can be 16 done briefly. 17 MR. MYHRE: It's fairly straightforward. I'm just 18 thinking of streamlining things a little bit. 19 understand from the last pretrial conference that 20 Mr. Fox doesn't have any issue with the accuracy 21 of the website content that we've put in the book 22 of documents. 23 And, so, I wonder if we could simply, if it's 24 admitted that that's accurate, if that could be 25 marked as an exhibit? 26 THE COURT: Accurate in what sense? I think that's 27 important to specify. Accurate in representing 28 documents taken from the website and representing 29 emails sent and received? 30 MR. MYHRE: Simply that they are accurate excerpts from 31 the website. 32 THE COURT: That's ambiguous. Accurate as to their 33 content, accurate as to what they -- what they 34 are? Do you mean authentic? 35 MR. MYHRE: Simply that -- I'm not sure how to express 36 it, I guess. If you went to the website it would 37 look as it appears in these books. 38 THE COURT: Accurate representations of what appears --39 appeared on the website as of a particular date? 40 MR. MYHRE: Yes, I suppose as of the dates that are 41 given on the various documents that are here. 42 THE COURT: Well, is that clear on each document? 43 MR. MYHRE: Or perhaps we could say accurate as of May 44 26th, 2016. 45 THE ACCUSED: I would agree that the content, the 46 specific content that Mr. Myhre is referring to 47 would be accurate, yes. I do have a concern,

```
though, that he's being very selective in the
         content that he's choosing to put before the jury.
3
         So I don't believe that it's -- that it gives an
4
         accurate depiction of the website or of the gist
5
         of the website.
6
                Can we say accurate representations of some
    THE COURT:
7
         of the material that appeared on the website?
8
    THE ACCUSED:
                  Yes.
9
    THE COURT: But I think we do need an as of date.
10
    THE ACCUSED: What Mr. Myhre proposed, I believe, May
11
         26th, 2016. The website content has not changed
12
         since I've been in custody, and that was May 27th,
13
         2016. So, from that date up to today there should
14
         be no changes on the website.
15
    THE COURT: I see. Is that an acceptable way of
16
         expressing it?
17
    MR. MYHRE: I believe so, My Lady.
18
    THE COURT:
                Is that going to be presented as an
19
         admission, a formal admission?
20
    MR. MYHRE: I'm in Your Ladyship's hands on that. I
21
         could put something in writing.
22
    THE COURT: It doesn't have to be in writing. And
23
         also, presumably it doesn't apply to the emails?
24
    MR. MYHRE: These emails are excerpts from the website,
25
         and so they are -- the admission would cover that
26
         the emails as presented in these excerpts are
27
         accurate depictions of what appeared on the
28
         website as of May 27th, 2016, which would not
29
         necessarily include that the content is what
30
         actually was exchanged between the parties at
31
         whatever date, simply that, well, no more than the
32
         admission is, that it accurately depicts what was
33
         on the website as of May 27th.
34
    THE COURT: All right.
                            Anything additional, Mr.
35
         Lagemaat?
36
    MR. LAGEMAAT: Yes, My Lady, before you make a decision
37
         on this I do have a brief submission and I do
38
         believe it concerns me. The Criminal Code
39
         specifies I'm here to cross-examine the
40
         complainant, and I would like direction from the
41
         court the role you would like me to take in the
42
         direct examination, if any? And if you do want me
43
         to take an active role in objecting if there's
44
         something I determine not admissible in direct
45
         evidence, then I would have a submission on the
46
         admission of the book as a whole, whether I see
47
         certain things that may be more prejudicial in the
```

book. 2 And if you do not wish me to take any role in 3 the direct examination, then Mr. Fox, I'm not sure 4 if he has submissions on that, or if he wants the 5 6 book admitted as a whole. I mean, the wording of the Criminal Code, s. 486, says "appoint counsel 7 to conduct the cross-examination." I expect there 8 will be some issues in direct examination where, 9 if I was counsel, I would oppose. If I was 10 counsel for the accused, I mean. 11 THE COURT: Is it appropriate for me to ask Mr. Fox for 12 his views on --MR. LAGEMAAT: Yes, I -- I would expect -- I would 13 14 expect that, My Lady. 15 THE COURT: -- on this? Do you understand the issue 16 Mr. Lagemaat is raising? 17 THE ACCUSED: I do, yes. I would ask -- what I would 18 like is, before making any submissions on this 19 topic, the opportunity to confer briefly with Mr. 20 Lagemaat. 21 THE COURT: All right. That seems reasonable. 22 THE ACCUSED: Unfortunately, given my circumstances, 23 being in the jail, we haven't had a lot of time to 24 confer prior to this. I just need a couple of --25 a couple of minutes. 26 THE COURT: All right. Does that seem appropriate, Mr. 27 Myhre? 28 MR. MYHRE: Yes, My Lady. Thank you. 29 THE COURT: All right. So we'll come back to that. 30 Was there another issue? 31 MR. MYHRE: There is another issue. So, an issue, as 32 Your Ladyship knows, that arises with the screen 33 is the issue of identity. I don't understand 34 there to be any dispute. Maybe we could canvass 35 this with Mr. Fox at some point after Ms. Capuano 36 has actually testified that the person who's 37 sitting here is the person that she'll be 38 referring to as Richard Riess or Patrick Fox. So, 39 the Crown would seek that admission, that Mr. Fox 40 is the person being referred to as Richard Riess 41 or Patrick Fox by Desiree Capuano. 42 THE COURT: All right. Is that something that might 43 usefully be discussed, Mr. Lagemaat, Mr. Fox, 44 while we're stood down? 45 MR. LAGEMAAT: I'd like to be a little bit careful, My 46 Lady, as I don't see it as my role to give advice 47 on a proper trial issue such as identity.

46

47

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

```
THE COURT: Well, presumably it relates to the direct
1
2
         examination of Ms. Capuano.
3
    MR. LAGEMAAT: Okay. I will have that discussion, My
4
         Lady.
5
    THE COURT:
               All right. Mr. Fox, were you about to say
6
         something else?
7
    THE ACCUSED: No, no, I agree with that. That's fine.
8
    THE COURT:
                All right. Anything else before we stand
9
         down? No?
10
    MR. LAGEMAAT: No, thank you.
11
    THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
    MR. LAGEMAAT: Yes, perhaps we should take a little bit
13
         longer than the standard 15 minutes? I understand
14
         I can meet with Mr. Fox right behind the door
15
         there, but --
16
    THE COURT: I'm wondering whether we should -- we're
17
         taking a little longer. That takes us to noon.
18
         Then there are going to be submissions, and I'll
19
         need to make a decision on a couple of these
20
         things. I'm wondering whether we should send the
21
         jury for an early lunch.
22
    MR. LAGEMAAT: There's more issue I need to -- I need
23
         to bring up, My Lady, is that when I took this
24
         appointment, I have a matter on Wednesday morning
25
         that I could not get anyone in my firm, or a
26
         student, to speak to. I have to be there. So I'm
27
         going to ask My Lady to stand this down for
28
         Wednesday morning. I do not expect to be as long
29
         in cross-examination as Mr. Fox had estimated.
30
         I'm hoping that's not going to be a problem.
31
    THE COURT: You're hoping that Wednesday morning is not
32
         going to be a problem?
33
    MR. LAGEMAAT: Yes. I have -- I could potentially be
         here by 11:00.
34
                         I don't expect to lose the whole
35
         morning, but I -- I can -- it's a matter in
36
         Surrey, it won't be long, in Provincial Court, and
37
         I could tell the court that I need to be called
38
         first because I'm in the middle of this trial.
39
         But it's a matter I cannot get anyone else to
40
         appear in.
41
    THE COURT: Is it a matter that could be adjourned?
42
    MR. LAGEMAAT: It -- it's -- it's a matter that's taken
43
         a long time to get into court, and there's been
44
         two missed appearances already. It's a civil
```

matter to do with service and I fear adjourning it

would create problems down the road. But if My

Lady wants me to look into it I can see.

```
THE COURT: Is there some way that we can find a way to
2
         fill that time with something that doesn't involve
3
         Mr. Lagemaat?
4
    MR. MYHRE: I could look into the possibility, My Lady,
5
         certainly.
6
    THE COURT: If that could be done by agreement, and if
7
         it wouldn't disturb the jury's ability to follow
8
         the flow of the evidence by interrupting it with
9
         something else. It may be that it's not going to
10
         be a fruitful idea, but I just raise it.
11
              All right, we will -- ah, where were we? I
         was wondering whether I should ask the jury to
12
13
         come back, say, at 1:30 and we'll start in the
14
         afternoon, or is there a reasonable prospect of us
15
         getting to the Crown opening this morning?
16
    MR. LAGEMAAT: I don't need a break, My Lady. So I
17
         think 15 minutes would be enough for me to go
18
         behind the door and meet with Mr. Fox.
19
    THE COURT: All right. We'll take the normal break.
20
21
               (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR MORNING RECESS)
22
               (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)
23
24
               (JURY OUT)
25
26
    THE COURT: Where are we on these issues?
27
    MR. LAGEMAAT: I think we're left with -- there's a few
28
         things that can't be decided until I know my role
29
         in the direct examination.
30
    THE COURT: Have you discussed your concerns with Mr.
31
         Myhre?
32
    MR. LAGEMAAT: Yes, I have. We've discussed it
33
         previous to today, too. It's my position, My
         Lady, that the wording of the Criminal Code is
34
35
         that I am here purely to cross-examine the
36
         complainant. And if I'm to take part in the
37
         direct examination there will be other issues
38
         arising that I'm not -- don't want to have to deal
39
         with. I would prefer to just remain as -- to
40
         cross-examine. That's my position on that issue,
41
         My Lady.
42
              And -- and I've discussed with Mr. Fox, he's
43
         prepared to be active in the direct examination
44
         if -- if required.
45
    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Myhre, do you have a
46
         submission? Mr. Lagemaat, you're really -- I'm
47
         trying to situate this. You're really seeking
```

```
direction as to how you wish --
    MR. LAGEMAAT:
                   Exactly, My Lady.
    THE COURT: -- how the court wishes you to proceed?
3
4
    MR. LAGEMAAT:
                   Exactly.
5
    THE COURT: And there's no particular issue you're
6
          raising now, but you were saying that you expect
7
         that if you were appearing as defence counsel, or
8
         in a role akin to that, you would have some issues
9
          that you'd raise during the direct examination?
10
    MR. LAGEMAAT: That's -- that's correct, My Lady.
11
         And -- and a difficulty might be where issues I
12
         might have as defence counsel might have to do
13
         with other matters than the cross-examination of
14
         the complainant. They might come up in my mind to
15
         do with other issues that don't concern me in the
16
         cross-examination. So, it might be, at times,
17
          difficult to draw that line.
18
    THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Myhre?
    MR. MYHRE: My Lady, it seems to me that we could
19
20
         proceed on the basis that if Mr. Fox sees fit to
21
         object he could make an objection on the
22
         understanding that then we would have to stand
23
         down and deal with it. And if that becomes a
24
         problem, because you could see how there would be
25
         the potential for somebody to really disrupt the
26
         trial with spurious objections, then we could
27
         revisit this issue.
28
               But, as we've seen Mr. Fox's behaviour in
29
         court, he's been very polite and has not been
30
         disruptive of the court proceedings.
31
    THE COURT: I think the issue is a bit more
32
          fundamental, which is for Mr. Lagemaat, how is he
33
         to participate? Is he to participate as though he
34
         were defence counsel, or in a more limited role,
35
          simply conducting the cross-examination, which
36
          obviously requires him to pay attention to the
37
         direct examination and to understand the case, but
38
         not to play -- do you have a submission on that?
39
    MR. MYHRE: It seems to me ambiguous, My Lady. I don't
40
         know -- I don't know the answer to that one. I
41
         mean, we have -- the only case that we have that I
42
         have seen that relates to defence counsel's role,
43
         or, sorry, appointed counsel's role is that case
44
         that we saw in the 486.3 application from Justice
45
         Cote where he talks for a few paragraphs, and
46
         essentially likens the appointed counsel's role to
47
         defence counsel's role. But I don't think Justice
```

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

Cote in that judgment actually addressed whether there was any scope for how that affected the direct examination of the complainant.

COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Lagemaat, you

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Lagemaat, you indicated that you view your role as more limited, and as defence counsel, and in the absence of any different submissions that's how I view it, too. It's the language of the Criminal Code.

The place in which it appears in the Criminal Code, or the provision that empowers a court to appoint counsel to conduct a cross-examination, indicates that it's a measure intended to -- as a form of protection for certain witnesses. The appointment is not as defence counsel, it's not as amicus curiae. While it may be helpful to Mr. Fox to have you as a source of information from time to time if you're willing to assist him, I don't see that form of assistance as intrinsic to your role as appoint -- as counsel appointed to cross-examine. Is that sufficiently --

- MR. MYHRE: Yes, I understand, My Lady, and I can say some of the issues I would have raised in the evidence Mr. Fox does not take issue with, so --
- THE COURT: Now, what about the other issue of -- of the identity?
- MR. MYHRE: I understand that Mr. Fox doesn't admit that he is the person Ms. Capuano refers to as Richard Riess or Patrick Fox, and so the Crown will be considering how to deal with that.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MYHRE: Your Ladyship, I think it might be worth addressing one of the issues that my friend sees so that it's all out on the record.

In these excerpts Mr. Fox details how certain events unfolded where Ms. Capuano took custody of their son, Gabriel, while he was incarcerated, and I think my friend had some concerns about that fact being before the jury. It seems to me that that is part of the narrative here and the jury can get an instruction about the lack of significance of that fact.

And I also expect Mr. Fox, as -- as I believe we've canvassed at a previous pretrial conference, does have a criminal record related to that, and the Crown will be putting it to him if he testifies, and he has always indicated his intention to testify.

```
And, so, for those reasons the Crown doesn't
         have any concerns about the prejudicial nature of
3
         that fact. So I think we should canvass with Mr.
4
         Fox if he has a view on that.
5
    THE COURT:
                Could you say it again, the evidence about?
6
                The fact that he was incarcerated in --
    MR. MYHRE:
7
         between 2009 and 2011.
8
    THE COURT: And the reason for the -- that
9
         incarceration?
10
    MR. MYHRE: My understanding is that there was an
11
         eventual conviction for perjury. That fact, I
12
         don't think, comes into the evidence, and I don't
13
         think it's in the website, and it wouldn't be
14
         before the jury unless Mr. Fox testified.
15
    THE COURT: So, I need more of an understanding about
16
         why that's part of the narrative, a necessary part
17
         of the narrative?
18
    MR. MYHRE: First of all, it's part of the website
19
         excerpts. Mr. Fox, as you know, has a background
20
         section, roughly 20 pages long, where he details
21
         the whole history from his perspective of this
22
         custody battle over Gabriel and his interactions
23
         with Ms. Capuano.
24
              Where that becomes relevant is that when Ms.
25
         Capuano eventually took custody of Gabriel it was
26
         in part due to the fact that Mr. Fox was in
27
         custody, and so she was able to do that.
28
         Essentially, she went to the home of a family
29
         friend who she learned was looking after Gabriel
30
         and took custody of him. And, so, if that fact
         wasn't before the jury they might wonder, well,
31
32
         where was Mr. Fox? In addition, it's already in
33
         the website.
34
    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Fox, what's your view on
35
         whether that should be before the jury or not?
36
    THE ACCUSED: Generally, I would be of the opinion that
37
         the period of time that I was in custody in the
38
         U.S., which was actually 2007 to 2011, would be
39
         prejudicial. But I do -- realistically, I have a
40
         bit of a -- not a concern, but realistically, I
41
         believe that most of the jurors are probably going
42
         to be somewhat aware of that because it is going
43
         to be out there, even though I realize they were
44
         admonished not to do that research.
45
              And I would have a bit of a concern that --
46
    THE COURT: I think -- stop, Mr. Fox.
47
    THE ACCUSED: Sorry.
```

```
THE COURT: Please make your submissions on the basis
2
         that the jurors will follow the instructions --
3
    THE ACCUSED: Okay.
4
    THE COURT: -- they're given. So, make it on the basis
5
         -- what we're trying to do here, and remembering
6
         that the jurors have been told they're to judge
7
         this case on the evidence presented in the
8
         courtroom. And what we're trying to do now is
9
         discuss what evidence should appropriately be
10
         presented in the courtroom.
11
    THE ACCUSED: Well, given that, I think that putting
12
         the fact that I was in custody in the U.S. I think
13
         would be prejudicial. And as Mr. Myhre had
14
         mentioned, Ms. Capuano did take custody of our son
15
         three weeks prior to me being released from
16
         Homeland Security custody, not on criminal
17
         matters, but I was purely in [indiscernible]
18
         custody. Part of the reason she did so, and this
19
         will come up in her testimony, was because she
20
         knew that I was going to be released, and Family
21
         Court at that time, three months later, ordered
22
         her to return Gabriel to my custody.
23
               I mean, I think she's -- I think if the jury
24
         is going to be aware of that time that I was in
25
         custody, it's going to bring up a lot of other
26
         questions which then might cause us to get off-
27
         track.
28
              So, I would be of the opinion that that
29
         information probably should not be important at
30
         this time.
31
    THE COURT: Do you have a suggestion, Mr. Myhre?
32
    MR. MYHRE: Yes, I'll just rip the first few pages out
33
         of the background in this book of excerpts. I
34
         have no problem with that. And then I'll be --
35
         I'll be fairly leading when I'm questioning Ms.
36
         Capuano about that and I'll just let her know this
37
         is not something we're going to bring up with the
38
                I have no issue with the jury not being
         jury.
39
         presented with that if Mr. Fox is opposed.
40
    THE COURT: All right.
                  I would like to recommend or suggest
41
    THE ACCUSED:
42
         possibly maybe redacting this specific information
43
         rather than removing the first few pages because
44
         there is other information in those first few
45
         pages which I believe is relevant. For example,
46
         the circumstances under which Ms. Capuano and I
47
         separated and I had custody, et cetera, because
```

```
there is that dispute, her story versus my story.
    THE COURT: Is that doable over the lunch hour? No?
    MR. MYHRE: So, My Lady, and my response to that is
4
         that Mr. Fox, if he chooses to testify, will have
5
         that opportunity.
6
    THE COURT: All right. So, over the lunch hour you're
7
         going to revise the books that will be given to
8
         the jury, Mr. Myhre. The parties will be -- is
9
         there something you need to discuss? If there
10
         is --
11
    THE ACCUSED: No, I don't think --
12
    THE COURT: No. I don't want to force you ahead if
13
         there's something that needs to be discussed about
14
         this.
15
    MR. MYHRE: Pardon me, My Lady. I just suggested to
16
         Mr. Fox that I'd just rip out a single page that I
17
         think deals with that period of time. So then the
18
         jury sees some of the background, maybe a missing
19
         page.
20
    THE COURT: Very well.
21
    THE ACCUSED: I don't oppose that.
22
    THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear?
23
    THE ACCUSED: I don't oppose that. Sorry, My Lady.
24
    THE COURT: All right. Can you tell me which page that
25
         is, or perhaps when you come back and if you're
26
         confident that's what you're doing.
27
              Now, what about references to the children by
28
         name in this trial, is that an issue?
29
    THE ACCUSED: I have on objection to that.
30
    MR. MYHRE: I should canvass that with Ms. Capuano.
31
    THE COURT: All right.
32
    MR. MYHRE: It seems to me that that information is
33
         already widely disseminated both through this
34
         website and the news reporting, but I should
35
         double check that.
36
    THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Myhre, Mr. Fox is trying to
37
         get your attention on that point, I think.
38
    MR. MYHRE: Mr. Fox just asked me to canvass it with
39
         Gabriel, as well. So I'll try to do that.
40
    THE COURT: Is there anything else we need to deal with
41
         before I ask the jury to come in, and Mr. Myhre,
42
         you'll make your opening address, I take it.
43
         Anything else?
44
    THE ACCUSED: No.
45
    THE COURT: Mr. Lagemaat?
46
    MR. LAGEMAAT: No.
    THE COURT: Everything fine from your --
47
```

43 44

45

46

47

### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

MR. MYHRE: Nothing else, My Lady. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. MYHRE: My Lady, I will be -- as you can see, I've 4 set up the podium right there. I'm slightly 5 concerned that it may block that juror's view. 6 But if that is the case, then we could maybe 7 rectify that over the lunch hour. I sat in that 8 chair and it seemed to me if I leaned slightly to 9 the right I could see the witness box, but I won't 10 know for sure until that person actually sits 11 there and I'm standing in front of him. 12 THE COURT: I would think you'd need to move it more 13 to -- toward the bench. Is that what you meant by 14 the right? 15 MR. MYHRE: Well, yeah, but the problem is there is a 16 step there. 17 THE COURT: Ah. Well, you'll need to do a test run 18 over the lunch hour. All right. Ready? 19 MR. MYHRE: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Please. 21 22 (JURY IN) 23 24 THE SHERIFF: The jury, My Lady. 25 THE COURT: Thank you, members of the jury. Mr. Myhre 26 is now going to make the Crown's opening address 27 to you. 28 29 OPENING REMARKS TO JURY BY CROWN: 30 31 MR. MYHRE: Ladies and gentlemen, as you know, I am 32 presenting the case to you against Patrick Fox, 33 and in the next five minutes here I'm going to 34 give you a fairly brief overview of the witnesses 35 I expect you're going to hear from, and the 36 purpose is to give you context, so that when you 37 actually hear them you'll have some idea of how it 38 fits in. What I say to you in the next few 39 minutes is not the evidence. The evidence is what 40 you hear from those witnesses. 41

The first witness, and the central witness in this matter, of course, is Desiree Capuano. She's a 36-year-old mother of two. She works in the IT field. She lives in Arizona.

You're going to hear that in the year 2000, when she was 19, she met Mr. Fox, who was then 26 years old. They soon became pregnant, they got

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Crown

# BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

married, and in September of 2000 they had a child whose name is Gabriel.

And you're going to hear over the course of this trial, I expect, two different versions of the events that took place between then and 2011, and you're going to hear that in 2011 to 2014 there was a bitter custody dispute. Ms. Capuano will tell you her version of events, and you'll also be presented with excerpts from a website that contain Mr. Fox's version of events.

At the end of the custody battle Ms. Capuano had custody of Gabriel, and Mr. Fox stated that it was his goal to do everything in his power to make her life as miserable as possible, hopefully so miserable she would commit suicide. He did this in two ways; through the tone and content of his email communications with her, and through a website that he created, www.desireecapuano.com. And you're going to be spending most of her testimony the rest of today and into tomorrow going through some of the emails and some of the website. As we go through them Ms. Capuano is going to tell you how these emails and the website affected her, and what she tried to do to get them to stop.

The remaining witnesses in the Crown case are fairly brief. You'll hear from RCMP Constable Jean-Philippe Dupont about a warning that Mr. Fox was given in the summer of 2015.

In relevance to the s. 93 charge you'll hear from Manvir Mangat, the owner of a business called "The Packaging Depot" about boxes Mr. Fox shipped between March and May of 2016 to an address in California.

You'll hear from U.S. ATF Agent Frank Spizuoco, that he attended that residence and found four handguns registered to Mr. Fox, concealed inside a desktop computer. And you will also listen to an audio-recording of Mr. Fox's interrogation by the RCMP on June 16th, 2016 in which he admitted shipping his firearms to the United States, admitted to being the author of the website, and admitted that his intention was to ruin Ms. Capuano's life.

A brief word of caution, you're going to hear a lot about the interactions between these two people. What you hear about your history is meant

# Opening Remarks to Jury by Crown

# BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

to give you context for what followed, and there's a potential to be sidetracked about who really did what to who in 2001, 2005, 2012.

At the end of the day the issues that you'll have to decide, of course, are whether it's proven beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Fox — that his actions between January the 11th, 2015 and May the 27th, 2016, that is the website that he created, the emails that he sent, caused her to have, that is Ms. Capuano, legitimate fear for her safety, and fear for safety encompasses a person's bodily and mental wellbeing.

You'll have to decide whether in May of 2016 he possessed his firearms in a place that he wasn't authorized to. So, as we go through email after email, and through the website in some detail, don't lose sight of those fine questions.

So, without further delay, then, the Crown's first witness is Desiree Capuano. My Lady, I wonder if I could just have a brief word with her outside?

(JURY IN)

THE CLERK: If you could stand, please?

# 

### DESIREE CAPUANO

a witness called for the Crown, affirmed.

A Yes, ma'am.

THE CLERK: Please state your full name and spell your last name for the record.

A Desiree Capuano, C-a-p-u-a-n-o.

THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.

THE COURT: Now, before you start, Mr. Myhre, I would just like to say a couple of things to the jury about some of the procedures we're following.

You're going to have to move out of my way, please. Thank you.

Members of the jury, you may have noticed that Ms. Capuano, who is the next witness, is testifying behind a screen that has been placed next to her, but in a fashion that allows you to see her, and also has with her a support person.

MR. MYHRE: Ms. Michelle Vickers.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Who is someone Ms.

Capuano knows and who will play absolutely no part 2 in Ms. Capuano's testimony. 3 These procedures, using the screen and having 4 a support person with Ms. Capuano are used simply 5 6 to give her, as a witness, a comfortable situation in which to testify. The fact that we're using 7 these procedures has nothing to do with the guilt 8 or innocence of Mr. Fox. These procedures are not 9 evidence of anything. The fact that the 10 procedures are used must not affect your assessment of the evidence in any way. Thank you. 11 12 Ms. Capuano's just shifted over a little bit 13 in the witness box. All right. 14 Now, with Mr. Myhre standing where he's now 15 standing, does that obscure anybody's view? 16 MR. MYHRE: You can see around me? Okay. 17

THE COURT: Thank you.

18 19

#### EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. MYHRE:

20 21

- Ms. Capuano, you are 36 years old?
- 22 Α Yes.
- 23 You were born in Florida, you grew up in Alaska 24 and then Washington?
- 25 Α Yes.
- 26 And you currently live in Arizona? Q
- 27 Α
- 28 0 Generally speaking, you work in the IT field?
- 29 Α
- 30 Q You live, right now, with your partner, James 31 Pendleton?
- 32 Α Yes.
- 33 You've been dating -- or you started dating him in Q 34 July of 2014?
- 35 Α Yes.
- 36 And you moved in together in the summer of 2015? Q
- 37 Α
- 38 You have two sons, Sage and Gabriel? Q
- 39 Α Yes.
- 40 Q Gabriel's 16?
- 41 Yes. Α
- 42 Sage is 13? Q
- 43 Α Yes.
- 44 And I understand that in January of 2000, you met
- 45 a person named Richard Riess at a bar in Phoenix?
- 46 Α Yes.
- 47 Q You were 19?

Yes. Α 2 And how old was Richard? Q 3 Α Twenty-five. 24, 25. Q At some point, Richard Riess stopped using the 5 name Richard Riess? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Roughly, when was that? 8 Α The summer of 2014. 9 And what name did he start using? Q 10 Α Patrick Fox. 11 Q In March of 2000, you became pregnant with 12 Richard's child? 13 Α Yes. 14 The two of you got married in Las Vegas in August Q 15 of 2000? 16 Α Yes. 17 And Gabriel was born somewhat prematurely in 18 September of 2000? 19 Α Very prematurely. 20 You separated from Mr. Fox, or Mr. Riess, in 2001? Q 21 Α 22 Or the two of you separated. There was a custody 23 battle over Gabriel at that point in time? 24 Α 25 There were court hearings involved? Q 26 Α Yes. 27 And at the end of it, I understand there was a Q 28 joint custody order where Gabriel was to go back 29 and forth between your home in Florida, and 30 Richard's home in California? 31 Yes. Α 32 What was your belief about your marital status 33 with Richard at the end of the court proceedings? 34 I was under the impression that the divorce 35 proceedings were included with the custody so that 36 had been taken care of. I was young and naive, 37 but --38 You were 21 when the custody --Q 39 Α Yes. 40 -- was resolved? I understand that very shortly, 41 within about a month, or so, you did not have the 42 funds to fly Gabriel back from California? 43 Α Correct. 44 And that Richard ended up with custody by default? Q 45 Α 46 Q And not long after that, Richard stopped 47 communicating with you?

Α

Correct.

# Desiree Capuano (for Crown) in chief by Mr. Myhre BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

Yes. Α 2 And you were unable to reach Gabriel? Q 3 Α Yes. 4 Q In 2002, you married a man named Michael Capuano? 5 Α 6 Q You had your son Sage with him in 2003? 7 Α Yes. 8 I understand the next time you had contact with 9 Gabriel was in 2005? 10 Α Yes. 11 And that Richard had brought Gabriel to see your Q 12 mother? 13 Α Yes. 14 They had a series of visits, your mother and 15 Gabriel did? 16 Α 17 But they didn't leave any contact information? Q 18 Α 19 Q Did you want to have contact with Gabriel? 20 Α 21 Q Were you doing anything to try to get in contact 22 with him? 23 After 2005, when the phone number didn't work, I Α 24 did reach out to various sources, legal sources, 25 but never made any progress with finding him. 26 When you say various sources, who are you Q 27 referring to? 28 Lawyers, I spoke with attorneys, I spoke with 29 police in various states, Missing Persons, CPS. 30 Q That's Child Protection Services? 31 Correct, sorry, Child Protection Services, yes. Α 32 left my information with Child Protective Services 33 in Arizona and California every six months. 34 So in 2005, did you have any interaction with 35 Gabriel? 36 Α After the phone call, no. I spoke with him on the 37 phone once in 2005 while he was at my mother's 38 house. 39 I understand that you spoke to him on the phone 40 again in 2007? 41 Α Correct. 42 The circumstances of that were that Richard had 43 contacted your mom? 44 Α Yes. 45 Asking for your contact information so Gabriel Q 46 could contact you?

And then Gabriel did phone you? Q 2 Α Correct. 3 In 2009, you separated from Michael Capuano? Q 4 Α Yes. 5 Q And then I understand that in 2011, Richard sent 6 you a letter, inviting you to re-establish some 7 contact with Gabriel --8 Α Correct. 9 -- and apologizing for withholding him from you? Q 10 Α Yes. 11 There were a few letters back and forth and then 12 eventually he gave you Gabriel's contact 13 information? 14 Correct. Α 15 And it was then that you learned that Gabriel was 16 actually living with a friend of Richard's named 17 Liz Munoz, in the Los Angeles area? 18 Α Correct. 19 And then you drove to California and you actually Q 20 met with Gabriel in June of 2011? 21 Α Yes. 22 That was the first time you'd seen him since 2001? Q 23 Α 24 And I understand that initially it was going well, 25 but then very short in -- in July of 2011, Liz 26 told you that she was concerned that you were 27 going to take Gabriel, and she told you that she 28 was going to, essentially, hide him from you? 29 Α Yes. 30 I understand that after that, you got some legal 31 advice? 32 Α Yes. 33 You then drove to California and with the Q 34 assistance of police, took custody of Gabriel? 35 Α 36 Then in October 2011, Richard filed for custody of Q 37 Gabriel in California? 38 Α Yes. 39 In November, without having heard from you, I 40 understand that the judge in California ordered 41 that Gabriel should be immediately returned to 42 California? 43 Yes. Α 44 There was a court hearing where you were heard Q 45 then? 46 Yes. Α 47 In November 2011, and at the end of that, the

```
order was for joint custody with Richard having
2
         primary physical custody and a visitation plan
3
         where Gabriel would visit you on school breaks?
4
    Α
          Correct.
5
         And how did you feel about that arrangement?
6
          I was okay with that arrangement. That was what
    Α
7
          Gabriel said he wanted so --
8
         And you flew Gabriel to Arizona for winter
9
         break --
10
    Α
         Yes.
11
          -- over the winter 2012 into January 2013?
12
    Α
         Yes. Multiple visits throughout 2012, but, yes,
13
          also for that one.
14
         And so then again in the summer of 2012 --
    0
15
    Α
         Yes.
16
    Q
          -- he flew to Arizona --
17
    Α
18
    Q
          -- and stayed with you for a period of time?
19
    Α
20
          In October -- on October 2nd, 2012, your then-
    Q
21
         partner, Chris Lochner [phonetic] got into some
22
          trouble with police?
23
          Yes.
    Α
24
          They found a gun that he had stolen and put into
    Q
25
          your attic?
26
    Α
          Yes.
27
         And he was arrested?
    Q
28
    Α
         Yes.
29
         And I understand you separated from Mr. Lochner on
    Q
30
         that day?
31
         Absolutely.
    Α
32
         And I understand that soon after that, Child
    Q
33
         Protective Services came to your home?
34
    Α
         Yes.
35
         You later learned from Richard that that was at
36
         Richard's instigation?
37
    Α
          Yes.
38
          They actually did a drug test on your hair, I
    Q
39
          think, they took a sample?
40
         Yes. Yes, they did.
    Α
41
    Q
         And eventually Child Protection Services didn't
42
          intervene in your home?
43
    Α
         No.
44
    MR. MYHRE: My Lady, I think this is probably an
45
          opportune time for a break.
46
    THE COURT: Members of the jury, we'll take the lunch
47
         break at this point, and I'll ask you to come
```

Desiree Capuano (for Crown)
in chief by Mr. Myhre
BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

```
back, please, at two o'clock. Thank you.
2
3
               (JURY OUT)
4
5
    THE COURT: Anything we need to deal with?
6
    MR. MYHRE: No, My Lady.
7
    THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. We'll resume at 2:00.
8
         Thank you.
9
10
               (WITNESS STOOD DOWN)
11
12
               (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR NOON RECESS)
13
               (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)
14
15
    MR. MYHRE: Pardon me, My Lady. I just wanted to
16
         confirm with Mr. Fox before I ripped a bunch of
17
         pages out, so we did that and we've just completed
18
         it.
19
    THE COURT:
                All right. Good. Now, I've had a note
20
          from one of the jurors saying that they are having
21
         trouble hearing Mr. Myhre in your questioning.
22
    MR. MYHRE: Right.
23
    THE COURT: And the ask -- the request is that you
24
         speak up, not looking down at your paper, or that
25
          you use a microphone. So, there is a microphone
26
         there. I don't know whether that's an amplifying
27
         one.
28
    THE CLERK: It doesn't amplify, My Lady, it just
29
          records.
30
    THE COURT: Are there any amplifying ones around?
    MR. MYHRE: My Lady, just a suggestion, but I could
31
32
         move this podium to right here so I don't
33
         completely have my back to the jury when I'm
34
          speaking.
35
    THE COURT: I don't want you in a position where you're
36
          able to see notes that the jurors might be making.
37
         That might make them uncomfortable.
38
    MR. MYHRE: Okay. Well, I can try to speak up,
39
         certainly.
40
    THE COURT: Can you perhaps turn a little bit at an
41
          angle so that you can -- that might help a little
42
         bit. Madam Registrar, the note should be the next
43
         exhibit for identification, please.
44
    THE CLERK: It's Exhibit D for I.D., My Lady.
45
    THE COURT: Thank you.
46
```

MARKED D FOR IDENTIFICATION: Note from juror

```
1
               re being unable to hear
2
3
    MR. MYHRE: Your Honour, the Crown would like to
4
         request a publication ban as it relates to Sage
5
          and Gabriel Capuano.
6
                Can you give me the section number?
    THE COURT:
7
    MR. MYHRE:
                486.5, My Lady.
8
    THE COURT: Does that apply only to witnesses?
9
    MR. MYHRE: In respect of a victim or a witness.
                                                        (2)
10
          allows for a justice system participant.
11
         Certainly, on a common-sense point of view, at
12
         least on the Crown theory, Sage and Gabriel are
13
         victims, but I don't think they are in the
14
         technical not named complainants in the
15
          indictment, obviously.
16
    THE COURT: Mr. Fox, do you have any submission to
17
         make?
18
    THE ACCUSED: I have no opposition to such a
19
         publication ban on the children's names.
20
         personally would never publish their name. Well,
21
         I've never published Gabriel's name. I can't say
22
         that about Sage. But, no, I support the ban in
23
         matters relating to these proceedings, I guess.
24
    THE COURT: Yes. All right. I'm going to make the
         order, subject to it -- subject to any application
25
26
         by anyone for the ban to be lifted, and that
27
         application can be made at any time, any
28
         appropriate time on notice.
29
               Although it may not be expressly provided for
30
         in the Criminal Code the court has an inherent
31
          jurisdiction, particularly in relation to the
32
         interests of children, and these young people
33
         will -- would be considered children.
34
               Given the nature of some of the evidence that
35
         may relate to them, in my view, it would be my
36
         view based on what has been brought to my
37
         attention thus far, it will -- would not be in
38
         their interests for their names to be published.
39
               So, there will be a ban on the publication of
40
         any information identifying the -- well, it can't
41
         be put in those terms, the same terms Mr. Myhre's
42
              486.5 operates, otherwise it wouldn't be
43
         possible to publish the -- Mr. Fox's name or Ms.
44
         Capuano's name. They're the only two children
45
          they have. Or the only two children of Ms.
46
         Capuano.
47
               So, how do you propose that it be framed?
```

```
MR. MYHRE: I would be content if it just was that
1
         their names not be published.
3
    THE COURT: All right. The names of Ms. Capuano's to
4
         children, one of those also being Mr. Fox's child,
5
6
         are not to be published in connection with any
         publication relating to these proceedings.
7
              Any submissions about that form of order, Mr.
8
         Myhre?
9
    MR. MYHRE: No.
10
    THE COURT: Mr. Fox?
11
    THE ACCUSED: No, My Lady.
12
    THE COURT: All right. Are we ready for the jury?
13
    MR. MYHRE: I just want to mention this book. So, this
14
         is going to be gone through with -- with Ms.
15
         Capuano in the next portion of her evidence. Can
16
         Your Ladyship -- or do we need to tell the jury
17
         that it's admitted that this is an accurate copy
18
         of the website as it existed on May 27th, 2016?
19
    THE COURT: That is admitted?
20
    MR. MYHRE: I thought that was the end of the
21
         discussions we had this morning?
22
    THE COURT: There's been nothing further since this
23
         morning, is -- is that correct?
24
    MR. MYHRE: There's been nothing further since this
25
         morning.
26
    THE COURT: All right. Then I'll say something about
27
         that to the jury at the time you hand the books
28
29
    MR. MYHRE: Now, My Lady, I don't have an extra copy
30
         for you because you have that copy, but I should
31
         alert you to the pages to take out.
32
    THE COURT: Please.
33
    MR. MYHRE: It just occurred to me, I had intended for
34
         the exhibit copy to be the copy Ms. Capuano has,
35
         so I'll have to do the same thing with her.
36
    THE COURT: All right.
37
               It also occurred to me that Ms. Capuano has
    MR. MYHRE:
38
         probably done some highlighting in her book.
39
         don't see that as being an issue, but I want to
40
         let Your Ladyship know that.
41
    THE COURT: In the orig -- what you're proposing be the
42
         original exhibit?
43
    MR. MYHRE: Be the exhibit.
    THE COURT: Well, that would be the copy that the jury
44
45
         will end up with in the jury room. Is that your
46
         intention?
47
    MR. MYHRE: Yes. Realistically, it's hard to imagine
```

```
them looking at a copy other than their own copy,
         which they will likely potentially have made notes
3
         in.
4
    THE COURT:
                Is there any possibility that she may have
5
         annotated anything in addition to highlighting?
6
    MR. MYHRE: That's possible.
7
    THE COURT: Well, that's not acceptable for that to go
8
         to the jury.
9
    MR. MYHRE: Okay. I'll have to check that.
10
    THE COURT: Have you got an extra copy?
11
    MR. MYHRE: I'm afraid I don't.
12
    THE COURT: Well, I think we're going to have to ask
13
         two jurors to share. Ask -- you use a clean copy
14
         as the one that Ms. Capuano will be asked to look
15
         at and will become an exhibit. But we can't be
16
         giving an annotated copy to the jury.
17
    MR. MYHRE: I'll have to canvass that, find out if
18
         that's happened.
19
    THE COURT: And you'll have to have an extra copy made
20
         overnight and we'll just tell the jury you haven't
21
         got enough.
22
              So, what are the pages that --
23
    MR. MYHRE: At tab 6, the fourth page that begins with,
24
         "Desiree returns to Phoenix" at the top.
25
    THE COURT: Yes.
26
    MR. MYHRE: That's been ripped out.
27
    THE COURT: All right.
28
    MR. MYHRE: And then the last two full pages at tab 9
29
         have been taken out. So, both double-sided pages
30
         have been removed.
    THE COURT: All right. And that's it?
31
32
    MR. MYHRE: Yes. There's a request from Ms. Capuano
33
         that if -- if it's possible that Mr. Fox not be in
34
         the room when she's brought in. So, I wonder
35
         if -- ordinarily, if we were going straight into
36
         her evidence I would just have her sitting in,
37
         but, of course, we had to canvass these issues.
38
         Would it be possible to have Mr. Fox returned to
39
         the cell briefly while we bring her in, have Mr.
40
         Fox come back? It's a few extra minutes, but I'm
41
         going to have to go canvass the issue of the book
42
         with her right now, as well.
43
    THE COURT: All right. We'll stand down very briefly.
44
45
               (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED)
46
               (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)
47
```

```
1
               (JURY IN)
2
3
    THE COURT: Thank you. Members of the jury, I
4
         understand there has been some difficulty hearing,
5
          in particular Mr. Myhre's questions, and we turned
6
          the lectern a little bit, hoping that his voice
7
          will project out, and Mr. Myhre has agreed to
8
          speak more loudly than he did before.
9
    MR. MYHRE: If there's any difficulty, please alert the
10
          judge and I'll address it, but thank you.
11
12
                                 DESIREE CAPUANO, recalled.
13
14
    EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. MYHRE, CONTINUING:
15
16
    Q
         Ms. Capuano, when we left off before the lunch
17
         break, we had sort of come through a very brief
18
          summary of the history involving Gabriel and
19
          yourself and Richard Riess up to 2012?
20
          Yes.
    Α
21
          I'm going to skip ahead from 2012 for a moment,
22
          and then we're going to end up going back to 2013,
23
         but in March of 2014, you became aware of the
24
          existence of a website, www.desireecapuano.com?
25
    Α
          Yes.
26
         You've been to that website?
    Q
27
    Α
          I have. I have seen it.
28
         You haven't gone through every last bit of it?
    Q
29
    Α
         No.
30
    Q
         You're generally aware of some of what's on it?
31
    Α
32
    Q
          In preparation for this trial, I showed you a book
33
          that I see you have on your lap?
34
    Α
          Yes.
35
          It's titled, "Excerpts from
    Q
36
         www.desireecapuano.com"?
37
    Α
         Yes.
38
          You reviewed the book?
    Q
39
    Α
          I have.
40
         And based on what you've seen of the website, as
41
         well as what you know of your own email
42
          correspondence with Richard, are what is -- is
43
         what's shown in this book accurate? Does it
44
          accurately depict the website and your emails?
45
         There have been some modifications to the emails,
46
         but for the content, it -- it seems fairly
47
         accurate.
```

Desiree Capuano (for Crown)
in chief by Mr. Myhre
BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

So we'll get to that in a second. MR. MYHRE: My Lady, at this stage, I'd like to tender 3 this book as an exhibit. I have a fresh copy that 4 I'll give to Ms. Capuano. 5 THE COURT: All right. Can copies go to members of the 6 jury at this point? 7 MR. MYHRE: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Members of the jury, Mr. Sheriff is going 9 to distribute copies to you. We're short one copy 10 and so I'm going to ask that two of you share for 11 now, and there will be another copy made 12 available, probably by tomorrow. And then I'm 13 going to say something to you about this book of 14 documents. 15 THE COURT: And this is an admission. You remember I 16 spoke to you about things that are agreed as 17 between the Crown and the defence and you can 18 treat them as fact. It is agreed that the 19 material in this book is an accurate 20 representation of some of the material that 21 appeared on the website that you've heard about as 22 of May 26th, 2016. So I'll say that again. It's 23 agreed that the material in the book you have just 24 been given is an accurate representation of some 25 of the material that appeared on the website as of 26 May 26th, 2016. 27 Thank you, My Lady, and can we mark this as MR. MYHRE: 28 an exhibit before I forget? 29 THE COURT: All right. So that's going to be 30 Exhibit 1, I take it? 31 THE CLERK: Exhibit 1 on the trial proper, My Lady. 32 33 EXHIBIT 1: Brief titled "Excerpts from 34 www.desireecapuano.com" 35 36 THE COURT: Members of the jury, the copies you've been 37 given are for your reference. The version that 38 Ms. Capuano has just been given will be the 39 official exhibit and it will go with you at the 40 end of the trial into the jury room, but you've 41 got those copies to follow along with now during 42 the trial. 43 44 MR. MYHRE: 45 Q Ms. Capuano, could I take you to Tab 8, please? 46 Α Yes.

This is a list of emails, mostly purporting to be

Q

#### Desiree Capuano (for Crown) in chief by Mr. Myhre BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

```
between yourself and Patrick. In terms of the
2
          number and the dates of these emails, we can see
3
          they start at the top. The most recent would be
4
         May 20th, 2016, and then on the last page, the
5
6
          last one listed is October the 10th, 2011?
          Yes.
    Α
7
    Q
         As far as you've been able to tell, is that an --
8
          accurate in terms of the number of emails that
9
         went back and forth between you and Richard?
10
    Α
         Yes. Yeah.
11
          Do you think there are any emails, or email chains
12
         missing from this list?
13
          There -- there might be. I -- I haven't looked,
    Α
14
          compared.
15
         And then as far as the dates that are on these
16
          emails and the dates of the other emails in this
17
         book that you've reviewed, do the dates appear to
18
         be accurate, as far as you can tell?
19
    Α
          Yes.
20
          Okay. Now, I'd like you to go to the very end,
    Q
21
          the last page of Tab 8, and I'm going to suggest
22
          that if you flip forward from -- forward in time
23
          from that point of view or that point, there are,
24
          roughly, 13 pages on a list of emails before you
25
          get to October 2012 --
26
          Yes.
    Α
27
          -- about 13 pages. And there are about 35 emails
28
          a page --
29
    Α
          Yes.
30
          -- and so that's, roughly, 450 emails between
31
          October 2011 and October 2012?
32
    Α
          Yes.
33
    Q
          Does that sound, roughly, accurate in terms of the
34
          number of communications that were going back and
35
          forth between yourself and Richard at that time?
36
    Α
          Yes.
37
          In a couple of sentences, could you characterize
38
          the tone of these email communications between
39
          yourself and Richard during that timespan?
40
         Between 2011 and 2012?
    Α
41
    Q
          Yes.
42
         Many of the emails from Richard were demanding,
43
          ordering, threatening to take me back to court,
44
          telling me that -- in -- insulting. They were
45
         mean and -- and -- and hostile, and aggressive in
46
          nature.
```

Okay. We're going to go back to some of the high-

```
level events that took place for a minute.
2
          understand that in October of 2012, Richard told
3
          you that he had a job offer from a company in
4
          Vancouver and he wanted your permission to take
5
          Gabriel to Vancouver with him?
6
         Yes.
    Α
7
         You didn't want that?
    Q
8
    Α
         Correct.
9
         Why?
    Q
10
          I was scared that if he took my son to Canada, I
    Α
11
         would not see him again.
12
         And in October 2012, Richard filed a motion with
13
          the court in California seeking permission to take
14
          Gabriel to Canada and suspend all visitation with
15
          you?
16
    Α
         Yes.
17
         At that time, your understanding of Richard's
18
          legal status in the country was that he wasn't
19
          legally allowed to be there?
20
    Α
          Correct.
         And I understand that in November, you called an
21
22
          FBI tip line and told them that you believed
23
         Richard was in the country illegally?
24
    Α
         Yes.
25
    Q
         And why did you call the tip line and tell them
26
          that?
27
         With the intent that he would be deported.
    Α
28
          And you told them that if they didn't do anything
29
          about it, you would contact the media?
30
    Α
31
          In early January of 2013, Gabriel was staying with
    Q
32
          you for his winter break?
33
    Α
34
          And you got a call from Liz Munoz, who told you
35
          that Richard had been arrested and detained by the
36
          immigration authorities?
37
    Α
          Yes.
38
         And it was your belief at that time that Richard
    Q
39
         had been deported?
40
    Α
         Yes.
41
    Q
         Richard then filed a motion with the court in
42
          California that was to be heard on March the 20th,
43
          or was that already a scheduled hearing?
44
    Α
          That was already a scheduled hearing.
45
    Q
          So there was a hearing scheduled for March 20th,
46
          2013 to discuss the issue of custody?
47
    Α
         Yes.
```

```
And did that -- was that to be a mediation?
    Q
2
    Α
          Yes.
3
    Q
         And did it go ahead?
4
    Α
         No, it was taken off the calendar.
5
    Q
         Why?
6
         He was removed from the country.
    Α
7
    Q
         And I understand that on March the 20th, you got a
8
          call from the mediator at the court --
9
    Α
         Yes.
10
    Q
          -- who told you that Richard was actually present
11
          at the court for the mediation?
12
    Α
          Yes.
13
         And you then called the FBI?
    Q
14
    Α
15
    Q
         And you later learned that Richard was deported
16
          again on that date?
17
         Yes.
    Α
18
         And so then I understand that from that point on,
19
          Gabriel lived with you?
20
    Α
          Yes.
21
    Q
          Okay. We're going to go back to the book now and
22
          go through some of the chronology of what happened
23
          from there while also looking at some of the email
24
          correspondence between yourself and Richard.
25
          Could we go to Tab 9, please? And Ms. Capuano,
26
          could you go to the second page, the email that
27
          the subject line is, "Last attempt at an amicable
28
          resolution"?
29
         Yes.
    Α
30
          So I want to ask you a couple general questions
31
          about this email and all the emails that are in
32
          this book. First of all, were you using the
33
         web -- or, sorry, the email address,
34
          desiree.capuano@gmail.com?
35
         Yes.
    Α
36
         And was Richard using the address,
    Q
37
         patrick@desireecapuano.com?
38
    Α
         No.
39
         What email address was he using?
    Q
40
         Richardriess@gmail.com.
    Α
41
    0
         And if we just look down to the second line of the
42
          content of the email, it says [as read in]:
43
44
               On Sunday, July 21st, 2013, Patrick wrote,
45
               "Desiree" . . .
46
47
         Et cetera. Did the word "Patrick" appear in this
```

```
email when you received it in 2013?
    Α
         No.
3
    Q
         What did it say there?
4
    Α
          "Richard."
5
         Other than those differences, the emails that you
         reviewed in here, do they appear to be accurate
7
         copies of the actual correspondence between
8
         yourself and Richard?
9
         Most of them had my son also included when he sent
10
         them to me.
11
         And by included, what do you mean?
12
         CC'd on the emails.
    Α
13
    Q
         And are you referring to Gabriel?
14
    Α
15
         And then just as far as the dates that are listed,
16
         do those appear to be accurate to you?
17
         Yes, the dates do.
18
    MR. MYHRE: Okay. At this point, I'd like to invite
         the jury to review the email, "Last attempt at an
19
20
         amicable resolution." And the way that I intend
21
         to proceed by reviewing these emails is to have
22
         the members of the jury read the email and then
23
          I'll be asking Ms. Capuano a few questions about
24
         it. And so maybe just look up at me when you're
25
         done reading and I'll start with the questions.
26
    THE COURT: Mr. Myhre, this a public trial so
27
          everything has to be on the record.
28
    MR. MYHRE: This is -- it is an exhibit, My Lady.
29
                It is. Is it publicly available?
    THE COURT:
30
          It's on the website.
31
    MR. MYHRE:
                I would think the members of the public
32
         could apply for access to it.
33
    THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure we can proceed in the
34
         way you're suggesting. Can you not direct her
35
          attention to specific portions?
36
    MR. MYHRE: It does seem to me that the jury will have
37
          to look at this book at some point.
                                               It's an
38
          exhibit and it will --
39
    THE COURT:
                I think we need to address this so --
40
                Very good.
    MR. MYHRE:
    THE COURT: Members of the jury, I'm going to ask you
41
42
          to retire to the jury room briefly. Leave all
43
         your materials, please, in the courtroom. Nobody
44
         will go near them. Thank you.
45
46
               (JURY OUT)
```

```
THE COURT: Now, is this a discussion that should be in
         Ms. Capuano's presence?
3
    MR. MYHRE: I don't think that will be a problem, My
4
         Lady.
5
    MR. LAGEMAAT: I have no objection to that.
6
    THE COURT: All right. Can you give me a bit more
7
         detail about the process you're intending to
8
         follow, and why, please?
9
    MR. MYHRE: Yes. So, each of these emails, as we've
10
         just covered with Ms. Capuano, is a communication
11
         between herself and Richard Riess, and she's
12
         testified that they, as far as she can tell,
13
         appear to be accurate, with the exceptions she's
14
         mentioned, and they are tendered because they are
15
         relevant to the harassment. I mean, some of these
16
         emails are -- many of these emails are the
17
         harassment, and a few are also there for context.
18
              And, so, I guess it would be an option -- we
19
         have to make sure the jury reads it. It would be
20
         an option for them to retire for that purpose, I
21
         suppose, because it's evidence. But it would make
22
         a lot more sense, I think, for them to look at
23
         each one and hear what this meant to Ms. Capuano,
24
         if it's relevant to the criminal harassment, how
25
         it made her feel, or if it needs some explaining
26
         otherwise.
27
    THE COURT: The trial needs to be conducted in public,
28
         and to say, "Please look down" and read something
29
         that is not on the record, and then ask Ms.
30
         Capuano how that made her feel does not give the
31
         public the information that made her feel a
32
         certain way.
33
    MR. MYHRE: I see.
34
    THE COURT: And it may be true that, yes, exhibits can
35
         be applied for and released in certain
36
         circumstances. That's -- that's a cumbersome
37
         process. It's not clear when an application can
38
         be made. But then, numerous copies are
39
         circulating, which may not --
40
    MR. MYHRE: My Lady, I think --
41
    THE COURT: -- be the best method of proceeding.
42
    MR. MYHRE: Having heard your concern, maybe it can be
43
         addressed this way. I could ask Ms. Capuano to
44
         summarize, "What's this email about," in a couple
45
         of sentences, and --
46
    THE COURT: Why can't you just read it out?
47
    MR. MYHRE: The simple answer is there are a lot of
```

#### BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

them and it's very cumbersome. Ms. Capuano could then be asked, "Did this have an impact on you, 3 and what words here were significant," and she can 4 tell the jury that and highlight, "It was this 5 sentence" or "these sentences." 6 THE COURT: Well, that's a different way of proceeding. 7 It seems to me you've got several options. You 8 can either read aloud the portions that you're 9 going to ask Ms. Capuano to comment on so that 10 it's before the jury and it's before the public, 11 then you ask her to comment. Or you present a 12 particular email and say, "Is it one you'd seen 13 before, and if it is, was there a particular 14 portion that troubled you, and if so, where" --15 "what portion was it, and she essentially takes us 16 to the portion," and then it needs to be, at the 17 very minimum, summarized, but probably read into 18 the record. 19 But we can't be conducting a trial on the 20 basis of a book of material that isn't before the 21 public in any way at all. 22 MR. MYHRE: I'm content to proceed in that fashion, My 23 Ladv. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Fox, you were on your feet earlier, and 25 I -- I know you have a concern. 26 THE ACCUSED: Yes, yes, thank you, My Lady. I did have 27 a bit of concern with the approach of Mr. Myhre 28 for some other party reading off just what he 29 considers the pertinent segments because I think 30 that's going to then continue the situation of 31 having fragments out of context. 32 Now, when Ms. Capuano would've received the 33 email she would've read the entire email. 34 were only looking at specific sentences and 35 ignoring the rest of it, that wouldn't have made a 36 lot of sense. 37 I mean, her fear, if she had any fear, it 38 should have been based on the entire email. 39 THE COURT: All right. That's probably a matter for 40 either cross-examination, or argument, or both. 41 Given the volume of material there's no way all of 42 it can be read aloud in the trial. 43 THE ACCUSED: Right. 44 THE COURT: We'd be here for years. But I have your 45 concern, but in connection with this particular 46 point, I don't see it as necessary that the entire

content be read out each time. But there are

```
1
          other ways to address the concern that you've
2
          raised.
3
               All right. Could we have --
4
    THE ACCUSED: I think that's clear.
5
    THE COURT: -- the jury back, please?
6
7
               (JURY IN)
8
9
    THE COURT: Thank you, members of the jury.
10
11
    EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. MYHRE, CONTINUING:
12
13
          So Ms. Capuano, when we left off, we were talking
14
          about the email titled, "Last attempt at an
15
          amicable resolution, " dated June -- or, sorry,
16
          July 21st, 2013?
17
         Yes.
    Α
18
          Could you summarize what this email is about?
19
          This email in particular is about Richard's demand
20
          that I return Gabriel to him immediately,
21
          otherwise he threatens very negative
22
          repercussions.
23
          Sorry, he threatens?
    Q
24
          Oh, repercussions.
    Α
25
         And what kind of repercussions? And if there's a
26
         particular sentence you think --
27
    Α
         Okay.
28
          -- illustrates that, please read it out.
    Q
29
          It -- it would be easier.
    Α
30
    Q
          Okay. Tell us where it is and read it out for us,
31
          please.
32
          It's the third paragraph, starting on the fourth
    Α
33
          sentence [as read in]:
34
35
               And let me be perfectly clear, if we go down
36
               that road, every aspect of your life will be
37
               scrutinized. His investigator will research,
38
               document and record everything you are doing
39
               and have done for the past 10 years. Every
40
               disciplinary action or behavioural report
41
               about Sage will be brought up. Every single
42
               time you, Michael, Christopher, your mother,
43
               your brother or anyone else close to you has
44
               ever interacted with any law enforcement
45
               officer, not paid a bill on time, will be
46
               scrutinized. Every past and present employer
               and landlord will be interviewed. Every
47
```

1 person you've lived with or associated with 2 will be questioned. Every tax return, every 3 credit card bill, every bank account, every 4 medical record including psychological and 5 6 psychiatric for all relevant people in your life will be found and picked apart. Medical 7 records are confidential but investigators 8 always have a way around that. Every time 9 Sage choked a kid at school will be found. 10 Every person you've ever had a relationship 11 with or slept with, or even just had a drink 12 with will be found and questioned. 13 person that comes to your home or whose home 14 you go to, every person you've worked with, 15 will be researched and questioned. Every 16 person you have ever betrayed, lied to, 17 deceived, misled, will be located and 18 interviewed. 19 20 Okay. And we see your response above [as read 21 inl: 22 23 You do what you feel you need to. 24 25 Α 26 How would you characterize your response? Q 27 I was not going to send my son to Canada. Α 28 Why not? 0 29 Α Again, I felt that if he went up to Canada, I 30 would not see him again and I had custody of our 31 child in the United States. 32 Okay. And how did Richard's words that you read Q 33 out make you feel? 34 Α Scared. 35 Scared of what? Q 36 That he would do this and that I would have to 37 somehow try to fix whatever damage was caused. 38 Okay. Now, if we flip back to the previous page, Q 39 there's an email titled, "One more thing," dated 40 July 21st, 2013, at 11:29 a.m. When was this sent 41 in relation to the email we just looked at? 42 Same day. Α 43 And I see Mr. Fox's -- or, sorry, Mr. Riess's 44 words there, the third line down [as read in]: 45 46 And when I say I'm willing to lose 47 everything, I mean it. Another difference is

```
1
               that I really don't have anything to lose at
2
               this point.
3
4
          How did you take those words?
5
          I -- I didn't know what to mean -- make of them.
    Α
6
          I \operatorname{\mathsf{--}} it was \operatorname{\mathsf{--}} it was just another threat, that he
7
          would give up everything to get what he wanted.
8
          Did Gabriel visit Richard at all in Canada in
9
          2013?
10
          No. Not until winter break.
    Α
11
          When was that?
12
    Α
          December through January 2013 to 2014.
13
          And was the court involved in that?
     Q
14
    Α
15
     Q
          Was -- so there -- was there a court order that
16
          said --
17
          Yes.
    Α
18
    Q
          -- you will send Gabriel to Canada?
19
    Α
          For these days.
20
    Q
          Okay. If we could go to Tab 10, please, the first
21
          email titled, "Your silence," dated March 23rd,
22
          2014. And this is Mr. Riess telling you that all
23
          of your email correspondence has been posted to a
24
          website?
25
     Α
          Correct.
26
          Prior to receiving this email, were you aware of
27
          the existence of a website?
28
          I had just found out about it.
    Α
29
                 Tell the jury how you found out about the
    Q
          Okay.
30
          website?
31
          An email was sent to my work colleagues under the
    Α
32
          name Desiree Capuano with information between
33
          Richard and I, and it had a link to the website.
34
          Work colleagues informed me of the email, they
35
          informed me of the website, and steps had to be
36
          taken to make sure that we secured our network
37
          against him, but that's how I found out about the
38
          website.
39
          I understand that at that time you were working
40
          for a company called The Apollo Group?
41
    Α
          Yes.
42
    Q
          When did you start working with them?
43
          2008.
    Α
44
          And the Apollo Group owns and operates a number of
45
          on-line and physically-situated universities?
46
    Α
          Yes.
47
    Q
          Including --
```

#### Desiree Capuano (for Crown) in chief by Mr. Myhre BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

University of Phoenix. Α 2 Q University of Phoenix. Other than the fact of 3 telling you about this website, is there anything 4 in this email that particularly troubled you? 5 Α The whole thing was troubling. The -- the second paragraph was particularly troubling [as read in]: 7 8 It's unfortunate that most people do not want 9 to be the source of another person's 10 embarrassment when they're face to face with 11 that person so they will not tell you that 12 they've seen your website and read your 13 Instead, they'll pretend they had no emails. 14 idea that your website even existed. Then, 15 behind their back -- your back, they'll 16 snicker and joke amongst themselves about 17 what a fool you are and how terrible it is 18 that what you did to your son and how you --19 and what you continue to do to your son. And 20 when you walk in the room, they'll smile 21 politely and act like your friend. 22 23 Okay. 24 He's talking -- referring to my work colleagues. Α 25 Q And why do you find that portion significant? 26 Α Because at that point, I didn't know if it was 27 true. 28 Sorry, to be clear, if what was true? Q 29 If my work colleagues were lying to me and reading Α 30 the website, and talking about me behind my back. 31 Q And how did that make you feel at work? 32 Α Humiliated. 33 The second-last paragraph reads [as read in]: 34 35 Gee, I hope this doesn't give you a complex. 36 I hate to think of you sitting in a meeting 37 wondering how many of your colleagues have 38 seen pictures of you in your underwear. 39 40 Do you know what Richard was referring to? 41 Α It's a picture of me in my -- my bathrobe that he 42 had our son take and then send to him. 43 Do you remember the circumstances of how that

photo was taken? 45 I do. I had just gotten out of the shower, I had 46 my bathrobe on. Gabriel came in laughing while he 47 was on the phone and said he wanted to take a

picture, and I told him I had just gotten out of the shower. He said that was fine, he really wanted to take a picture. He's my son and, of course, so he took a picture and it ended up on the website.

Around that time, you also became aware that the

- Q Around that time, you also became aware that there was a LinkedIn profile in your name that you hadn't set up?
- 9 A Correct.

- Q Tell the jury how you found out about that and what it was.
  - A I had a project manager, work associate come to me one day and she said, "It's none of my business and I don't judge anybody, but you may not want to put out on LinkedIn that you're a stripper." And I said, "Excuse me," and she said, "Yes, you're LinkedIn profile says you're a stripper and a drug user," and I went to my LinkedIn profile and I saw my main profile, which was fine, and then I saw underneath it a secondary profile that was created and many of my work associates had already connected with the secondary profile. It had the name of a strip club that I apparently worked at and I like to sit around and watch UFC and drink beer and get high in front of my kids.
  - Q Okay. What did you do about it?
- 27 A I called LinkedIn and I told them about the
  28 situation, and they researched it. They got it
  29 taken down. I contacted my work associates and I
  30 told them not to connect to that LinkedIn profile,
  31 that that was not me. And once it was down, I
  32 thought I was done.
- 33 Q Do you remember if that was before or after you found out about the website?
- 35 A It was before.
- 36 Q Okay. So then you subsequently found out about a website?
- 38 A The individuals that had connected to the LinkedIn profile received the first email from Richard, pretending to be me, that had the link to the website in it.
- Q Okay. If we could flip to the next email, it's titled, "Cease and desist," April 28th, 2014. And you emailed Richard [as read in]:

Please stop emailing my coworkers' personal email accounts that you managed to obtain

#### Desiree Capuano (for Crown) in chief by Mr. Myhre BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

1 through my LinkedIn account pretending to be 2 This is harassment and will be treated 3 as such if you do not cease. Your continued 4 attacks have made me fearful for myself and 5 for our son. Moreover, your aggressive behaviour has made it impossible for us to 7 communicate, co-parent or work together to 8 provide a safe, nurturing and positive 9 environment for G, which is all that you and 10 I should be concerned with. I am willing to 11 work with you in regards to G, but not in 12 this hostile environment you have created. 13 Desiree. 14 15 Is that --16 Yes. Α 17 -- an accurate copy of the email that you sent to 18 Richard on that day? 19 Α Yes. 20 When you sent this email to Richard, did you write Q 21 "Gabriel," or did you write "G\*"? 22 I wrote "Gabriel." Α 23 Okay. And you told Richard at that point that you 24 were fearful for yourself and your son? 25 Α Yes. 26 Why were you fearful? Q 27 At that point, he had my home address, he had my 28 home phone number, he had my work address, he had 29 my work phone number, he had my income and expense 30 declaration, he had a lot of things that could 31 potentially cause risk to myself and my family up 32 on the website. 33 When you say risk, what kind of risk, at the time 34 you wrote this email, was in your mind? 35 Honestly, just that all of this information was Α 36 out there. I didn't know who could read it, I 37 didn't know who would take interest in it, I 38 didn't know who would pay attention to it. It was 39 also an attempt to get me fired from my job, and 40 which would have meant that I had no income to 41 provide for my children. 42 You perceived it that way? 43 Α Yes. 44 Did you do anything within your company to try to 45 prevent this kind of thing from happening? 46 Α Yes.

What happened -- what did you do?

#### Desiree Capuano (for Crown) in chief by Mr. Myhre BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

It was known as "the Desiree Situation" and I had 1 2 many meetings with our legal department, our 3 security department, our technology department to 4 block access to the website, to block the email 5 6 addresses that were coming in, and then the legal department actually worked with GoDaddy in an 7 attempt to take down the website. 8 GoDaddy was the Internet --9 Α Provider. 10 -- host --Q 11 Α 12 -- hosting website at the time? 13 Α Yes. 14 0 Okay. I think we'll go back to that in a little 15 bit. If we could flip to the next email, it's a 16 response to "Cease and desist," the same date. 17 And I'd like to ask you about a couple of things. 18 So we see a line along the left-hand side and 19 that's essentially your email that you had sent to 20 Richard? 21 Yes. Α 22 And then below that where there is no line, that's 23 Richard's response? 24 Α Yes. 25 And so in response to what you said, "This is 26 harassment," the response from Richard was, 27 "Technically, it's not." He goes on to say, at 28 the bottom of that paragraph [as read in]: 29 30 There's no private or confidential 31 information being released. Anything which 32 has been or will be published or distributed 33 as either your own words or is completely 34 true, therefore, there is no libel and no 35 basis for a claim of harassment. 36 37 Α Correct. 38 So what did you understand from that? Q 39 Α That he was telling me that he would -- he would 40 not stop, and that I had no basis for a claim of 41 harassment. 42 If I could take you to the next email dated 43 May 2nd, 2014, titled, "Reciprocity." So here, 44 Richard alleges in the first paragraph, he says 45 [as read in]: 46

It also occurs to me that if what you allege

1 is true, and I have, in fact, contacted some 2 of your associates to provide them 3 information which may be damaging to your 4 reputation, then that is merely the same 5 thing you had done when you contacted Steve, my rabbi, et cetera, is it not? 7 8 Did you contact somebody named Steve who was --9 you understood to be Richard's rabbi? 10 No, Steve was not Richard's rabbi, Steve Riess is Α 11 Richard's father. I never contacted a rabbi. 12 Q Did you contact Richard's father? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q Did you ask his father for any assistance? 15 Α Not at that time. No, not at that time. 16 The last paragraph, Richard wrote [as read in]: 17 18 Moreover, when I informed the court of your 19 actions and requested it order you to desist, 20 it refused to get involved. 21 22 What did you understand him to be referring to and 23 what did that mean to you? 24 Honestly, I have no idea. He -- he made claims Α 25 that I contacted a bunch of his associates and 26 rabbis, but I didn't even know these people, or 27 who they were, or how to get a hold of them, and I 28 certainly never contacted anyone. 29 But specifically with his mentioning here, ". . . Q 30 I informed the court of your actions and requested it order you to desist, it refused to get 31 32 involved," do you know what he's talking about? 33 In the custody court, he told the custody judge 34 that I had contacted these people. 35 And did the court refuse to get involved? Q 36 There was no evidence. 37 Okay. The next email, "Re waiver of rights, dated 38 May 22nd, 2013," and this email chain starts at 39 the bottom of the page with an email from Richard 40 on May 21st, 2014, and he wrote to you [as read 41 inl: 42 43 I hereby serve notice that effective 44 immediately, I waive all my rights with 45 respect to Gabriel. 46 47 And he goes on to try to be explicit that he's

1 saying he's waiving all of his legal rights to 2 custody of Gabriel. 3 4 Α Visitation and communication. Okay. And we see your response above that. 5 respect to communication, you say [as read in]: 7 I see no reason for anything to change with 8 respect to the communication between you and 9 your son. 10 11 And then on number 3, visitation: 12 13 I don't know how else to explain to you that 14 I think it is important for Gabriel to spend 15 time with you. 16 17 So how would you characterize your response to 18 Richard saying he gives up all legal rights? 19 He had talked about it before so this wasn't the 20 first time that he'd brought it up. It was the 21 first time that he told the lawyer, but at this 22 point, my job as a mom is to make sure that my 23 child has both of his parents so I never -- I 24 wasn't going to restrict their communication. 25 wasn't restricted at that point. There was no 26 reason to restrict it just because he said this. 27 And he was supposed to get on a plane in a couple 28 days and go see his father so my only concern with 29 this email was did he still want his son for the 30 visitation? 31 Okay. And which you address in point number 3(a), 32 you tell him [as read in]: 33 34 If you're unable or unwilling to have Gabriel 35 at any time, he can stay with me. 36 37 Α Yes. 38 You also go on to express a concern in (b): 39 40 Let me be as blunt about this as I can. 41 you take my son across international borders 42 using anything but his given name and ID 43 issued to him by the United States Federal 44 Government, I will use everything in my power 45 to see justice done. 46 47 Α Yes.

```
1
    0
          [As read in]:
2
3
               That said, I agree to fly our son to
4
               California and agree to fly him to Canada.
5
    Α
         Yes.
7
    Q
          Your concern there was what?
8
         He was using a different name. He was trying to
9
          indicate that my child's name should be a
10
          different last name, and he indicated he wanted to
11
         believe -- if I'm not mistaken, in this one he was
12
          indicating that he was going to be going with my
13
          son from California to Canada and I didn't want to
14
          lose track of my son. I just wanted to make sure
15
          that everything was documented properly.
16
          So you made an allusion to this -- oh, I
17
         understand by this time, then, Richard was no
18
          longer going by the name Richard?
19
          I believe so. He had -- he had told me that he
20
         had -- he was Patrick Fox.
21
    MR. MYHRE: Okay. This might -- there are a few more
          questions on that line, but it might be a good
22
23
          time for the break, My Lady.
24
    THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, we'll take
25
          the afternoon break.
26
27
               (JURY OUT)
28
29
    THE COURT: Anything to address? All right. Thank
30
         you.
31
32
               (WITNESS STOOD DOWN)
33
34
               (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED FOR AFTERNOON RECESS)
35
               (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED)
36
37
    MR. MYHRE: I have concerns about it being on the
38
         record.
39
    THE COURT: Yes, thank you.
40
41
               (JURY IN)
42
43
                                 DESIREE CAPUANO, recalled.
44
45
    THE COURT:
                 Thank you. Go ahead.
46
47
```

47

sluq.

Desiree Capuano (for Crown)
in chief by Mr. Myhre
BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

#### EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY MR. MYHRE, CONTINUING: 2 3 Ms. Capuano, when we left off, you had just 4 mentioned that at some point, Richard started 5 referring to himself as Patrick Fox? Α Correct. 7 Q And that was -- was that, roughly, around the time 8 of this May 22nd email? 9 It was summer of 2014. Α 10 And how did that happen from -- as far as you were Q 11 concerned? 12 Α It was -- it was very abrupt. There was no 13 documentation to show a name change. There was 14 nothing that I was given to indicate that -- in 15 any way that he was anybody other than Richard 16 Riess until he just said, "I'm Patrick Fox." 17 Okay. And did that concern you at all? 18 Α Yes. 19 Q Why? 20 Α Because this is a different identity, a different 21 identity that was not tied to anything of his past 22 or the child, the custody agreement, or anything 23 else. 24 Specifically with regards to Gabriel visiting, did Q 25 that cause you any concern? 26 The custody agreement that said he had 27 visitation was to Richard Riess. The birth 28 certificate of my son has the father listed as 29 Richard Riess. The passport that my son has says 30 his father is Richard Riess. And with all of the 31 documentation showing who his father is, if he 32 went out to Canada and he's in the custody of 33 someone named Patrick Fox, who has no 34 documentation that he's also Richard Riess, then 35 if anything were to happen, they would have no 36 idea who my child was supposed to be with. And 37 being that he's in another country, I would have 38 very limited ability to help. 39 Okay. If we could turn to the next email, please, 40 dated June 24th, 2014. In this email, in the 41 first paragraph, Richard wrote [as read in]: 42 43 I would like to congratulate you on turning 44 our intelligent, inquisitive, generally 45 proactive son [et cetera et cetera] . . .

into a lethargic, uninterested, unmotivated

1 2 Yes. Α 3 And then it goes on to give reasons why he's 4 saying that? 5 Α Yes. And then the second last paragraph, at the bottom 7 second-last sentence [as read in]: 8 9 You turned him into a white trash trailer 10 park pothead boozer. People like you, white 11 trash, are a blight on society and an 12 infection that needs to be lanced. 13 14 And then right at the last line on this page says: 15 16 P.S. you will notice I've cc'd Gabriel on 17 this. 18 19 Now, we don't see Gabriel's name at the top. 20 you remember if Gabriel was actually cc'd on his 21 email? 22 Α Yes, he was. 23 Okay. Did this email bother you at all? 24 My son was 14 years old. I had him with me all 25 the time so I was familiar with how teenage boys 26 behave. They're, for the most part, unmotivated 27 so all this did was illustrate to me that he 28 wasn't familiar with typical behaviour of teenage 29 boys. 30 Now, specifically with reference to "white trash," 31 "trailer park," were those terms you'd ever heard 32 from Richard before? 33 Α Oh, yes. 34 Could you give the jury some idea of the frequency 35 of which you heard that from Richard? 36 There's about 400 emails and in -- and in a vast Α 37 percentage, there is some slight indicating that 38 I'm white trash. 39 If we could turn to the next email, please? 40 "Waiver of rights," dated July 21st, 2014. 41 second paragraph, Richard writes [as read in]: 42 43 I also want to be clear that this is not a 44 surrender on my part. I have not given in or 45 given up on anything. That family court case 46 was getting in my way and had become a 47 nuisance so it had to be severed so I could

1 proceed with my plans. 2 3 Down a few lines [as read in]: 4 5 6 I explicitly stated, "Wanted," so that the court would have no choice but to grant the 7 request unless you objected, which, of 8 course, you wouldn't because you're an idiot 9 and you have no idea when you're being 10 played, probably due to your narcissism. 11 12 What did you understand Richard to be referring to 13 when he said -- when he talked about his plans? 14 The plan to destroy my life. 15 And so how did this email make you feel? 16 Α The -- the part of this email that hurt me the 17 most was where he indicated that he told Gabriel 18 that he waived his rights to him and that Gabriel 19 seemed taken aback. And it was confusing to me 20 how he cannot understand that any child would be 21 upset when his father told him he was waiving his 22 rights to him, especially because it was getting 23 in the way of his plans to destroy me. 24 And could you just explain why that hurt you? 25 Α It hurt my son. 26 The next email dated the next day, July 22nd, Q 27 2014, titled, "Telephone communication regarding 28 Gabriel, "Richard wrote [as read in]: 29 30 It's obvious from how you conducted yourself 31 on the telephone a few moments ago that 32 you're afraid of and intimidated by me. 33 34 And then he goes down -- on -- if we go to the 35 fourth paragraph: 36 37 Anyway, I couldn't understand a thing you 38 said on the phone because you were trying so 39 hard to say only and exactly what you had 40 rehearsed beforehand while, at the same time, 41 trying not to cry, presumably out of fear and 42 anxiety, and you were all muffled and shit. 43 44 Do you know what phone call he was referring to? 45 I -- I -- I don't remember exactly. Α 46 Do you remember calling him and having something 47 rehearsed to say and trying not to cry?

Yes. Yes, I do. I don't remember the 1 Α 2 circumstances. 3 How frequently in this period of time did you 4 communicate with Richard over the telephone? 5 I think are were a total of three times. Α In what time period? Q 7 Α The whole six years. More so in the beginning. 8 When you say the beginning, are you referring 9 to --10 2011. Α 11 2011. If we could go to the next email, please, 12 dated the same day, titled, "Forward Reforward 13 Income Expense Declaration," and Richard writes 14 [as read in]: 15 16 As you can see from the attached screenshot, 17 it seems you've requested that 18 desireecapuano.com be blocked by Apollo's 19 internal network. While I'm assuming you've 20 requested it, what's the matter, are you 21 embarrassed and/or ashamed of the things 22 you've done? 23 24 It goes on: 25 26 There's a few easy workarounds and I'll be 27 sure to implement before the next update is 28 posted to the site. 29 30 And then below that, there's forwarded an email 31 from a David Shroads at Western International 32 University. Do you know who Western International 33 University is? 34 It's a college owned by Apollo. Α 35 And what did you understand to have been the 36 subject of this email from David Shroads? 37 I believe Richard sent out a mass communication to Α 38 people that worked for Apollo or any of the 39 subsequent universities owned by Apollo, including 40 the income and expense declaration that I was 41 required to provide at the custody court. 42 And so at the top when Richard writes [as read 43 in]: 44 45 . . . it seems you've requested that 46 desireecapuano.com be blocked by Apollo's 47 internal network.

1 2 Had you requested that? 3 I didn't have to request it, the company blocked Α 4 it. 5 The next email dated the same day, titled, Q Okay. "Forward forward medical marihuana program ID." 7 And this is an email, at the top, from Richard to 8 you, but it starts, if we look at the bottom just 9 where there are the little arrows [as read in]: 10 11 On July 22nd, 2014, at 5:02, Desiree Capuano 12 wrote: "Please note, as attached, I do have 13 a medical marihuana card so my use of 14 marihuana is legal and I don't have to 15 explain it or justify it to anyone and what I 16 do in my own home around my own kids is my 17 own business. Desiree Capuano. 18 19 Did you write that email? 20 Α No. 21 Okay. What did you understand -- sorry, let's 22 just go up a little bit. Then there's an email, 23 Dawn Foster to Desiree Capuano [as read in]: 24 25 What the hell is this? Is he harassing you 26 again? 27 28 Do you know Dawn Foster? 29 Yes. Α 30 Who is that? 31 Α She was my co-worker. She was on my team. 32 Okay. And so what do you know about this email on 33 July -- the one at the bottom, "Please note I do 34 have a medical marihuana card"? 35 Α I know that he sent it, signed by me, to make it 36 look like it was from me to my work associates. 37 So he sent out another email again to my 38 colleagues, and I only knew about that because she 39 forwarded it over to me. 40 Do you have a medical marihuana card? 41 Α Yes. 42 Q When did you get that? 43 2012. Α 44 Okay. If we then go and look at what Richard 45 wrote to you at the top, in the second paragraph, 46 he states [as read in]: 47

1 You do realize that the passive dissemination 2 of already publicly-available truthful 3 information, for example, court documents and 4 their attached exhibits is not harassment, 5 right? 7 Down: 8 Because telling the truth is not illegal and 10 is not harassment. 11 12 And then in the PS section: 13 14 In case you're wondering, from what I'm told, 15 that original message was sent to 681 16 recipients. 17 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. How did that make you feel? Q 20 Mortified. Α 21 And Ms. Capuano, just as an aside, if you ever see 22 a point in an email where you think something's 23 inaccurate or it's been edited from the actual 24 emails that you received and sent to Richard, 25 please alert us to that. 26 Α Okay. 27 I take it so far, they've appeared to be accurate? 28 Α They have. 29 Q Okay. If we could go to the next email, please? 30 Dated the next day, "Request for a status update" 31 [as read in]: 32 33 Hello, Desiree, it has been a little over a year-and-a-half now since you filed your 34 35 false report against me to ICE --36 37 What's ICE? 38 Immigration Custody. Α 39 Q 40 -- claiming I was an illegal alien . . . 41 42 Et cetera. Et cetera. He goes on to detail some 43 of the things he's been doing as a parent, 44 criticizing you as a parent. 45 46 And then the last paragraph [as read in]: 47

1 And for all the 1200 or so people that have 2 been bcc'd on this message, I wonder if 3 you've told them about . . . 4 5 And then a number of alleged misdeeds on your part. 7 Α Yes. 8 Do you know whether this was actually bcc'd to 9 1200 people? 10 I don't know. Α 11 And just over the page, the first full paragraph: 12 13 Since you decided to stop all communication 14 around the time your website was set up and 15 all your emails to me have been made public, 16 I'm bcc'ing this message to all the 17 recipients that may be associated with you. 18 19 And then he provides your -- or he provides a 20 phone number, an email address and a physical 21 address, and then the website. 22 Now, was that your phone number -- were those 23 your phone numbers at the time? 24 Α Yes. 25 Was that your actual home address at the time? Q 26 Α Yes. 27 Now, in this email, Richard references you Q 28 stopping all communication with him? 29 Α Yes. 30 Q Is that -- was that true? 31 Α Yes. 32 Q And why did you do that? 33 Communication with him wasn't going anywhere and 34 all communication was being put up on one side. 35 wasn't going to give him anything else. 36 Q I'm sorry, Ms. Capuano, I just couldn't quite hear 37 that. 38 I wasn't going to give him anything else that he Α 39 could use against me. 40 In the summer of 2014, did Gabriel visit with 41 Richard in Canada? 42 Yes. Α 43 Roughly, what time period? 44 I don't remember the exact dates. It was sometime Α 45 between May and July. 46 And for, roughly, how long? Q 47 I think it was about six weeks.

47

#### Desiree Capuano (for Crown) in chief by Mr. Myhre BAN ON PUBLICATION - INHERENT JURISDICTION

1 0 Could we flip to the next email dated the same day 2 re -- or "Forward re medical marihuana program 3 ID." And Richard writes to you [as read in]: 4 5 It seems from the number of responses I've received today that people are not as 7 supportive of you as you think. 8 9 And then at the end of that paragraph: 10 11 You have to realize that most people will be 12 nice to your face and then look down on you 13 when you leave the room. 14 15 And then the next paragraph: 16 17 I told you I will destroy you slowly and 18 incrementally and legally. I will do so 19 simply by using your own actions and words 20 against you. I gave you two years to provide 21 me an amount of evidence against you. 22 23 How did those words make you feel? 24 Terrified. I was pretty sure that my Α 25 communications to him were reasonable, but in his 26 hands, who knows. 27 And he goes in the next paragraph down, the third 28 sentence [as read in]: 29 30 And it's only a matter of time until your 31 current employer realizes how full of shit 32 you are and cuts you loose. 33 34 In your mind, did these emails that came from 35 Richard have an impact on your employment at 36 Apollo? 37 I had support from Apollo, but because of this, I 38 was always more the security threat than anybody 39 else. 40 And do you feel that that impacted you in the 41 future, not at this time, but later on? 42 Α 43 Could you tell the jury how? 44 In 2015, Apollo went through layoffs and they were 45 only allowed to keep so many members of each team

and even though I had been there for seven-and-a-

half years, I was one of the ones let go, and

another colleague of mine wasn't. And I -- I was 2 informed through her that the security risks were 3 part of the reason I was selected to be let go. 4 Q When were you let go? 5 It was September 30th of 2015. Α And then the next paragraph down [as read in]: 7 8 Don't think for one second that anything will 9 ever be more important to me than destroying 10 you. Every moment of my life is focused on 11 the single goal. 12 13 Did you believe that statement? 14 In this email, I also got the impression 15 that he had hired an investigator who was then 16 following me and tracking me. 17 Can you point the jury to the spot here where you 18 got that impression? 19 Α 20 And you insisted I was full of shit when I 21 informed you about the investigator. As soon 22 as he provides me the name and contact 23 information about your druggie friends and 24 dealers, they'll be smiling right up there on 25 your site alongside you. 26 27 Okay. How did this, telling you there was an 28 investigator, make you feel? 29 I was always looking around, always. I didn't --Α 30 I didn't have anything to hide, but thinking that 31 somebody's following you, thinking that somebody's 32 trying to get information about you makes you look 33 around. 34 Sorry, makes you? 35 Α Look around. 36 This insinuation that you have druggie friends and 37 dealers, was that something you had heard from 38 Richard before? 39 Yes, which didn't make sense. Α 40 Do you know why or from your perspective why that 41 was being said? 42 I assume that he thought that I had druggie 43 friends. I have a medical marihuana card, I go to 44 a store and buy it. I pay taxes on it so I'm --45 and I quess he assumed. 46 Was there anything involving your former partner, Q 47 Chris Lochner?

- That probably had a lot to do with it. Α 2 He -- he was arrested for using drugs and he knew 3 4 people. I was not associated with them. Q And we went over this pretty quickly this morning, 5
  - but do you remember when you separated from Chris Lochner?
  - Α Yeah, October 2nd of 2012.
  - Okay. The next email, please? Oh, sorry, was there something else?
  - Yeah. He -- he indicates that if he was really Α motivated, he would do this. I -- I didn't think that it would require him to be motivated. I took this as part of something that he had planned on doing [as read in]:

I would send your resume to every recruiting firm and HR department in Phoenix and Tampa, along with your bio. You will never be able to get another job in IT. It's only a matter of time until your current employer realizes how full of shit you are.

I'm sorry, you started by saying how you took that. How did you take that, I just missed that.

As part of a plan of things that he intended to Α do.

The next email, please, titled, "Use of Q Okay. graphs and access statistics," dated July 24th, 2014. Just at the top, Richard writes [as read in]:

> As promised here, the usage graphs for the site showing the spikes which follow each time something was published about you.

He goes on to say in the fourth paragraph:

I have not received a single critical email.

And then in the fifth paragraph:

A quick scan of the log reports shows the following are some of the most frequently accessed URLs, and I note in there there's a -- one that's titled "Mugshot." He's labelled one as, "The picture of you in bed."

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

33

34 35 36

37 38 39

44

45

46 47

And then he goes on to say [as read in]: 2 3 There also seems to be a lot of interest in 4 the pictures of your bedroom. 5 And so did you understand Richard, here, to be 7 referring to the usage statistics for the website, 8 desireecapuano.com? 9 Yes. Α 10 Q And how did it make you feel to know that --11 He was taunting me, knowing I couldn't do anything 12 about it. 13 Q And how did that make you feel? 14 Powerless. Α 15 Q In particular, did it bother you that there might 16 be a picture of you in bed, or pictures of your 17 bedroom on a public website? 18 Yes. Α 19 Q Okay. The next email dated July 31st, 2014, if I 20 could just take you to the second page of this 21 email? It appears -- it starts with an email from 22 Richard, saying [as read in]: 23 24 Desiree, please be advised due to my lack of 25 interest in dealing with GoDaddy, I intend to 26 transfer the hosting of the aforementioned 27 domain and all services thereto related to my 28 own servers which are based outside the US 29 and will, therefore, not only -- not be 30 subject to US laws and regulations, but will 31 also be under the exclusive control and 32 authority of, well, me. 33 34 So what did you understand him to be referring to? 35 Well, he was trying to indicate that he was going Α 36 to take down the website from GoDaddy and host it 37 himself. He tried to make it seem like it was his 38 idea, but I -- I know that Apollo Group's legal 39 department was working with GoDaddy at that time. 40 Okay. Now, if we go back to the other page, this 41 appears to be an email from Richard or Patrick to 42 a Detective Tuchfarber. Do you know who that is? 43 Yes. Α 44 Who is that? Q 45 Α He is a detective at the Phoenix Police 46 Department. I called the Phoenix Police when I 47 found out about the website and they opened a case

```
and this was the person that was assigned to the
2
          case, and he responded to Richard's initial email.
3
    Q
         Okay. And just to be clear, you say you contacted
4
          the Phoenix Police Department when you found out
5
          about the website. Why did you contact them?
          I figured there had to be something that could be
    Α
7
         done about it. He was contacting my people I work
8
         with, my place of employment. He had my personal
9
          information up on this website. It felt like the
10
         right thing to do.
11
         From your perspective, did the Phoenix Police
12
         Department have any success in getting any of this
13
          to stop?
14
         No, the detective made an arrangement with Richard
15
         that Richard would stop his harassment as long as
16
          the detective investigated my drug use.
17
         Did the -- was that something communicated to you
18
         by the detective?
19
    Α
         Yes.
20
         Did the detective investigate your drug use?
    Q
21
    Α
          I had a medical marihuana card so insofar as he
22
          saw that, yeah. He'd also been to the home, he
23
         had seen everything.
24
         Okay. And did Richard stop the harassment?
    Q
25
    Α
          For a little while, yes.
26
         For how long?
    Q
27
          I'm not sure exactly.
    Α
28
         And so when you say stopped, do you mean -- what
29
         do you mean?
30
    Α
         He stopped emailing the people I worked with.
31
         Do you know whether or not in that period of time
32
         he took the website down?
33
         I -- I think it was down shortly after, but I
34
         think that's because GoDaddy took it down.
35
         If we could go to the next email, then. Moving
36
         ahead in time to December of 2014, Richard writes
37
          to you [as read in]:
38
39
               You don't mind if I post that telephone call
40
               on the website, do you?
41
42
          Do you know what he's referring to?
43
          I -- I called him and spoke with him about
    Α
44
          something.
45
    Q
         Do you know whether he recorded your phone calls?
46
          I -- I have no proof he recorded it, but I
    Α
47
         believed he did, and I believe that they're up on
```

the website so I haven't listened to them on the 2 website so for myself, I don't know if they're 3 there. 4

- Q Why do you believe they're on the website?
- I think he told me. Α

Richard goes on, in the third paragraph, there [as read in]:

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

5

As I was saying when you hung up, a very white trash response to losing a debate, by the way, if you don't allow Gabriel to proceed with the visitation as previously agreed, you are actually helping my cause. I know that the best way to hurt you permanently is emotionally, not through your reputation, finances or career. Remember, I told you many months ago that the other stuff I was doing was just to distract you? And what could be more effective than for your child to utterly despise you because of your own actions? By telling Gabriel he could not visit for the entire break, then completely revoking that for no reasonable cause, you have completely obliterated the last shred of respect and tolerance he had for you. And there's the other aspect, the court. You see, the court has never seen you try to interfere with visitation before because there's always been an order compelling you. Now I can show the court that you absolutely agreed in writing to the visit, then after I paid for the plane tickets, you refused for what the court will consider a very unacceptable reason. You see, you don't think things through. You're a fool, Desiree, that's why you are where you are.

36 37 38

39

47

- I remember what the call was about. Α
- What was the call about? 0
- 40 It -- it was about Gabriel travelling to Canada 41 and being in Richard's custody without him having 42 documentation showing that he was Richard Riess. 43 And I was telling him that I was not going to 44 allow Gabriel to travel to Canada unless he had 45 some documentation showing that he was Richard 46 Riess.
  - Q Okay. And how did you feel about Richard's

```
1
         comment, ". . . the best way to hurt you
2
         permanently is emotionally"?
3
         I knew that's what he was trying to do, and it
4
         worked a lot. I know that he was using my son to
5
6
         hurt me.
         Okay. And I'll ask you more about that a little
7
         bit later.
8
    MR. MYHRE: I did want to get to the last email, but I
9
         note the time, My Lady. There's one more email in
10
          2014.
11
    THE COURT: Go ahead.
12
    MR. MYHRE:
13
         So if we could flip to that last 2014 email, it's
14
         titled, "The ugly proof," dated December 17th.
15
         you remember whether or not Gabriel was cc'd on
16
         this email?
17
         I don't remember.
    Α
18
         Could I ask you to check that overnight?
19
    Α
         Absolutely.
20
    Q
         And so here, Richard tells you he's attaching his
21
         BCID, right in the first sentence there [as read
22
         in]:
23
24
               . . . birth certificate, PAL, and just for
25
               good measure, a copy of my most recent
26
               paycheque.
27
28
         Now, Richard goes on to say in the last paragraph
29
         on that page, I'm going to start reading partway
30
         into it:
31
32
               If you think I'm lying and don't really have
33
               a PAL, you can check with Gabriel.
34
35
         What's a PAL, or what did you understand it to be?
36
         A -- a gun licence, a Canadian gun licence.
37
38
               You need a PAL to get a membership at a
39
               shooting range, which he can tell you I have,
40
               or I can scan the membership card for you.
41
               can also send you pictures of my pistols and
42
               his rifle, which I wouldn't be able to get
43
               without a PAL. Face it, man, my name is
               Patrick, and I have a squeaky clean
44
45
               background and I'm an upstanding member of
46
               society who makes pretty decent money and
               Gabriel likes me more than he likes you. You
47
```

have been played, you're a sucker. years, I was paying a salary in your name through my corporations. You do remember Vertical Inversion Systems, right?

4 5

7

8

2

3

What is that?

- Α It's a company that he incorporated when -- when we were together.
- [As read in]: Q

9 10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

And not withholding taxes. You've got thousands in back taxes which eventually the IRS is going to go looking for and if they don't, they're just a phone call away. I'm methodical and think things through. I look at the long-term. Sometimes my plans take years to complete, but I always see them through. PS, I emphasize the PAL because it's hard to get, involves an extensive background check and requires a clean record and proof of good moral standing and psychological stability. If there was any truth to your claims, I would never be able to get it. Do not take any statements or references to firearms above as being in any way threatening. I would never use a firearm offensively or to threaten someone. They are for sport and target practice only.

28 29 30

31

32

33

36

37

38

Did you know, before this email, that Richard had quns in Canada?

- I know that he had taken Gabriel to a shooting range. I did not know that he had guns.
- 34 And so we see Richard explicitly told you why he 35 was telling you about the guns?
  - That's what he says.
  - Okay. How did that make you feel?
- He also told me I shouldn't feel offended when he Α 39 calls me white trash so I didn't really take that 40 at face value.
- 41 Okay. Did knowing that he had guns, or learning 42 that he actually had guns cause you any concern?
- 43 Α Terribly, yes.
- 44 Q Why?
- 45 Α The birth certificate that was attached in this 46 email was from Florida so the birth certificate he 47 sent me said that he was Patrick Fox from the

United States, but then he sends me a gun licence that says he can purchase firearms in Canada under a name that's not his. The ability to do things with those firearms and not have them traced back to Richard Riess is very easy. Was there anything else about this email that you found significant? No, I -- there's a paragraph where, again, he's calling me a lousy mother and that he does more for our son than I do, but aside that, no. MR. MYHRE: This might be a good time, then, My Lady. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Members of the jury, thank you very much for you attention through the day today. We're finished for the day and I'll ask you all to be back and ready to continue at the usual time tomorrow. Thank you. (WITNESS STOOD DOWN) (JURY OUT) (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO JUNE 13, 2017, AT 10:00 A.M.) Transcriber: I. Lim, D. MacFie 

 I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability.

I. Lim
Court Transcriber

 I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the evidence recorded on a sound recording apparatus, transcribed to the best of my skill and ability.

D. MacFie Court Transcriber